

June 6, 2011

RECEIVED

Courtney Avery
Administrator
Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board
525 West Jefferson Street, 2nd Floor
Springfield, Illinois 62761

JUN 10 2011

HEALTH FACILITIES &
SERVICES REVIEW BOARD

Ms. Avery:

While many have expressed financial and economic concerns against the Centegra-Huntley proposed new hospital and Mercy-Crystal Lake proposed new hospital, we have clinical concerns that lead our organizations to oppose these applications. The main point we want to make is that a proliferation of new hospitals in a geographic area already well-served by existing hospitals will lead to a dilution of volume among existing hospitals and will negatively impact **patient quality** and **patient safety**.

There are numerous studies by the Agency for Health Care Research and Quality, the Institute of Medicine and peer review journal articles demonstrating that hospitals with higher volumes of particular cases tend to have better outcomes than those hospitals with lower volumes. For example, an article in the *Annals of Internal Medicine* published January of this year found that patients suffering from congestive heart failure had better care and better outcomes in facilities that saw higher number of these patients. Similar findings have been noted in facilities treating breast cancer patients, stroke patients, those suffering from acute pancreatitis and hospitals performing radical prostatectomies (a procedure to remove the prostate gland and tissue around it due to prostate cancer) and open heart surgery. In addition to listing numerous peer-review articles, also provided is an abstract of one of the articles. Not only can these facilities offer better patient care but high volume facilities can also offer higher specialized support services such as oncology certified nurses. Attached to this letter is a listing of over 60 articles showing evidence linking high volume to high quality. There is much more research linking quantity of cases to quality but we've limited the number of articles to those published in recent years.

Either Centegra or Mercy's proposed hospital will add nearly 50% more inpatient beds to McHenry County, a big increase in the number of beds for one county. There's little doubt that adding another hospital with that many beds in the region will negatively impact the volumes of area hospitals and may impact quality of care as existing hospitals are located within 15 minutes of each zip code in the proposed hospitals' service areas (See Krentz Drive Time Analysis). Clinical staff need adequate patient care experience to maintain competencies. The Board has also recognized this and is why you require certain minimum volume thresholds be met for cardiac catheterization and open heart procedures prior to approval. It's important for McHenry County residents to feel like they have adequate access to health care resources, but it's more important that residents feel like they have access to **high quality, affordable** health care resources.

To summarize, as we understand it, through the Certificate of Need process this Board not only helps to keep health care costs in check by controlling capital expenditures but also ensures that only high quality projects are approved. Approval of either of these projects will not add additional high quality health care services to the communities our facilities serve and will

jeopardize the quality of care being provided at existing hospitals, including the two McHenry County Centegra Hospitals and Mercy Harvard Hospital. On this basis we would request that the Board deny both the Centegra-Huntley application and the Mercy-Crystal Lake application.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Leo Kelly, MD
Medical Director, *Quality*
Advocate Good Shepherd Hospital



Ian Jones, MD
Vice President, Clinical Performance
Sherman Hospital

Attachment

1. Impact of surgeon and hospital volume on outcomes of radical prostatectomy. Barocas DA. Mitchell R. Chang SS. Cookson MS. *Urologic Oncology*. 28(3):243-50, 2010 May-Jun.
2. Radical prostatectomy: a systematic review of the impact of hospital and surgeon volume on patient outcome. Wilson A. Marlow NE. Maddern GJ. Barraclough B. Collier NA. Dickinson IC. Fawcett J. Graham JC. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*. 80(1-2):24-9, 2010 Jan.
3. Volume-based trends in thyroid surgery. Gourin CG. Tufano RP. Forastiere AA. Koch WM. Pawlik TM. Bristow RE. *Archives of Otolaryngology -- Head & Neck Surgery*. 136(12):1191-8, 2010 Dec.
4. Rectal cancer surgery: volume-outcome analysis. Nugent E. Neary P. *International Journal of Colorectal Disease*. 25(12):1389-96, 2010 Dec.
5. Disparities in the utilization of high-volume hospitals for total hip replacement. SooHoo NF. Farnig E. Zingmond DS. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 103(1):31-5, 2011 Jan.
6. The effect of hospital volume on length of stay, re-admissions, and complications of total hip arthroplasty. Makela KT. Hakkinen U. Peltola M. Linna M. Kroger H. Remes V. *Acta Orthopaedica*. 82(1):20-6, 2011 Feb.
7. The association between hospital volume and processes, outcomes, and costs of care for congestive heart failure. Joynt KE. Orav EJ. Jha AK. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 154(2):94-102, 2011 Jan 18.
8. Impact of hospital volume on clinical outcomes of endoscopic biliary drainage for acute cholangitis based on the Japanese administrative database associated with the diagnosis procedure combination system. Murata A. Matsuda S. Kuwabara K. Fujino Y. Kubo T. Fujimori K. Horiguchi H. *Journal of Gastroenterology*. 45(10):1090-6, 2010 Oct.
9. Impact of surgeon and hospital volume on short-term outcomes and cost of laryngeal cancer surgical care. Gourin CG. Forastiere AA. Sanguineti G. Koch WM. Marur S. Bristow RE. *Laryngoscope*. 121(1):85-90, 2011 Jan.
10. The effect of surgeon volume on outcomes and resource use for vaginal hysterectomy. Rogo-Gupta LJ. Lewin SN. Kim JH. Burke WM. Sun X. Herzog TJ. Wright JD. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 116(6):1341-7, 2010 Dec.
11. Impact of surgeon volume on outcomes of rectal cancer surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Archampong D. Borowski DW. Dickinson HO. *Surgeon Journal of the Royal Colleges of Surgeons of Edinburgh & Ireland*. 8(6):341-52, 2010 Dec.
12. Impact of hospital provider volume on outcome for radical urological cancer surgery in England. Hanchanale VS. Javle P. *Urologia Internationalis*. 85(1):11-5, 2010 Jul.
13. Impact of hospital volume on in-hospital mortality of infants undergoing repair of congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Bucher BT. Guth RM. Saito JM. Najaf T. Warner BW. *Annals of Surgery*. 252(4):635-42, 2010 Oct.
14. The relation between hospital procedure volume and complications of cardioverter-defibrillator implantation from the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator registry. Freeman JV. Wang Y. Curtis JP. Heidenreich PA. Hlatky MA. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 56(14):1133-9, 2010 Sep 28.
15. Disparities in utilization of high-volume hospitals for cancer surgery: results of a Korean population-based study. Kim SY. Park JH. Kim SG. Woo HK. Park JH. Kim Y. Park EC. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 17(11):2806-15, 2010 Nov.

16. Post-heart transplant survival is inferior at low-volume centers across all risk strata. Russo MJ. Iribarne A. Easterwood R. Ibrahimiye AN. Davies R. Hong KN. Ascheim DD. Gelijns AC. Naka Y. *Circulation*. 122(11 Suppl):S85-91, 2010 Sep 14.
17. The National Cancer Database report on advanced-stage epithelial ovarian cancer: impact of hospital surgical case volume on overall survival and surgical treatment paradigm. Bristow RE. Palis BE. Chi DS. Cliby WA. *Gynecologic Oncology*. 118(3):262-7, 2010 Sep.
18. Volume-outcome analysis of colorectal cancer-related outcomes. Borowski DW. Bradburn DM. Mills SJ. Bharathan B. Wilson RG. Ratcliffe AA. Kelly SB. Northern Region Colorectal Cancer Audit Group (NORCCAG). *British Journal of Surgery*. 97(9):1416-30, 2010 Sep.
19. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the volume-outcome relationship in the surgical treatment of breast cancer. Are breast cancer patients better off with a high volume provider? Gooiker GA. van Gijn W. Post PN. van de Velde CJ. Tollenaar RA. Wouters MW. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology*. 36 Suppl 1:S27-35, 2010 Sep.
20. Volume and outcome in colorectal cancer surgery. van Gijn W. Gooiker GA. Wouters MW. Post PN. Tollenaar RA. van de Velde CJ. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology*. 36 Suppl 1:S55-63, 2010 Sep.
21. Surgeon volume metrics in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Csikesz NG. Singla A. Murphy MM. Tseng JF. Shah SA. *Digestive Diseases & Sciences*. 55(8):2398-405, 2010 Aug.
22. Systematic review of the impact of volume of oesophagectomy on patient outcome. Lauder CI. Marlow NE. Maddern GJ. Barraclough B. Collier NA. Dickinson IC. Fawcett J. Graham JC. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*. 80(5):317-23, 2010 May.
23. Advances in the surgical treatment of esophageal cancer. Ng T. Vezeridis MP. *Journal of Surgical Oncology*. 101(8):725-9, 2010 Jun 15.
24. Esophagectomy for cancer: clinical concerns support centralizing operations within the larger hospitals. Fujita H. Ozawa S. Kuwano H. Ueda Y. Hattori S. Yanagawa T. Committee for Scientific Affairs, Japanese Association for Thoracic Surgery. *Diseases of the Esophagus*. 23(2):145-52, 2010 Feb.
25. Elective thoracic aortic aneurysm surgery: better outcomes from high-volume centers. Gazoni LM. Speir AM. Kron IL. Fonner E. Crosby IK. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons*. 210(5):855-9, 859-60, 2010 May.
26. The volume-mortality relation for radical cystectomy in England: retrospective analysis of hospital episode statistics. Mayer EK. Bottle A. Darzi AW. Athanasiou T. Vale JA. *BMJ*. 340:c1128, 2010.
27. Association of hospital coronary artery bypass volume with processes of care, mortality, morbidity, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons composite quality score. Shahian DM. O'Brien SM. Normand SL. Peterson ED. Edwards FH. *Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery*. 139(2):273-82, 2010 Feb.
28. Low volume is associated with worse patient outcomes for pediatric liver transplant centers. Tracy ET. Bennett KM. Danko ME. Diesen DL. Westmoreland TJ. Kuo PC. Pappas TN. Rice HE. Scarborough JE. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 45(1):108-13, 2010 Jan.
29. Hospital stroke volume and case-fatality revisited. Ogbu UC. Slobbe LC. Arah OA. de Bruin A. Stronks K. Westert GP. *Medical Care*. 48(2):149-56, 2010 Feb.
30. Carotid artery stenting in the nation: the influence of hospital and physician volume on outcomes. Vogel TR. Dombrovskiy VY. Graham AM. *Vascular & Endovascular Surgery*. 44(2):89-94, 2010 Feb.

31. A meta-analysis of surgical treatment for vestibular schwannoma: is hospital volume related to preservation of facial function? Hastan D. Vandenbroucke JP. van der Mey AG. *Otology & Neurotology*. 30(7):975-80, 2009 Oct.
32. Effect of endovascular aneurysm repair on the volume-outcome relationship in aneurysm repair. Holt PJ. Poloniecki JD. Khalid U. Hinchliffe RJ. Loftus IM. Thompson MM. *Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality & Outcomes*. 2(6):624-32, 2009 Nov.
33. Admission volume determines outcome for patients with acute pancreatitis. Singla A. Simons J. Li Y. Csikesz NG. Ng SC. Tseng JF. Shah SA. *Gastroenterology*. 137(6):1995-2001, 2009 Dec.
34. Surgeons' volume of colorectal cancer procedures and collaborative decision-making about adjuvant therapies. Rogers SO Jr. Ayanian JZ. Ko CY. Kahn KL. Zaslavsky AM. Sandler RS. Keating NL. *Annals of Surgery*. 250(6):895-900, 2009 Dec.
35. High OPCAB surgical volume improves midterm event-free survival. Agostini M. Fino C. Torchio P. Di Gregorio V. Feola M. Bertora M. Lugli E. Grossi C. *Heart Surgery Forum*. 12(5):E250-5, 2009 Oct.
36. The impact of center volume on survival in lung transplantation: an analysis of more than 10,000 cases. Weiss ES. Allen JG. Meguid RA. Patel ND. Merlo CA. Orens JB. Baumgartner WA. Conte JV. Shah AS. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 88(4):1062-70, 2009 Oct.
37. Higher hospital volume is associated with lower mortality in acute nonvariceal upper-GI hemorrhage. Ananthakrishnan AN. McGinley EL. Saeian K. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*. 70(3):422-32, 2009 Sep.
38. The impact of hospital volume on surgical outcome in patients with rectal cancer. Kressner M. Bohe M. Cedermark B. Dahlberg M. Damber L. Lindmark G. Ojerskog B. Sjodahl R. Johansson R. Pahlman L. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 52(9):1542-9, 2009 Sep.
39. Sirolimus-eluting stent treatment at high-volume centers confers lower mortality at 6-month follow-up: results from the prospective multicenter German Cypher Registry. Khattab AA. Hamm CW. Senges J. Toelg R. Geist V. Bonzel T. Kelm M. Levenson B. Nienaber CA. Pfannebecker T. Sabin G. Schneider S. Tebbe U. Neumann FJ. Richardt G. *German Cypher Registry. Circulation*. 120(7):600-6, 2009 Aug 18.
40. Do high-volume hospitals and surgeons provide better care in urologic oncology? Eastham JA. *Urologic Oncology*. 27(4):417-21, 2009 Jul-Aug.
41. Carotid artery stenting: Impact of practitioner specialty and volume on outcomes and resource utilization. Vogel TR. Dombrovskiy VY. Haser PB. Graham AM. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 49(5):1166-71, 2009 May.
42. Physician volume, physician specialty and in-hospital mortality for patients with acute myocardial infarction. Lin HC. Chu CH. Lee HC. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 134(2):288-90, 2009 May 15.
43. Hip fracture outcomes: does surgeon or hospital volume really matter? Browne JA. Pietrobon R. Olson SA. *Journal of Trauma-Injury Infection & Critical Care*. 66(3):809-14, 2009 Mar.
44. Impact of hospital volume on surgical outcome for head and neck cancer. Cheung MC. Koniaris LG. Perez EA. Molina MA. Goodwin WJ. Salloum RM. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 16(4):1001-9, 2009 Apr.
45. Specialized and high-volume care leads to better outcomes of ovarian cancer treatment in the Netherlands. Vernooij F. Heintz AP. Coebergh JW. Massuger LF. Witteveen PO. van der Graaf Y. *Gynecologic Oncology*. 112(3):455-61, 2009 Mar.

46. Relationship between number of breast cancer operations performed and 5-year survival after treatment for early-stage breast cancer. Gilligan MA. Neuner J. Zhang X. Sparapani R. Laud PW. Nattinger AB. *American Journal of Public Health*. 97(3):539-44, 2007 Mar.
47. Relation between hospital volume and outcome of elective surgery for abdominal aortic aneurysm: a systematic review. Henebiens M. van den Broek TA. Vahl AC. Koelemay MJ. *European Journal of Vascular & Endovascular Surgery*. 33(3):285-92, 2007 Mar.
48. Impact of teaching facility status and high-volume centers on outcomes for lung cancer resection: an examination of 13,469 surgical patients. Cheung MC. Hamilton K. Sherman R. Byrne MM. Nguyen DM. Franceschi D. Koniaris LG. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 16(1):3-13, 2009 Jan.
49. Does hospital surgical volume affect in-hospital outcomes in surgically treated pelvic and acetabular fractures? Genuario J. Koval KJ. Cantu RV. Spratt KF. *Bulletin of the NYU Hospital for Joint Diseases*. 66(4):282-9, 2008.
50. Does it matter where you are hospitalized for inflammatory bowel disease? A nationwide analysis of hospital volume. Ananthakrishnan AN. McGinley EL. Binion DG. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*. 103(11):2789-98, 2008 Nov.
51. Outcomes of esophagectomy at academic centers: an association between volume and outcome. Reavis KM. Smith BR. Hinojosa MW. Nguyen NT. *American Surgeon*. 4(10):939-43, 2008 Oct.
52. Caseload volume-outcome relation for pulmonary embolism treatment: association between physician and hospital caseload volume and 30-day mortality. Lin HC. Lee HC. *> Journal of Thrombosis & Haemostasis*. 6(10):1707-12, 2008 Oct.
53. Is there a relationship between physician and facility volumes of ambulatory procedures and patient outcomes? Chukmaitov AS. Menachemi N. Brown SL. Saunders C. Tang A. Brooks R. *Journal of Ambulatory Care Management*. 31(4):354-69, 2008 Oct-Dec.
54. Increased mortality at low-volume orthotopic heart transplantation centers: should current standards change? Weiss ES. Meguid RA. Patel ND. Russell SD. Shah AS. Baumgartner WA. Conte JV. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 86(4):1250-9; discussion 1259-60, 2008 Oct.
55. Hospital case volume and clinical outcomes for peptic ulcer treatment. Lou HY. Lin HC. Chen KY. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 23(10):1693-7, 2008 Oct.
56. Effect of hospital volume and teaching status on outcomes of acute liver failure. Ananthakrishnan AN. McGinley EL. Saeian K. *Liver Transplantation*. 14(9):1347-56, 2008 Sep.
57. Case volume and mortality in haematological patients with acute respiratory failure. Lecuyer L. Chevret S. Guidet B. Aegerter P. Martel P. Schlemmer B. Azoulay E. *European Respiratory Journal*. 32(3):748-54, 2008 Sep.
58. Effects of hospital volume of primary percutaneous coronary interventions on angiographic results and in-hospital outcomes for acute myocardial infarction. Shiraishi J. Kohno Y. Sawada T. Arihara M. Hyogo M. Yagi T. Shima T. Okada T. Nakamura T. Matoba S. Yamada H. Shirayama T. Tatsumi T. Kitamura M. Furukawa K. Matsubara H. AMI-Kyoto Multi-Center Risk Study Group. *Circulation Journal*. 72(7):1041-6, 2008 Jul.
59. Endovascular technology, hospital volume, and mortality with abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. Dimick JB. Upchurch GR Jr. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 7(6):1150-4, 2008 Jun.
60. Disparities in the utilization of high-volume hospitals for total knee replacement. SooHoo NF. Zingmond DS. Ko CY. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 100(5):559-64, 2008 May.

61. Mortality after urologic cancer surgery: impact of non-index case volume. Gilbert SM. Dunn RL. Miller DC. Daignault S. Ye Z. Hollenbeck BK. *Urology*. 71(5):906-10, 2008 May.
62. Volume-outcome relationships in coronary artery bypass graft surgery patients: 5-year major cardiovascular event outcomes. Lin HC. Xirasagar S. Tsao NW. Hwang YT. Kuo NW. Lee HC. *Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery*. 135(4):923-30, 2008 Apr.
63. Impact of hospital volume on postoperative morbidity and mortality following a colectomy for ulcerative colitis. Kaplan GG. McCarthy EP. Ayanian JZ. Korzenik J. Hodin R. Sands BE. *Gastroenterology*. 134(3):680-7, 2008 Mar.
64. Volume and outcome for major upper GI surgery in England. Pal N. Axisa B. Yusof S. Newcombe RG. Wemyss-Holden S. Rhodes M. Lewis MP. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 12(2):353-7, 2008 Feb.
65. High-volume versus low-volume for esophageal resections for cancer: the essential role of case-mix adjustments based on clinical data. Wouters MW. Wijnhoven BP. Karim-Kos HE. Blaauwgeers HG. Stassen LP. Steup WH. Tilanus HW. Tollenaar RA. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 15(1):80-7, 2008 Jan.
66. Hospital volume and patient outcomes in pulmonary embolism. Aujesky D. Mor MK. Geng M. Fine MJ. Renaud B. Ibrahim SA. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 178(1):27-33, 2008 Jan 1.
67. Hospital volume and stroke outcome: does it matter?. Saposnik G. Baibergenova A. O'Donnell M. Hill MD. Kapral MK. Hachinski V. Stroke Outcome Research Canada (SORCan) Working Group. *Neurology*. 69(11):1142-51, 2007 Sep 11.
68. Factors influencing the volume-outcome relationship in gastrectomies: a population-based study. Smith DL. Elting LS. Learn PA. Raut CP. Mansfield PF. *Annals of Surgical Oncology*. 14(6):1846-52, 2007 Jun.

The effect of surgeon volume on outcomes and resource use for vaginal hysterectomy.

Rogo-Gupta LJ. Lewin SN. Kim JH. Burke WM. Sun X. Herzog TJ. Wright JD.

Obstetrics & Gynecology. 116(6):1341-7, 2010 Dec.

UI: 21099600

OBJECTIVE: To estimate the effect of surgical volume on outcomes and resource use in women undergoing vaginal hysterectomy.

METHODS: Women who underwent total vaginal hysterectomy and were registered in the Perspective database were examined. Perspective is a nationwide database developed to measure quality and resource use. Procedure-associated intraoperative, perioperative, and postoperative medical complications as well as hospital readmission, length of stay, intensive care unit (ICU) use, operating time, and cost were analyzed. Based on the overall gynecologic surgical volume and vaginal surgical volume of their surgeons, patients were stratified into tertiles. Complications were compared using adjusted generalized estimating equations and reported as odds ratios (ORs).

RESULTS: A total of 77,109 patients operated on by 6,195 gynecologic surgeons were identified. After adjustment for the effects of other demographic variables and concomitant procedures, patients operated on by high-volume vaginal surgeons were 31% (OR 0.69; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.59-0.80) less likely to experience an operative injury, whereas perioperative complications were reduced by 19% (OR 0.81; 95% CI 0.72-0.92), medical complications decreased by 24% (OR 0.76; 95% CI 0.67-0.86), ICU admission reduced by 46% (OR 0.56; 95% CI 0.43-0.73), and the transfusion rate decreased by 28% (OR 0.72; 95% CI 0.61-0.85) in patients treated by high-volume vaginal surgeons, whereas rates of readmission were higher (OR 1.24; 95% CI 1.04-1.47) in patients treated by high-volume surgeons. Operative times were lower in patients operated on by high-volume surgeons ($P < .001$). Although total gynecologic surgical volume had no effect on cost, patients treated by high-volume vaginal surgeons had lower costs ($P < .001$).

CONCLUSION: Perioperative morbidity and resource use are lower in women undergoing vaginal hysterectomy when the procedure is performed by high-volume vaginal surgeons.