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            P R O C E E D I N G S
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Good morning,
    everybody, it is 10:00, so we're going to start at
    promptly 10:00.
    Just a couple informational items.
    First of all, welcome to beautiful Springfield on
    this fall day, the State Capitol. There is a box in
    the center of this aisle that has to be there for
    right now, so please be careful as you're coming
    forward and leaving that you don't trip on that box.
    I am planning lunch promptly at 12:30,
    so you can plan accordingly. Depending on where we
    are in the agenda, we'll take either 45 minutes or
    an hour for lunch, so we'll try to keep it quick.
    Just as an information piece, I will
    announce at the very end of the day the next two
    meetings are going to be in Bolingbrook, but we are
    going to start the meetings at 9 a.m. Starting at
    the next meeting we'll be starting at 9 a.m. 10:00
    is half the morning gone, so we're going to start at
    9 a.m. The next two meetings will be in
    Bolingbrook.
    I'm going to call this meeting to order,
    can I have a roll call, please, George? Nelson.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Chairman Olson.
```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Present.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Vice-Chairman Hayes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Here.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Bradley.

PHILIP BRADLEY: Well, as the only

Springfield native, I want to welcome the Board to
town, we're delighted you're here. We hope you spend a lot of money on gas and on other things. And I am present.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Thank you.

Dr. Burden.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Here, but I'm not
going to spend a lot of money.

PHILIP BRADLEY: Oh, yes, you are, we
have ways.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: Here.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Galassie.

Absent. Mr. Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Here, and I spent

14 years here.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn.

MR. GEORGE ROATE: He is present.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: There he is.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell.
RICHARD SEWELL: Here.
MR. NELSON AGBODO: Thank you. Eight members present.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Nelson. I would like to acknowledge that we do have a past board member in our office, Jean Verdue. Stand and say hello to us. Thank you.
(Applause.)
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And it is with deep regret that $I$ need to announce that Alexis will be moving on from the Board, she's taken a position with Blue Cross/Blue Shield. So we appreciate all your service, you'll be greatly missed. Good luck to you. Thank you.
MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thank you, Chairwoman.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And you can go right into public participation.
MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Great. We're going to begin the public participation section of the board meeting. As a reminder, the Open Meetings Act requires that any person shall be permitted an opportunity to address public officials under the rules established and recorded by the public body. In an effort to balance the rights of individuals,
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we'd like to address the Board with the Board's need
to maintain legal decorum and efficiencies, please
keep in mind the following guidelines: All comments
should be limited to two minutes, and each comment
    should be about an agenda item listed on today's
board meeting. Please make sure all your comments
are focused and relevant to the specific projects on
    the current day's agenda. Comments should not be
personal and not be disruptive to the Board's
proceedings. Please identify yourselves and the
project item and agenda when you are going to speak
before initiating your comments, and please identify
your position on the project.
    I am going to call people in groups of
five. We'll begin with Don Colby, Richard Gruber,
    Sonya Reese, Joe Ourth, and Trent Gordon.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: We've called five
names, and I'm counting four people.
    MR. JOE OURTH: Mr. Gordon will not be
here, not be providing testimony this morning.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. Thank you.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Can we call Dan
    Colby?
    MR. DAN COLBY: Thank you Madam
    Chairwoman. My name the Dan Colby, C-O-L-B-Y, I
```

    live in Harvard, Illinois. I understand that the --
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: It's not on.
    MR. DAN COLBY: Again, my name is Dan
    Colby, I'm from Harvard, Illinois. I understand
    that on the agenda today you'll be looking at the
    Centegra remanded proposal to you. I have some
    comments on the situation since it's been approved.
    Now that Centegra has been granted a monopoly,
    controlling more than 93 percent of the hospital
    beds in McHenry County, consumers have lost the
    opportunity for choice services and the potential
    for cost savings resulting from competition.
    Centegra can now clearly set rules for who can and
    cannot practice medicine in their facilities without
    fear of the doctors going to other hospitals that
    are perhaps more supportive. Decisions such as
    restricting staff privileges at its hospitals could
    very negatively impact the medical practices of the
    non-Centegra employee positions in McHenry County
    and, in fact, independent physicians are already
    complaining that once their patients have been
    admitted to a Centegra facility, that the patients
    are now being reassigned to Centegra physicians. I
    ask that the Board rescind the Centegra approval and
    relook at this whole situation. Thank you.
    ```

MR. RICHARD GRUBER: Good morning, Madam
Chair and Members. My name is Richard Gruber, G-R-U-B-E-R, my office address is 1000 Lake Avenue in Woodstock, Illinois. I'm here to speak concerning the Centegra Huntley project, Project Number 10-090. I, too, want to state that I'm in sincere hope that this Board would reject the Centegra permit and start the proceedings from scratch for the following reasons: All of the assumptions that you were made by the applicant to justify the Huntley project have, frankly, failed to materialize. Population growth 2010 to 2012 census estimates show only a 2 percent population increase. Housing permits issued in the village of Huntley have remained flat, and, frankly, the overall population has declined in McHenry County planning area A-10 during the same period. A new bed need inventory was presented at your August board meeting. That inventory now reflects that with the approval of the Huntley project, planning area \(A-10\) McHenry County, has a net surplus of \(40 \mathrm{med} / \mathrm{surg}\) beds. This has a very negative impact on the provision of safety net services by other hospitals in the region. This is something that your Board has consistently expressed as a significant concern.
```

Two members of the board who voted on the Huntley
project are no longer members of the board, and as
such, that makes it impossible to satisfy the Will
County Court remand, which calls for the Board to
provide its rationale for the decision that made for
the approval of the Huntley project. This fact is
the basis for the project -- it is, in fact, the
basis for this project that has been proven to be
wrong.
MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thirty seconds.
MR. RICHARD GRUBER: The information
that the CON board relied on for their consideration
is now nearly four years old and, as such, does not
reflect the current state of the times. You should
reconsider the permit for Centegra Huntley based
upon current conditions. Thank you very much.
MS. SONJA REECE: Good morning. I'm
Sonya Reese, I'm the Director of Health Facilities
Planning for Advocate Healthcare. The opportunity
that you have today to review the Centegra
application for a new hospital in Huntley is unique.
Unique because this application is over two years
old, which allows you to actually test whether or
not the applicant's assumptions are coming true. A
close examination of Centegra's projections compared

```
```

```
    1 \text { to reality reveals a stark contrast. Centegra}
```

```
```

    1 \text { to reality reveals a stark contrast. Centegra}
    ```
```

    projected that McHenry County's population would
    ```
    projected that McHenry County's population would
    grow to 377,000, but your recent analysis shows it's
    grow to 377,000, but your recent analysis shows it's
    309,000. That's 20 percent less than Centegra's
    309,000. That's 20 percent less than Centegra's
    projections.
    projections.
    Let's talk about the reality of
    Let's talk about the reality of
    admissions. In the last two years, inpatient
    admissions. In the last two years, inpatient
    hospital admissions have dropped significantly. In
    hospital admissions have dropped significantly. In
    the six-county metro area, admissions have dropped
    the six-county metro area, admissions have dropped
    by over 46,000. That's equivalent to three empty
    by over 46,000. That's equivalent to three empty
    hospitals the size of the proposed Centegra
    hospitals the size of the proposed Centegra
    hospital. That's three fewer hospitals, not more.
    hospital. That's three fewer hospitals, not more.
    This is playing out across Chicagoland and, in fact,
    This is playing out across Chicagoland and, in fact,
    Illinois, with hospitals reducing services and
    Illinois, with hospitals reducing services and
    considering closing. Advocate believes that these
    considering closing. Advocate believes that these
    decreases in admissions will continue. You recently
    decreases in admissions will continue. You recently
    approved a modernization project for Advocate Good
    approved a modernization project for Advocate Good
    Shepherd Hospital, which decreased, not increased,
    Shepherd Hospital, which decreased, not increased,
    its bed count. Twice you've voted down Vista's new
    its bed count. Twice you've voted down Vista's new
    hospital proposal in Lake County because of your
    hospital proposal in Lake County because of your
    concern over demand and impact.
    concern over demand and impact.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thirty seconds.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thirty seconds.
    MS. SONJA REECE: You've also voted down
    MS. SONJA REECE: You've also voted down
        this Centegra project twice because of similar
        this Centegra project twice because of similar
        concerns about population growth and impact. Your
```

        concerns about population growth and impact. Your
    ```
```

    intuition on those first two votes was correct, and
    you now have a chance for another vote. With the
    only new information being less need for hospital
    beds and available capacity, the addition of another
    hospital is not necessary. Thank you for your
    consideration.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Ms. Reese.
    MR. JOE OURTH: Good morning, I'm Joe
    Ourth, and I have the opportunity to represent
    Advocate, both Sherman Hospital and Advocate Good
    Shepherd. It seems like it's been several years
    we've been working on this project, and we're here
again today for another stage in that. Today what
we're here for is because the Court has sent the
case back and asked the Board to explain why it is
that the project that, after having twice been found
to be not in compliance with the rules, why it was
found to be in compliance with on the, on the third,
and this asks for an explanation on this. This is
admittedly a very difficult task, and the Board is
in a difficult task to explain this. What are those
things that caused that to change, and that's the
posture that you're in.
To show how difficult it is, I know that
you received a letter from Centegra, even though I

```
```

    believe it came in after the time line, it's still
    in the record, where they would have had every
    incentive to try to explain -- to try to give a road
    map as to, okay, here's how this can be explained.
    I think you'll find that it was even difficult for
    them to explain how that could have been changed,
    which makes it very difficult for you, as well, to
find what that would be. So what the Court said is
that there's a number of things that you, as the
Board, have a number of opportunities and actions
that you can take. One of them is, as they said,
provide an explanation. Admittedly, a difficult
explanation. Another, they said is there's a, they
aren't going to dictate what you, the Board, should
do. As has already been said, one of the
possibilities is to say: We're not going to say
that you can't reconsider; if you want to
reconsider, you can do that.
MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thirty seconds.
MR. JOE OURTH: It's also possible for
the Board to look at and say, you know, what we've
got people who aren't here, we've got different
things, it's really hard for us to reach the
consensus. The Judge doesn't hold you in contempt
by saying, you know what, we've talked about it,

```
```

we've struggled with it, we can't reach a consensus,
and then reporting back to the Judge, also an
acceptable kind of thing under the Court's ruling.
What we would ask is that this is a
difficult situation for you, we would ask that you
look at this and try to respond to that in the way
and according to rules, and in the process that I
think that we all want and envision. So with that,
we wish you best wishes on your deliberations.
Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Mr. Ourth.
With.
MR. DAN LAWLER: Good morning, my name
is Dan Lawler, I'm CON counsel for the permit holder
Centegra Huntley Hospital and Centegra Health
System. Our esteemed opponents are mistaken as to
the purpose of the remand from the Circuit Court of
Will County. Judge Petrungaro specifically stated
in the transcript that you have, that she was not
requesting a reconsideration of the project. The
sole purpose of the remand is for the Board to
provide further explanation for the approval of the
permit on Centegra Hospital Huntley, and that's what
we are requesting that the Board do today. Thank
you.

```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Mr.
Lawler. Thank you all.
MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Our next five speakers are Aaron Shepley, Sheila Rock, Mark Silverman on behalf of John Layaturno, Mark Turner, and Mayor Mark Eckert. And please be sure to spell your name for the court reporter before you begin speaking. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Mr. Shepherd, you may begin.

MR. AARON SHEPLEY: Thank you, my name
is Aaron Shepley, \(S-H-E-P-L-E-Y, ~ I ' m\) the general
counsel for Centegra Health System, and I thought
that this opportunity to speak provided a great
opportunity to just give you an update as to the
status of our project. Our project, I'm speaking in
support of the Centegra Hospital Huntley item that
is on your agenda, and we're requesting that you
provide the additional information that was
requested by Judge Petrungaro.

As board members, most of you anyway are aware our project was approved on July 24 th, 2012.
At the time \(I\) think it was probably safe to say that
it was the cleanest new hospital replacement
application that this board has ever seen, based on
```
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    1 \text { a review of all the others that had come before. On}
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```
```

    1 \text { a review of all the others that had come before. On}
    ```
```

    September 10th of 2012, this board provided a
    ```
    September 10th of 2012, this board provided a
    ten-page explanation of the basis for the decision,
    ten-page explanation of the basis for the decision,
    and with our validly issued permit in hand, we
    and with our validly issued permit in hand, we
    immediately commenced working on things such as
    immediately commenced working on things such as
    architectural work, engineering work, and securing
    architectural work, engineering work, and securing
    local approvals. We've invested millions of dollars
    local approvals. We've invested millions of dollars
    in this project, we now have as-built drawings for
    in this project, we now have as-built drawings for
    the project to commence later on this fall, and we
    the project to commence later on this fall, and we
    anticipate receiving the approval of -- the final
    anticipate receiving the approval of -- the final
    approval of the Village of Huntley later on in the
    approval of the Village of Huntley later on in the
    month of October. We've already received
    month of October. We've already received
    preliminary approval.
    preliminary approval.
    Irrespective of what our opponents may
    Irrespective of what our opponents may
    believe, the purpose for this hearing or that the
    believe, the purpose for this hearing or that the
    request of the judge, the fact of the matter is it
    request of the judge, the fact of the matter is it
    was sent back for a very limited purpose, that is to
    was sent back for a very limited purpose, that is to
    provide additional information, and we simply ask
    provide additional information, and we simply ask
    that the Board in its considered judgment provide
    that the Board in its considered judgment provide
    the information that has been requested by the judge
    the information that has been requested by the judge
    so that we can continue to move forward with our
    so that we can continue to move forward with our
    project as we have over the course of the last 10 to
    project as we have over the course of the last 10 to
    12 months.
    12 months.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Mr.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Mr.
    Shepley.
```

    Shepley.
    ```

MS. SHEILA ROCK: Good morning, Madam

Chairperson, Members of the Board, my name is Sheila Rock, \(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K} . \quad \mathrm{I}\) am here on behalf of the residents of the Clare in Chicago, Illinois, to encourage you to approve 16 additional skilled nursing beds for our institution. We have a dire need for those beds, not only from people in the community, but from people within our building. We have many people who are presently living independently, however, it will not be, unfortunately, in the not too distant future where they will be needing additional care, and they will receive that care if this is approved at their home. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Ms. Rock. MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: For the board members, that was regarding project Number 13-01 Terraces of the Clare. The next, the next project, or the participant is regarding project Number 13-031 Waukegan Renal Center. MR. MARK SILBERMAN: Good morning. My name is Mark Silverman, and this is H15 on your agenda. I am here speaking on behalf of BH4, who is technically providing an objection to the proposed relocation of the DaVita Dialysis Center, but BH4
wants to be absolutely clear, this is not an
objection to DaVita, and certainly this is not an
objection to the proposed advancements they want to
ensure for providing care to their patients.
    Inadvertently, when the staff report was put
    forward, it was left out the fact that there was a
    comprehensive opposition submitted on behalf of BH4.
    BH4 is the company that owns the medical office
    building where this facility is and has been located
    for a number of years. Their objection is rooted in
        the principles of the Certificate of Need process.
        Their objection lays out to, my goal here really
        today is just to provide the summary that would have
        otherwise been in the staff report. The objection
        is that all of the proposed benefits that DaVita
        seeks to obtain by relocating this facility can
        actually be obtained at the existing location, and
        can actually be obtained at a lower cost. They have
        plans and designs and proposals that have been
        included with the objection materials, and one of
        the fundamental principles of the Certificate of
        Need process is to have better utilization of
        existing facilities rather than to incur unnecessary
        costs and establish new facilities. Additionally,
        there's costs that aren't included. There's an
```

extended lease that exists regards to this existing
facility which will add an additional million
dollars in costs potentially if the lease is broken
and this facility is relocated. This is an
economically depressed area that has already
experienced the flight of medical providers and
medical care. Steps have been taken to ensure that
this facility provides access to those who are in
need of dialysis, coordination with public
transportation to ensure the best available care,
and this building is prepared to take the steps and
to coordinate with DaVita necessary to make sure
that the first class care that they want to continue
to provide can be provided by better utilizing the
existing facility. So we would ask the board
members to please be sure to take that into
consideration when considering this application.
Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you.
MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: The next four
speakers will speak about project Number 13-034, St.
Elizabeth's Hospital, Belleville.
MR. MARK TURNER: Thank you. Good

```
    morning. And thank you for this opportunity. My
    name is Mark Turner, \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{T}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}\), and I am
```

the president and CEO of Memorial Hospital in
Belleville, and our new hospital in Shiloh. We are
the largest Medicaid provider in the Metro East, and
that's the term used to describe the Illinois side
of the Greater St. Louis Area. The development of
Our Shiloh Hospital, which was approved in June of
2011, is on schedule. Much of the site preparation
has been completed, and we look forward to seeing
steel on the site soon.
I am here today to voice my concern over
St. Elizabeth's plan to close its inpatient
psychiatric unit. It's the only psychiatric unit in
Belleville, and as such, patients seen in Memorial's
Emergency Department are dependent on it. St.
Elizabeth's was very clear in their application that
they have been working in concert with Touchette,
and that's a very good thing. As I reviewed the
State Agency Staff Report I noticed that there was
something missing. While quotes were provided from
supporters of the closure, none were provided by the
opponents appearing at the hearing. For example,
Dr. Maria Scarborough, an experienced Emergency
Department physician, expressed her concern of the
reduction of mental health beds in St. Clair County.
She testified about the difficulty that she

```
routinely has in finding psychiatric beds for an
already vulnerable and underserved population.
Sometimes we have patient in our ED for days because
a bed just isn't available, and yet, if approved,
even if the Touchette expansion is approved, the
    result being net reduction in bed availability.
    While \(I\) understand that this is the next best
    alternative, why not the best alternative, allowing
    Touchette to expand their psychiatric services while
    maintaining some psychiatric inpatient service at
    St. Elizabeth's.
    In closing, at the public hearing one of
    the participants asked St. Elizabeth's to pledge
that it, that they would not leave downtown
Belleville. St. Elizabeth's has not done so. In
    fact, they have been very public about their plans
to move their remaining in-patient services out of
Belleville to a site near our Shiloh Hospital.
Therefore, it's important to understand that this is
likely the first step in St. Elizabeth's plan to
abandon downtown Belleville. Thank you for your
attention and time.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Mr.
    Turner.
    MAYOR MARK ECKERT: My name is Mark
Eckert, I am Mayor of the City of Belleville,
Illinois. I'm here today to speak concerning St.
Elizabeth's Hospital Belleville's application for
CON. We are not necessarily opposed to this CON,
but it does raise concern about their public intent
to seek to move all of its inpatient services out of
downtown Belleville, which has been present for over
    139 years. As a Catholic-sponsored non-for-profit
hospital, we believe this is contrary to its
mission, and our most vulnerable population. The
City of Belleville does see this request today as a
precursor to what we fear is coming next, and the
City of Belleville stands ready to work with St.
Elizabeth's to keep this historic presence, their
historic presence in downtown Belleville. Thank
you.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Our next five
    speakers are Dana -- Dana Rosenzweig, Melissa
    Sterling on behalf of Kevin Hutchison. Is
    Christopher Lloyd here? Dana Rosenzweig again. And
        then Emanuel Chris Welch.
    MR. DANA ROSENZWEIG: Yes, good morning.
My name is Dana Rosenzweig, R-O-S-E-N-Z-W-E-I-G. I
spelled that for everyone. I'm here this morning in
```

support of the CON applications for St. Elizabeth's
and Touchette Regional Hospitals. As the Executive
Director of the County Mental Health Board, and by
state statute and within a local unit of government,
the Board functions as the local mental health
authority. I believe the proposals before you are
consistent with the Board's mission and, therefore,
I support both projects.
In addition, the County Mental Health
Board passed a resolution on September 19th which
fully endorses and supports both proposals. The
projects under consideration are excellent examples
of how two health care providers come together to
better serve the Metro East communities. I was
pleased to learn the resulting regional Mental
Health Center of Excellence has three goals: To
deliver behavioral health services more efficiently
and effectively to all residents of the region; to
provide easy access to quality comprehensive care
for patients and families from St. Clair County, and
to ensure, and most importantly, the long-term
viability for high quality mental health services in
the region. Given my role, I appreciate the
planning that's gone into the Center of Excellence,
I believe the future delivery of services will be

```

1 greatly enhanced through this type of innovation.
And having one centralized provider of inpatient
mental health services will prove beneficial in
    improving the current system of care. At a time
    when behavioral health services are increasingly
    fragmented, the Metro East area has the opportunity
    to show it is a leader in the state in providing
    this vital health care through innovative provider
    collaborations, and that's why I urge the Review
    Board to approve St. Elizabeth's and Touchette's CON
    applications. Thank you very much for your time.
    MS. MELISSA STERLING: Good morning, my
    name is Melissa Sterling, and I appreciate your
    allowing me to speak on behalf of the Executive
        Director of the St. Clair County Health Department
        Kevin Hutchison. We are here to support Project
        13-034 for St. Elizabeth's and 13-036 for Touchette
        Regional Hospital's CON. The St. Clair County
        Community Health Plan adopted by the Board of Health
        was developed by the St. Clair County Health Care
        Commission, using a collaborative public health
        approach which works to provide resources that
        identify and meet our residents health needs.
        Representatives from St. Elizabeth's Hospital,
        Touchette Regional Hospital, St. Clair County Mental
```

    Health Board and other community partners serving on
    the commission identify the need for the improved
    behavioral health was one of the County's primary
    health issues. It is our understanding that the
    approval of these projects will lay the foundation
    for the establishment of a regional Mental Health
    Center of Excellence at Touchette. If established,
    this center can provide residents of our region with
    increased access to behavioral health services that
    integrate inpatient, outpatient and community-based
    care. This regional approach will help us make sure
    that Metro East residents have consistent access to
    behavioral health services for years to come. For
    these reasons we ask you to approve St. Elizabeth's
    and Touchette's CON to allow them to work together
    to create a regional Mental Health Center of
    Excellence at Touchette, because it will help ensure
    the wellness, health and safety of all Metro East
    residents. Thank you for your consideration.
    Sincerely, Kevin Hutchinson, Executive Director.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you.
        MR. EMANUEL CHRIS WELCH: Good morning,
        Madam Chair, Members of the Board. My name is
        Emanuel Chris Welch, I'm the state representative
        for the 7th House District in the great State of
    ```
```

1 Illinois. I appreciate the opportunity to provide
Illinois. I appreciate the opportunity to provide
testimony here today in support of 13-041, 13-042,
13-043 and 13-044. That's the change in ownership
of the Van Guard Health System to Tenet Health
System. The fact that I am the only current
legislator down here in Springfield, got in my car
and drove here last night, tells you how strongly I
support this. I'm also just one of many state
legislators who support this change in ownership.
Senate President John Cullertan, Senator Antonio
Munoz, Senator Martin Sandoval, Senator Don Harmon
Senator Steve Landek, Representative Sara
Feigenholtz, representative Elizabeth Hernandez,
Representative Mike Zalewski, and Representative
Robin Gable all support this acquisition. Also
other elected officials in the Cook County area,
Alderman James Caplin, Commissioner Jesus Garcia,
Commissioner Larry Suffredon, Melrose Park Mayor Ron
Serpico, and also the mayor, newly elected mayor of
River Forest, Cathy Adduci. All of us support this,
because these are some very important hospitals in
our communities. When we hear about hospitals
changing hands and companies pledging to invest in a
community, it is understandable for people to be
skeptical. Truthfully, I'm usually one of those

```
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people. But that is not the case here today. I am
here to express my strong support for the CON
application for the merger between Van Guard Health
Systems and Tenet Health Care. West Lake is a very
important part of my community in particular and
provides necessary health care services to residents
in my district, as well as many surrounding areas.
In fact, I don't only -- I not only chair the West
Lake Hospital Board, but I'm particularly proud to
hold that position, because I was also born at West
Lake many many years ago now.
Today it's rare to be able to point to a
community hospital that has maintained its high
level of service while still maintaining the role of
community institution. West Lake provides health
programs for all of my constituents. They're always
willing to provide health care screenings and come
out to health fairs in our district. They help all
of the folk that live in my district, including
Spanish speakers. My experience, along with the
experiences I hear about from my constituents every
day, indicates the need for local hospitals to exist
so they can serve working families and the
underprivileged. West Lake Hospital and the other
three Van Guard Hospitals is a perfect example of

```
how that need is being addressed. Van Guard has delivered on its commitment to deliver exemplary charity care, and they serve Medicaid patients in my district every day. Through both its word, and more importantly its actions, Van Guard has demonstrated
```

    a firm commitment to the community, working
    ```
families, and the underserved, and I am encouraged
by Tenet Health Care's promise to continue the great
work that Van Guard has accomplished. This is
consistent with my values, and it is consistent with
my constituents' values.
    We have a responsibility as a community
    to provide quality health care to all patients in
need. My constituents and I have seen this over the
past years since Van Guard purchased West Lake
Hospital and the other three. In my role as Board
Chair of the West Lake Hospital, I have seen the
strength of the hospitals systems local leaders, and
    I am pleased to know that they will stay on in place
    following the completion of the merger. I am also
happy to see Tenet's public commitment to providing
    the same services at all four of Van Guard's
hospitals for a minimum of the next two years.
    So Members, I want to thank you, Madam
    Chair, I want to thank you for the opportunity to
```

    offer my support for the approval of this merger. I
    am certain these facilities, as well as their
    patients and employees, will benefit as part of this
    effort to strengthen and broaden their services
    offered by each of the hospitals in our communities.
    Thank you once again.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you,
    Representative Welch. Thanks for taking your time.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Our next speakers,
    Carmen Velasquez, Bishop Porter here? Dr. Rahman,
    and Mark Silverman.
MS. CARMEN VELASQUEZ: Good morning. My
name is Carmen Velasquez, and I am the founder and
Executive Director of the Alivio Medical Center.
I'm from Chicago. I'm very pleased to come before
the members of the Illinois Health Facility and
Service Review Board for allowing me to testify in
support of the acquisition of Van Guard Health
Systems by Tenet Health Care. First let me start by
sharing a little about Alivio Medical Center. We
are a bilingual, bicultural, federally qualified
health center committed to providing access to
quality and cost-effective health care to the
Latino, predominantly Mexican community, and to the
uninsured and underinsured, not to the exclusion of

```
other culture and races. For over two decades we have committed ourselves to this mission. Alivio's partnership with Van Guard MacNeal Hospital works because there's trust. It is a real relationship where we cultivate -- where we collaborate and cultivate to provide access to quality cost effective health care to the uninsured and underinsured in the Latino community. I know that we always have a partner in MacNeal because the hospital doesn't have just a presence in our community, it has permanence. We all know we have different models of care and community health centers are distinct, but none of us stand alone, and we must all come together to address patient care. The leadership and staff at MacNeal Hospital are sensitive to the basis our community. They share their resources and work with us to make us all more effective care givers.

Finally, and perhaps more importantly, MacNeal works tirelessly with groups like mine to help patients find a medical home. This is especially true for those who have no alternative but to use the emergency room for their primary care needs. I view the Tenet's desire to enter this market as a welcome investment in our community and
```

a sign of the Tenet's commit to quality community

```
a sign of the Tenet's commit to quality community
    care. I know what the system is capable of,
    care. I know what the system is capable of,
    continuing the partnership that Van Guard has
    continuing the partnership that Van Guard has
    developed with its neighbors and patients of it's
    developed with its neighbors and patients of it's
    four Chicago hospitals. I'm very excited that they
    four Chicago hospitals. I'm very excited that they
will experience the same types of benefits that we
will experience the same types of benefits that we
have enjoyed over the years. Thank you for your
have enjoyed over the years. Thank you for your
    time and consideration.
    time and consideration.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: And Dr. Rahman is
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: And Dr. Rahman is
    speaking on behalf of project 13-007.
    speaking on behalf of project 13-007.
    MR. FAISO RAHMAN: Good morning. My
    MR. FAISO RAHMAN: Good morning. My
name Faiso Rahman, F-A-I-S-O, Rahman, R-A-H-M-A-N, I
name Faiso Rahman, F-A-I-S-O, Rahman, R-A-H-M-A-N, I
represent Advanced Pain and Anesthesia Consultants,
represent Advanced Pain and Anesthesia Consultants,
which is the largest, the largest pain management
which is the largest, the largest pain management
groups in Indiana/Illinois area. We have about 12
groups in Indiana/Illinois area. We have about 12
offices spread throughout the city. Unlike most
offices spread throughout the city. Unlike most
groups, we do take Medicare, we do take Medicaid,
groups, we do take Medicare, we do take Medicaid,
and we are, most of the surgery centers around the
and we are, most of the surgery centers around the
proposed center do not make Medicaid, and we're also
proposed center do not make Medicaid, and we're also
shut out for some of the hospitals because of closed
shut out for some of the hospitals because of closed
anesthesia and pain contracts. About 27,000
anesthesia and pain contracts. About 27,000
patients go through our system, and we have patients
patients go through our system, and we have patients
with some very special needs, particularly the women
with some very special needs, particularly the women
patients, as well as women from conservative
```

patients, as well as women from conservative

```
backgrounds from, whether south Asia, whether it's an Arab, or Muslims, or Hindus, or Pakistanis, or Indians and so on. And we recognize that and in our practice, and as part of that we have specifically recruited aggressively two women surgeons who take care of the focused needs of the Women's Center. We are excited about the possibility of this particular center coming up in Arlington Park addressing the I would say almost between 500 to three-quarters of a million people who need these special services, and one, on behalf of our group we not only want to extend them the support, but also commit that we will have wonderful women surgeons who will be specifically designated to operate out of this center. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you.
MR. MARK SILBERMAN: Good morning, and I'd like to thank the Board for the opportunity. My name is Mark Silverman, \(S-I-L, B\) as in boy, \(E-R-M-A-N\), to offer these comments on behalf the Madina Nursing Center, Alpine Fireside, Fairview Nursing Plaza, and Neighbors Rehabilitation in continued support to the Pecatonica Pavilion Project 10-031.

I am going to continue the positive
mindset and the well wishes that Mr. Ourth offered.
The remand by the Appellate Court of this decision
and the subsequent decisions have created a
    circumstance that is somewhat unique for the Board,
    and it's a circumstance that's ripe with potential
procedural pitfalls. The underlying purpose behind
    the arguments presented to the Appellate Court
really was to ensure that the appropriate processes
were in place, to make sure that the Board had the
    opportunity and the guidance to articulate the bases
    and the reasons for its decision. This is something
where, with regard to the consideration of the
remand, the instruction of the court seems to be to
explain a historical decision, so one of the
potential procedural pitfalls with this project
unique to this project, this was a project that was
    considered when there were only five members to this
board, and two of those members aren't here. So
while there's no doubt that the full complement of
board members may have great insight as to what to
do in the future, it's procedurally almost
impossible for them to offer an explanation of what
was done before, because they don't have access to
the staff reports, to the, there's been no agenda
item. I don't know if the Board is going to engage
```

    in a substantive discussion of this project. What
    ```
    in a substantive discussion of this project. What
    we would implore is that if there is going to be a
    we would implore is that if there is going to be a
    substantive discussion of this project, that it take
    substantive discussion of this project, that it take
    place in public.
    place in public.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thirty seconds.
    MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: Thirty seconds.
    MR. MARK SILBERMAN: From a purely
    MR. MARK SILBERMAN: From a purely
    substantive to the underlying application, the
    substantive to the underlying application, the
    objection was rooted in the absence of a need and in
    objection was rooted in the absence of a need and in
    the notable adverse impact that it could have on
    the notable adverse impact that it could have on
    existing facilities in the community. Circumstances
    existing facilities in the community. Circumstances
    have only continued. I agree, the Board has a
    have only continued. I agree, the Board has a
    challenging series of circumstances ahead of us, we
    challenging series of circumstances ahead of us, we
    have no doubt that the right things will be done,
    have no doubt that the right things will be done,
    and anything that my clients or I can do to assist
    and anything that my clients or I can do to assist
    in the process procedurally, we're happy to do.
    in the process procedurally, we're happy to do.
        Thank you.
        Thank you.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you Mr.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you Mr.
        Silberman. This concludes the public participation
        Silberman. This concludes the public participation
        session of the meeting. Thank you all for keeping
        session of the meeting. Thank you all for keeping
        your comments to under two minutes. We will now go
        your comments to under two minutes. We will now go
        into Executive Session, we're anticipating a short,
        into Executive Session, we're anticipating a short,
        long meeting? About 45 minutes tops. So please
        long meeting? About 45 minutes tops. So please
        be -- may I have a motion to go into Executive
        be -- may I have a motion to go into Executive
        Session.
        Session.
        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
```

        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
    ``` RICHARD SEWELL: Second. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Pursuant to 2 C 11 of the Open Meetings Act. May I have a second? MR. DAVID PENN: Second. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All in favor?
    (All in favor voted in the affirmative.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: We're now in
    Executive Session.
    (At this point the Open Session of the Board Meeting
    was recessed, and the Board went into Executive
    Session at 10:44 a.m.)
                                    (Recess)
    (Open Session called back to order at 11:30 a.m.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Are there actions to
    come out of Executive Session?
    MR. FRANK URSO: Yes, Madam Chair.
    Requesting a motion to approve a final decision on
    the Springfield Nursing and Rehab Center, Project
    08-086, which is Docket Number HFSRB 1212.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a motion?
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Second.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call, please? I
have a motion by Demuzio, second by Bradley.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay, motion made by

Senator Demuzio, second by Philip Bradley.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
please.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Bradley.

PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Dr. Burden?

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Galassie,
absent. Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Chairwoman Olson?

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes.

Other motions?
```

    MR. FRANK URSO: Yes. Madam Chair,
    after the Board reviewed the Court's remanded order
    regarding Centegra Hospital Huntley, Project 10-090,
    and the Board also reviewed the response document
    regarding that same project, we'll be requesting a
    motion to adopt that response as the Board's further
    explanation of the Board's decision to improve the
    Centegra project based upon the Will County's remand
    order.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a motion,
    please?
            DAVID PENN: So moved.
            VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
        Penn, seconded by Mr. Hayes.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a roll
        call vote, please?
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes, Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: The Court has asked us
    a question, and in this document we are answering
    their question. I vote yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
        Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: I vote yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Senator
    ```
Demuzio.
            SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Justice
    Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: I believe that
    this may be giving us a future that we won't want to
    have, because it will make every single one of our
    judgments subject to reversal and remand, so I vote
    no.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
Penn.
            DAVID PENN: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
            RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.
                            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes, for the reasons
                        stated by Mr. Bradley.
                            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
                            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes.
                        Other motions?
                            MR. FRANK URSO: Yes, we have another
motion. After reviewing the Fourth District
```

Appellate Court's decision regarding Pecatonica

```
Appellate Court's decision regarding Pecatonica
    Pavilion, Project Number 10-031, and also the Board
    Pavilion, Project Number 10-031, and also the Board
    reviewed the response document, we're requesting a
    reviewed the response document, we're requesting a
motion to adopt that response as the Board's further
motion to adopt that response as the Board's further
    explanation for the Board's decision to improve that
    explanation for the Board's decision to improve that
Pecatonica Project 10-031.
Pecatonica Project 10-031.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a motion,
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a motion,
please?
please?
        DR. JAMES BURDEN: So moved.
        DR. JAMES BURDEN: So moved.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And a second?
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And a second?
        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
        Bradley, and seconded by Mr. Hayes.
        Bradley, and seconded by Mr. Hayes.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
please.
please.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes. Mr. Bradley.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes. Mr. Bradley.
        PHILIP BRADLEY: Again, this Court asked
        PHILIP BRADLEY: Again, this Court asked
us a question, this document contains our response,
us a question, this document contains our response,
and I vote yes.
and I vote yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
    Dr. Burden.
    Dr. Burden.
        DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
        DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Senator
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Senator
        Demuzio.
        Demuzio.
        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
```

        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    ``` MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Justice
    Greiman.
        JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: No.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
    Hayes.
            VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.
            DAVID PENN: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
            RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. The motion
    passes.
            MR. FRANK URSO: That's all I have,
    Madam Chair and Board Members.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a motion
    to approve the agenda?
    RICHARD SEWELL: So moved.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
    Mr. Sewell, seconded by Mr. Hayes.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Voice vote please.
    All those in favor?
    (All in favor voted in the affirmative.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Opposed like sign?
(None opposed.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes.

MR. FRANK URSO: We have one more thing,

I apologize, and that's a motion to make a legal referral, and I'll get the specifics just in a second. I'd like a motion to refer to Legal the Phoenix Medical Center Carmi Health Facility and Services Review Board 07-058.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: May I have a motion, please?

SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Senator Demuzio, seconded by Mr. Hayes. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Voice vote please. MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Bradley.

PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Hayes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn. DAVID PENN: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell. RICHARD SEWELL: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Chairwoman Olson. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. The motion
passes. May I have a motion to approve the minutes
    of the August 13/14 meeting?
        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Second by Hayes. All
        those in favor signify by saying aye.
    (All in favor voted in the affirmative.)
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Opposed like sign.
            (None opposed.)
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes, and
    the minutes are approved. Okay, moving into post
    project items approved by the Chairman. George?
        MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
    Chair. We have permit renewal, first item is Permit
    Renewal 10-067, Fresenius Medical Care, Des Plaines.
    This is a 12-month permit renewal to September 30th,
    2014. A second permit renewal is for Project 09-076
        Sara Bush Lincoln Medical Center in Mattoon, this is
        a 17 -- 17 -month permit renewal to February 28th,
```

    2015. Thank you, Madam Chair, that is all.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
    Moving on, next we have Permit Renewal Requests,
    first Item A-01 Meadowbrook Manor, 18-month permit
    renewal. Will the representatives from Meadowbrook
    Manor please come forward?
(Representatives come forward.)
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: If you'll state your
name and spell it for the court reporter, and then
be sworn in.
MR. CHARLES SHEETS: Charles Sheets from
Polsinelli, S-H-E-E-T-S.
MR. JOHN MAZE: John Maze, Meadowbrook
Manor. John Maze, M-A-Z-E.
MS. ANN COOPER: Ann Cooper, Polsinelli
P-O-L-S-I-N-E-L-L-I.
(All were sworn.)
MR. GEORGE ROATE: On September 1st,
2009, the State Board approved Project 08-099, which
called for the establishment of a 150-bed general
long-term care facility in Geneva. Board staff
notes the project is obligated, and the project cost
is \$25.3 million. Board staff notes this is the
permit -- this is the permit holder's third renewal
request, and they're requesting a 18-month permit

```
renewal from July 31st, 2013, to January 31st, 2015.
Thank you, Madam Chair.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
    Comments, Mr. Sheets?
    MR. CHARLES SHEETS: Good morning, Madam
    Chair, Members of the Board. We were here because
we didn't have a commitment from HUD on the project,
    and Justice Greiman gave us six months, even though
    we asked for three, and I'm really glad, because we
    got the commitment right at the end of the six
months, and I believe that commitment has been
distributed for your review, so we would ask for a
    renewal so we can start construction and finish the
    project.
                                    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
    Board?
        (No questions.)
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Seeing no questions,
        may I have a motion to approve the renewal request
        for Meadowbrook Manor?
        RICHARD SEWELL: So moved.
        DAVID PENN: Second.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
        Mr. Sewell, second by Mr. Penn.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
please?
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes. Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn.
        DAVID PENN: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell.
        RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBODO: Chairwoman Olson.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. The motion
    passes. Thank you very much. Good luck.
        Next I have 07-138 Edward Hospital
    Naperville, permit renewal from, for 12 months.
        Would the applicants please come to the table?
    Good morning. If you would spell your
        name for the court reporter and then be sworn in?
    MR. KARI RUNGE: Kari Runge, R-U-N-G-E.
    MR. ROGER PIERCE: Roger Pierce,
\(P-I-E-R-C-E\).
(All were sworn.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair. Board staff would like to note this report was mailed as part of their supplemental mailing. On February 26, 2008, the State Board approved Project 007-138. The permit called for a modernization slash construction project at Edward Hospital in Naperville. The applicants -- the permit holder is requesting 12 -month permit renewal for the second permit renewal from September 30th, 2013, to September 30th, 2014. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George. Comments from the applicants?

MR. KARI RUNGE: Well, I just want to point out that as of today the project is 87 percent complete, we're on the last phase of the project, and we expect construction to be complete by February of 2014.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions from the Board?
(No questions.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Seeing no questions,
may I have a motion to approve?
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Moved, so moved.
    DAVID PENN: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Justice Greiman, second by Mr. Penn.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
please.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes. Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Justice Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Chairwoman Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. That's eight
    votes in the affirmative, the motion passes.
    There are no extension requests. Thank
you by the way, I'm sorry.
    Exemption Requests, C-01, Project
019-13, Delnor Medical Office Building for a change
    of ownership.
    Welcome. If you could please state your
    name for the court reporter and be sworn in.
    MR. JACK AXEL: Jack Axel, A-X-E-L.
    MR. ROBERT FRIEDBERG: Robert Friedberg
    \(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{G}\).
    MR. JOHN YEP: John Yep, Y-E-P.
    MS. HONEY SKINNER: Honey Skinner,
    \(S-K-I-N-N-E-R\).
                    (All were sworn.)
                            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Comments for the
    Board? Oh, I'm sorry, George.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you. Delnor --
    the applicants, Delnor Community Health System, HC
    Geneva Partners 1, LLC, and CDH Delnor Health System
    are acquiring controlling interest in a medical
    office building located on the campus of Delnor
    Community Hospital in Geneva. The fair market value
    of the transaction is \(\$ 22.9\) million, and there are
    no letters of opposition -- no letters of support or
    opposition were received by the State Agency, and
    there's no request for a public hearing. Thank you,
Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, comments for
    the Board?
    MR. ROBERT FRIEDBERG: Good morning. My
    name is Robert Friedberg, I'm Executive
    Vice-president for Kings Health and President of
    Delnor campus in Geneva. I'm joined by John Yep
    from Kings Health, as well, Honey Skinner from
    Sidley, and John Axel from Axel \& Associates. Thank
    you for the opportunity to present to this Board.
    The medical office building that Delnor
    is acquiring was approved by this board in 2004 and
    was opened in early 2006. And it's a building
    that's physically connected to our hospital. The
    vast majority of the building falls as physician
    offices, but it does have a couple of clinics that
    we do operate as hospital-based clinics inside that
    space, as well. Delnor has always had about a 20,
    as had a 20 percent ownership interest in the
        building, and HC Geneva, which is owned by Hamus
        Corporation, owns the remaining 80 percent. Through
        the post-transaction, Delnor will be acquiring HC
        Geneva's interest in the building. The independent
        appraisal value of the building was \(\$ 22.9\) million,
        Delnor is going to assume \(\$ 14.3\) million of
```

outstanding debt, and about \$8.2 million of cash,
totaling \$22 and a half million in value. In
addition, about \$420,000 is transactional related to
the possible rate going through this transaction
adding up to the \$22.9 million for the project.
We appreciate all the assistance we've
gotten from staff, the staff report is clean,
positively no public hearing was called for, and
we're not aware of any opposition to the project.
Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions
from the Board?
(No questions.)
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Seeing no questions,
I would ask for a motion to approve the change of
Ownership for Delnor Medical Building in Geneva.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: So moved.
RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Judge
Greiman, second by Mr. Sewell.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
please.
MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Bradley?
PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBODO: Dr. Burden.

``` DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Senator Demuzio. SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Justice Greiman. JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes. Mr. Hayes. VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Hayes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn. DAVID PENN: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell. RICHARD SEWELL: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Chairwoman Olson. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. That's eight votes in the affirmative, the motion passes. I'm going to throw a little curve ball here, I was supposed to request a change in the agenda to move Palos Extended Care up in the agenda, it was a special request that was made and granted, so if everybody could pull the Palos Hills Extended Care application, it's H-09, and the applicants can come to the table. I apologize \(I\) was supposed to do that.

MR. NATAN WEISS: That's quite all right, thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: DO I need a motion to change the agenda? Can \(I\) have a motion to change
the agenda?
```

    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And a second?
        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All in favor, voice
    ```
    vote, say aye.
        (All in favor voted in the affirmative.)
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Opposed, like sign.
                (None opposed.)
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes. Thank
        you. If you could state your name for the court
        reporter and spell it.
            MR. NATAN WEISS: Natan Weiss,
        \(N-A-T-A-N, \quad W-E-I-S-S\).
        MR. DANIEL WEISS: Daniel Weiss
        W-E-I-S-S.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: John Kniery
    \(\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Y}\), and behind me Charles Foley, \(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Y}\),
        and Stephen Sussholz.
        MR. STEPHEN SUSSHOLZ: S-U-S-S-H-O-L-Z.
        (All were sworn.)
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
        MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
        Chair. The applicants are proposing the
        modernization of 63 beds and the addition of 21
```

    1 long-term care beds to an existing 203 bed long-term
    care facility resulting in a 224 skilled care bed
facility. The anticipated cost of the project is
\$17.5 million. The applicants' board staff notes
the project does not appear in conformance with
provisions of Section 1125, and the applicants'
facility has a Medicare rating of one star. Thank
you, Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
Comments for the Board?
MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes. First of all,
Madam Chair, Members of the Board, again, I'm Natan
Weiss, member of the (inaudible). This is Daniel
Weiss, my brother, also a member of the (inaudible),
and John Kniery, Charles Foley and Steve Sussholz
are our consultants. I'd first like to thank the
staff for the work on the State Agency Report and
the Board and Ms. Avery for accommodating our
request to be heard at this point in the meeting, as
I'm leaving the country today, and this allowed me
the opportunity to attend and be able to make
comments, so thank you very much.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: You're welcome.
MR. NATAN WEISS: While we will be
upgrading the physical plant, we will continue, I

```
wanted to let everyone know we're continuing our
policy of admitting residents of all pay sources.
Our entire facility will remain duly certified for
Medicare and Medicaid residents, as is the case with
all of our facilities. We do not discriminate or
limit the number of Medicaid residents in our
facilities. When a resident is admitted under
another pay source, if they use all their funds, we
help them with Public Aid applications and continue
their care in our facilities. Pay sourcing is not a
reason for discharge from any of our facilities.
Our current Medicaid census is 107, we expect this
number to increase minimally during our
    stabilization period to approximately 112. The bulk
of the referrals that we are currently not able to
close are short-term Medicare and Medicaid -- and
managed care residents. The renovations will allow
us to realize admissions from these referrals to
increase our overall population. We are committed
to maintaining the current number of Medicaid
population and continuing to providing services to
all clients regardless of pay source. We have this
commitment through all of our properties that we
operate.
    Out of the 16 State Agency Report
```

criteria, 13 were found to be compliant. I would

```
like to address the other three. The two issues of
concern on the financial criteria were, number one,
    the ratio is not all meeting the state's norms; and
number two, securing availability of funds. The
ratios \(I\) believe truly needs to be evaluated for
long-term care facilities on a consolidated basis
    for operations and landlord that are related parties
    such as ours. In doing so, all the projected ratios
meet the Board standards or exceed them. If you
referring to Page 20 of the CON application, you can
see the combined ratios that show that they do
exceed or meet the standards.
    Regarding availability of funds, we are
committed to this project, we have extensive
experience with receiving and maintaining financing
for our long-term care facilities. We have already
spent over a million dollars on purchasing the land
for the rest of the block in order to provide space
for this project, and we will be funding the equity
portion of the project for ongoing operations and
equity. Furthermore, the operational net income
will not be used for other items. Because we've
entered into a binding resolution stating the
partners will not take distributions outside of tax
```

    requirements for the operations, thereby ensuring
    available equity. It should be noted that this
    project is different from most other long-term care
    projects as it has an ongoing operation of which the
    proposed project in no way diminishes, but will
enhance overall utilization and hopefully cash flow,
therefore, will be easier to finance.
I would also like to point out that our
last appraisal from September of 2012 was for \$9.7
million; our current debt is below \$2.7 million.
This means we have a current equity of over \$7
million in this facility that is not reflected in
application data criteria. We have a relationship
with multiple banks, and we have obtained financing
from them for long-term care projects as recently as
this May.
Regarding the need criteria, the most
relevant fact regarding -- related to this issue is
that the project is needed regardless of the bed
need calculation. The beds need to be replaced, an
issue that has already been approved once in
findings upheld by state staff in their State Agency
Report.
In addition, within the CON application
as filed, we documented approximately 342 historical

```
```

referrals from Advocate Christ Medical Center,
little company Mary Hospital and Palos Community
Hospital. We also provided an update for the first
six months of calendar year 2013. The facility was
referred 451 potential residents, of which only 36
percent or }101\mathrm{ were actually admitted due to the
existing physical plant issues of three and four bed
wards, gender issues, isolation and behavioral
issues that result from the current physical plant.
Therefore, the renovations and additional beds are
needed, warranted, and within the state }10\mathrm{ percent
rule.
In conclusion, we ask the Board support
for this project. Your approval will allow us to
proceed with this much needed modernization. It is
my understanding that this is the type of project
this board wants to encourage to better utilize the
existing nursing facilities. We'd like to thank the
Board for its consideration, and would be pleased to
address any questions you may have.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. John.
MR. JOHN KNIERY: I'd like to point out
again that there were no, there was not a public
hearing, and there was no opposition to this
project. I think we'd be more than happy, one

```
```

    thing, to go into with the star ratings, but we'd
    really be more than happy to open it up for
    questions first.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
    Board? Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: It seems like there's,
    you, with your letters of referral document a demand
    for these 21 beds, but there's no need in the
    planning area. And I, and I didn't say it like
that, but there should be an exclamation point
behind it. You know, I don't know why we would
approve this just because you can justify the
demand. That goes against everything we know about
planning. The region does not need the beds, so
what -- why are you asking for these 21 beds?
MR. JOHN KNIERY: If I can address the
need first, and then the second part is, you know,
why does the addition for this facility, it's an
economy to scale, they're incurring a cost on top of
an existing debt that, so they, they're asking for
the beds to offset some of those costs and to help
them physically have a quality facility.
RICHARD SEWELL: So you wouldn't be a
viable financial operation without these additional
beds?

```
```

        MR. JOHN KNIERY: I wouldn't say that, I
        would say that it, it just enhances the, the
    operations. In addition -- there's two issues,
    there's two issues in my mind. The planning act
    allows any existing building, any existing facility,
    regardless of their need, to increase their
    utilization by 10 percent. Up to, up to 20 beds.
    When we filed this application, there was a need,
    there was a need for 192 additional beds in the
    previous inventory, if we would have been heard at
    the last meeting. That, what's interesting is that
    inventory had a five-year projection from today. It
    went out through 2018. Currently, the new
    inventory, you are correct, there is, there's a
    negative 889 beds in the planning area, that's a
    1,081 bed swing. I question it somewhat, I have not
        finished going through the entire methodology. The
        one, couple things as I pointed out, the five-year
        projection that's required takes it up to, they use
        the base here 2010, so it would only use a five-year
        projection of 2015, we're already two years away on
        that. This project is not a bed need project, as it
        is a facility physical need project. The additional
        beds we're asking for truly aren't out of the
        inventory as they are, as we are addressing the act
    ```
```

    and the }10\mathrm{ percent rule out of the act.
    ```
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But the 10 percent
rule would warrant 20 beds, not 21 beds.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Right. Madam Chair,
    the, originally when we filed, we were at that 21,
    when it came to architectural drawings it worked out
    for 21 with the space, and because there was a bed
    need, we didn't think the one extra bed was an
    issue. Now that we applied months ago and the bed
    need changed, that one bed is the one over the 10
percent rule.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: And Mr. Weiss had
advised me, and I did talk with staff, if we needed
to, they'd be more than happy to make that
adjustment by making it one, just one more
additional private room, it's not a, not a hard
thing, and they would commit to maintain that within
the rules, within the parameters of the rules.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So you would agree to
20 beds to stay in the rules.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Duly noted. Mr.
Carvalho?
    DAVID CARVALHO: Excuse me, I don't
usually get involved in an application unless I
think something a little misleading is being said.
You could not add 20 beds under the statute for \(\$ 17\)
million. You could only add beds if you stayed
under 3, or whatever the threshold is, not 3.5227.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Seven.
    DAVID CARVALHO: Seven. So this
project, if you had apply, if you plan on doing this
project at 20 beds, you'd still need a CON, you
could not do that as a right.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Absolutely. That's
    correct, I think the differentiation is we can do
this project with a CON need without the 20 beds;
for the 17 million we would need a CON. And then,
but the 20 beds can be added by statute.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, I'm confused.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Well, and I don't want
belabor, I mean I don't want to get into it, but we,
there has been precedent, the Board has seen
projects like this before where there was a, there
was not a need, there was a replacement project, a
partial replacement project and the increased
capacity. I don't think the two things are, I think
they're running simultaneous I don't think that
they're -- they run parallel, I don't think they,
one triggers the other.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Ma'am.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Did you have
```

something to say?

```

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Although projects have been heard in the past and have been approved with a bed need, once again, these projects, or these projects are viewed on, or \(I\) should say reviewed by the Board on their own merit and shortcomings. Just wanted to remind the Board of that.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions or comments?

DR. JAMES BURDEN: I agree with what I just heard regarding the comments. I'm having trouble understanding how we can overlook the review that demonstrates the need of almost of 890 long-term care bed access. What are we talking about? Is there something wrong with that figure? I mean how can we look at this and say yea, go ahead. That to me is almost insurmountable. I mean that's an awesome over access, and we've listened to applicants here with excess of 40 or 50 beds and gotten chagrin when we shot it down.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But can I make a
```

    suggestion or a comment? I do believe that you've
    demonstrated a need for the renovation, I mean
    clearly your Medicare star rating is one, you've got
    some issues that need to be addressed. I'm
wondering if we could change the application to
approve the existing beds for renovation without
adding any beds. Is that -- I mean are you asking
the economic feasibility question.
MR. JOHN KNIERY: Give me one second,
please.
MR. NATAN WEISS: Madam Chair, if that's
the only issue with this project, we'd be more than
happy to remove the 21 beds and move on with the
renovation.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. So apparently
I'm told that's not just quite as simple as I
thought it was. Because obviously the price tag is
going to change, which would --
MR. NATAN WEISS: The facility will
remain the same, because the, the, we'll add 20
more -- we'll add more private rooms and reduce more
beds --
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So the \$17 million
would not substantially change if we.
MR. NATAN WEISS: Correct.

```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: You would just do more private rooms.

MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes. We would convert more \(3^{\prime}\) s to \(2^{\prime}\) s and add more private rooms, we were just talking with the architect, we would have to figure out how you --

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yeah, I know, I through you a curve there.

MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Is there a board comment?

RICHARD SEWELL: Oh, no, is this about the same thing? I have another issue.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Oh, no.

RICHARD SEWELL: Keep going with this issue.

PHILIP BRADLEY: I have a follow-up to this. If you agree to not ask for the additional beds as part of this CON, it's your contention that you have the right to do them anyway, is that correct?

MR. NATAN WEISS: They're -- I don't believe at this point we would have the right to do the 21 beds, no.

PHILIP BRADLEY: So if you were to take
some kind of an agreement like that, would you
pledge not to do the additional beds?
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: The rules do allow
    that two years after a project is complete, you can
    add to capacity. If you're saying would we not do
    that, I would ask that at least give us that
    opportunity if we come back before you.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Well, your contention
    is you don't need to come back before us to add
beds.
        MR. JOHN KNIERY: Right.
        PHILIP BRADLEY: I'm asking would you
        pledge not to come back before us to ask for bed
        beds.
        MR. JOHN KNIERY: We'd really have -- I
        really need to discuss with the client a little bit
        more. There, they do have potential project for the
        balance of beds to replace or modernize that, the
        original building. So there, there's so many
        working parts and moving parts on this, I would be
        hard, I'd be more than comfortable to say we
        wouldn't just do it as the act allows us to, but I
        would, I would feel more comfortable if we could at
        least have that open dialogue with the Board and
        come back, and have the privilege to come back
```

before the Board. If we can, like, there is a
potential, there is a potential 'nother project with
the balance of the facility.
PHILIP BRADLEY: So you're giving me two
answers to the same question. Either you think you
can do it without asking for their permission, or
you don't think so. I believe you think you can do
it. I'm asking you would you pledge not to do it
without coming to us.
MR. JOHN KNIERY: Oh. I misunderstood
you then. Yes, I, I think we would pledge not to do
it without coming before you.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Okay.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. So did we
decide if -- you're going to have to speak.
MR. FRANK URSO: Can I just make a
comment here? Make sure I have these facts right.
John, this particular proposal, the application asks
for the modification of 63 beds and the addition of
21 long-term care beds, correct.
MR. JOHN KNIERY: Correct.
MR. FRANK URSO: Now on the table we're
talking about just doing the modernization of 63
beds and dropping and deleting the 21 long-term care
beds in addition to those? Is that what's on the

```
table at this point in time?
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes, that's what --
    MR. FRANK URSO: Okay. So based upon
    our new 1130 rules, any change in the bed number
    from the original application triggers a Type A
    modification.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Correct.
    MR. FRANK URSO: Okay, so therefore, a
public hearing, there should be an opportunity for a
    public hearing. So if that's the way that this
    thing processes, then this particular applicant
    should probably defer until we have the public
hearing opportunity expressed, because of the Type A
modification.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So we're a victim of
our own rule, so. Does the Board wish to go ahead
and vote on the project as it stands with the
additional 21 beds, or to give the applicant the
opportunity to defer and wait for a public hearing
    if requested and come back to the next meeting?
    You don't want to defer.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: No, I'd like to make a
    comment before you just decide on that.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Sure.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: The, one of the issues
```

1 that we've had and that we will have is that to make
that we've had and that we will have is that to make
this financially feasible, of course, we have to be
within time lines that make sense and work. We're
currently dealing with a facility that we're
basically hog tied because of the constraints of the
three and four-bed rooms, and we had to wait until
we were in a financial position to move forward with
the CON process, which is what we did when we took
over in July of 2010 and we first got the building
stabilized with all the issues going on. If we were
to push this off to this board meeting that I
believe it will push off our construction a complete
year, and that will then create other financial
issues with all the other things going on.
The reason that we didn't put a change
in when the bed need came back different was because
we didn't want to push off the hearing another
month, two months, three months, that was why we
continued forward and hoped that the Board would see
that, under current rules, if we had the space, or
if we spent less than \$7.1 million, we could add 20
beds without coming to the Board, without approval.
Therefore, we thought the one bed, I understand
there's no bed need today, there was when we
applied, the one bed wouldn't be something that

```
```

would hinder the Board from approving a plan that
was applied under the prior.
There also was no public hearing
requested for, with the addition of the beds,
including all the nursing homes in the area, all the
hospitals, all other health care providers, nobody
objected, nobody requested a public hearing, nobody
is here to speak against us, nobody feels that this
is a project that shouldn't be done, nobody has said
that.

```
    On the other side we've had a lot of
people, Mayor, the other hospitals, the doctors
    who've said this is a great project and should be
    done. So, you know, to push us off, which would
    then delay us a minimum of a year because of the
    change of the bed calculation, which then puts us
    one bed over what we could have gotten without board
    approval, I don't -- it's going to hurt us
    immensely, and I don't know what would happen to
    change this project to that point.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Well, I think you
made a good point. I will say, I do believe that
this is a good project, it's clearly needed, it's, I
mean you got to do something if your Medicare rating
    is one, and I do appreciate the fact from an access
```

    standpoint you are treating a population who needs
    ```
    standpoint you are treating a population who needs
    access to nursing home beds. Like I said, you're a
    access to nursing home beds. Like I said, you're a
    victim of the new inventory, we're a victim of our
    victim of the new inventory, we're a victim of our
    rules, but I will leave it up to the Board to decide
    rules, but I will leave it up to the Board to decide
    how we might want to proceed.
    how we might want to proceed.
    I guess the other piece that happened
    I guess the other piece that happened
was you were removed from the last agenda where the
was you were removed from the last agenda where the
    need was there, and we did that to you, we placed
    need was there, and we did that to you, we placed
    you on this agenda.
    you on this agenda.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Well, I move we,
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Well, I move we,
    I move we accept it subject to the amendments that
    I move we accept it subject to the amendments that
    that they've made here, that they've agreed to.
    that they've made here, that they've agreed to.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I'm sorry, can you
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I'm sorry, can you
    say that again? Can you say that again, Justice? I
    say that again? Can you say that again, Justice? I
    didn't hear.
    didn't hear.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yeah, that we
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yeah, that we
accept, that we vote in favor of it subject to the
accept, that we vote in favor of it subject to the
amendments that they've agreed upon.
amendments that they've agreed upon.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But that's the
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But that's the
    problem, we can't, because if they take away the 20
    problem, we can't, because if they take away the 20
    beds, we're, we're tied by our rules that say that's
    beds, we're, we're tied by our rules that say that's
    a Type A modification, and they have to allow for a
    a Type A modification, and they have to allow for a
    public hearing. There's no way around that.
    public hearing. There's no way around that.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Well, anybody out
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Well, anybody out
    there want to speak about it?
```

    there want to speak about it?
    ```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Somebody put clarity
```

    on this for us.
    ```
    RICHARD SEWELL: No, I'm not going to.
I guess I need to go back over something that's
already been talked about, and it's the financial
ratios. I think the argument you're making is that
when you look -- are you saying that when you look
at by consolidated statements or combined financing,
you get ratios that are in line with the Board's
rules, and that the State Agency Report --
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes.
    RICHARD SEWELL: -- what did the State
Agency Report do that's different than that.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Okay, so the State
Agency Report requests two different sets of ratios,
one is for the operator of the facility, which is
    the licensee, one is for the landlord, because it's
    a related party. When you look at those
    individually, all the ratios do not meet the state
    agency. Because they're related parties, we're
both, members both of them, and we're managers of
both. When you put them together and combine their
    cash, and combine their flows, and combine all the
    information and ratios, all of the ratios meet or
exceed the state recommendations. We did provide
    that in the CON as a third addendum just for
    clarification, because the first two didn't match
    up.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Mr. Sewell, I think
    you see this with the majority of long-term care,
and it's different, and why is it different?
Because hospitals don't have an owner and an
operator typically. Surgery centers have, are, most
of them, ESRD's are, you know, in a lease
arrangement where the landlord is not considered a
co-applicant. So this is different because you
truly have two entities for a variety of reasons.
    RICHARD SEWELL: George is in
    conversation, but \(I\) guess I wanted to hear a
    response to that.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: We're still trying to
    figure out our own rules.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Oh, well, I heard an
    interesting thing about the financial.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: I have a question in
        the meantime.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes, Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: You talk about cutting
    down the number of beds in existing rooms.
```

Apparently you've got rooms with three and four beds
that you want to reduce.
MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes.
PHILIP BRADLEY: And the implication
kind of is that we need additional beds to house
those people. But I don't think that's really what
you're saying, is it?
MR. NATAN WEISS: I think that what
we're looking to do is to take care of the people
that are referred to us that would like to come to
us but do not want to be in a three and four-bed
room. We also have currently people in three and
four-bed rooms that would prefer two's and one's,
and by doing this, we're able to move the major
majority of all those people out of three bed rooms
and into two.
PHILIP BRADLEY: But they're in beds
that count now in your inventory; why would you need
additional beds in your inventory to take care of
them? You're just moving a bed from one room to
another.
MR. JOHN KNIERY: Yes, and there is
capacity in the existing facility based on licensed
beds. However, we, we cut, we try to cut our
presentation short because the Board allowed us, you

```
know, to move in the agenda, so \(I\) appreciate that.
But --
            PHILIP BRADLEY: Well, that begs another
    question. Are you saying you have licensed beds now
    that you don't have people in?
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Why would you need
    additional beds if you haven't filled everything
    you've got?
    MR. NATAN WEISS: We currently have in
    this facility -- we run eight facilities in the
    state of Illinois. Our current admission ratio in
    this building for referrals is under half of what it
    is in all of our other facilities. So we are
    getting the requested -- we're being requested to
        provide the services, but they're not -- we're not
        able, number one, to take care of the people in some
        of the rooms, and number two, once they come in and
        they see three and four-bed rooms, they don't want
        to come. So we'd like to modernize and make this
        into a better situation so those people will come.
        We also like to modernize for people that are
        currently there. Once we go and start this project
        and we went in and got a cost estimate and we built
        the layouts, and like I said, we spent a million
```

dollars on land, adding those 20 or 21 beds makes
the entire process more financially feasible in
order to make it easier with the financing later on
and going on to step two of getting rid of the old
building altogether in the future and creating a new
complete new building for all the residents we've
got.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Well, and I would
like to be on record as saying you, when you guys in
the nursing home industry, and I had this
conversation with Michael Waxman last week, you have
beds that you say you have, and you have beds that
you use, and you explained to me the reason for that
has to do with your financing, but that's how you
get yourself in this situation, that we have to try
to make decisions based on ferreting out the beds
are there, but are they really using them.
MR. NATAN WEISS: So the goal for this
project is to fix that so that all licensed beds
will be useable, available, and able to service the
public that is requesting our services.
PHILIP BRADLEY: But if you've got
licensed beds now that you're not using, how many is
that number?

```
    MR. NATAN WEISS: The mass capacity is
set up at any time has been 174 out of the 203, but
like I said, if we were to --
    PHILIP BRADLEY: So you've got 30 some
    odd beds authorized that you're not using.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Correct. Which is, we
    can't, we can't, it would be very difficult, it
    would be like, for instance, if --
    PHILIP BRADLEY: I understand that those
    beds aren't useable, but if you build new beds, why
    don't they come in under your limited beds.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: 63 of those will. In
    order to fund the project easier and to make it more
viable long-term, once we're spending the \(\$ 17\)
million, it's an easier financing and it's easier to
    run a building that has enough patients in it to
    operationally run it and financially to finance it.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Mr. Bradley, there are
30 beds between set-up capacity and licensed
capacity that, that we're replacing, and then
additional, there's an additional beds, 33 on top
that are operational that we're also replacing. So
we are addressing that concern.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, so end result,
    if this project is approved there would be 224
    skilled care beds in your facility.
```

    MR. NATAN WEISS: Yes, ma'am.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: How many of those
    beds would have a body in them?
        MR. NATAN WEISS: When we are done?
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: A live body. Yes.
        MR. NATAN WEISS: Over 90 percent.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So you still wouldn't
    use them all.
    RICHARD SEWELL: No, that's just, that's
    the average occupancy.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: That's your average
    daily census.
    MR. NATAN WEISS: Yeah, it would be, in
    the nursing homes we go day-by-day, people leave and
    come in, so we have to have a capacity more than 100
    percent.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All right. So it
    appears as though we can't just tell you to not do
    the 21 beds, that, that is a typing modification we
    have to start over. So I think that we have to vote
    on the project as it stands before us today. Did
    you have a question, Senator?
        SENATOR DEMUZIO: No.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Are there any other
        questions?
    ```
```

    DAVID PENN: Earlier you wanted to
    comment on the star rating, I'm going to give you
    this opportunity right now to make a comment.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Thank you, Mr. Penn.
    MR. DANIEL WEISS: Thank you. Star
    ratings are largely not indicative of who we are
    today, rather, who we were in the past. They're
    also a snapshot in time, do not tell the entire
    story of our facility. They're not always an
    accurate test, exemplified by one of our stories in
    Geneva who received an immediate jeopardy violation
    because a resident requested to be served soft
    boiled eggs. That violation, although we attempted
    to dispute it, and the department kept it as, as
    violation, which got re -- which in court and a
    judge in Texas overruled, we don't have the funds to
    spend $50,000 to overrule it, dropped our facility
    from a four-star facility to a one-star facility
    overnight. While all our other measurements
    continue to be at high levels, three and four stars.
    All of our eight facilities quality
    measures are four out of five-star rated, and we'd
be happy to go through each facility's rating if you
desire. If not, I'd like to limit my comments to
Palos.

```
```

    Presently, Palos is a four-star quality
    ```
    Presently, Palos is a four-star quality
measures facility, a three-star facility in staffing
measures facility, a three-star facility in staffing
mainly due to our difficulty in recruiting R.N.'s to
mainly due to our difficulty in recruiting R.N.'s to
    an older facility. It's a one-star facility in its
    an older facility. It's a one-star facility in its
    health survey rating due to poor surveys from prior,
    health survey rating due to poor surveys from prior,
    from 2010. We had increased complaints due to the
    from 2010. We had increased complaints due to the
    physical plant, and the number of violations which
    physical plant, and the number of violations which
    the majority are physical plant, making the facility
    the majority are physical plant, making the facility
    overall rating automatically a one-star. While
    overall rating automatically a one-star. While
    staffing is a three-star, and the quality measure is
    staffing is a three-star, and the quality measure is
    currently is a four-star.
    currently is a four-star.
    So what I would say is that the star
    So what I would say is that the star
    rating system is flawed, and that it doesn't paint
    rating system is flawed, and that it doesn't paint
    an accurate picture of what our facility does as it
    an accurate picture of what our facility does as it
    relates to the quality of care.
    relates to the quality of care.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Other
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Other
    questions? Okay, could I have a motion to approve
    questions? Okay, could I have a motion to approve
    Project 13-032, Palos Hills Extended Care Center in
    Project 13-032, Palos Hills Extended Care Center in
    Palos Hills?
    Palos Hills?
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: So moved.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: So moved.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Second?
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Second?
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
    Justice Greiman, seconded by Mr. Hayes.
    Justice Greiman, seconded by Mr. Hayes.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
```

    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call vote,
    ```
```

please?

```
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes, please. Mr.
    Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Well, from what we've
heard here today, they would have us believe that
our guidelines for determining planning area need
    are wrong, and that Medicare's guidelines for
    determining planning area need are wrong. And if
    you accept that, this may make sense. But if you
    believe that our rules are correct and that the
    planning area need is nonexistent and, in fact,
    there are 889 more long-term care beds than there
    should be that are needed, and if you believe that
    they haven't shown the financial feasibility and
    adequate financial for this, then \(I\) think you have
    to vote no, and that's what \(I\) vote.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
    Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: I concur with Mr.
    Bradley, there has been a long discussion on many
    occasions regarding Medicare star ratings, we have
    to accept that as it is, even though I understand
    what the applicant has said, and there's certainly
    merit to it, but based on all the discussion we've
    had, I'm going to vote no.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Senator
Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes, in regard to the conversation and looking at some of the criteria both and the staffing, and also the financial, I vote no.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: I'm in somewhat of a libertarian in medical care, \(I\) think that they've agreed to change their original request in a very sensible way, and I think we're, we're -- while I believe we have to pay attention to the statistics, \(I\) think we also have to consider in terms of on a case-by-case basis, and \(I\) vote yes. MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
```

    Hayes.
    ```
        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
        Penn.
            DAVID PENN: Based on excess beds needs
        in the area and the financial information provided,
        I'm going to vote no.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
        Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: I vote no, because of the bed need, and I'm pretty sure I agree with the applicant, though, on the issue of using all of their corporations for the ratio analysis, but I'm not, I don't think that overrides the bed issue, so I vote no.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Madam Chair Olson.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I vote yes based on the access needed for the population that this facility serves.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Three in positive, five in negative.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion fails, you will be sent an Intent to Deny. Thank you, and safe travels.

MR. JOHN KNIERY: Thank you for accommodating us.

MR. FRANK URSO: You'll have another opportunity to come before the Board, as well, to submit additional information.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, we wanted to go back to the original order of agenda. Oh, it's 12:22.

I'm going to suggest now that we break
    for lunch and reconvene at exactly 1 p.m. Thank
    you.
        (A lunch recess was taken at 12:22 p.m.)
        (Back on the record at 1:04 p.m.)
        Q (By Chairwoman Olson) I'm going to call
        the meeting back to order. I do apologize, I know
        that a 45-minute lunch in this venue was probably
        not a wise choice, so please accept my apologies,
        but we do have a lot to get through on the agenda,
        so we're going to see if we can't get through that.
        The next order of business is Alteration
        Requests. Project D-1, 11-019, Advocate Christ
        Medical Center in Oak Lawn. I would like to note
        that this project has no opposition and no findings.
        So with the Board's approval, I would ask that maybe
        the applicants would -- I mean obviously we're going
        to let George report, but if you can state your name
        and be sworn in and probably just open it to
        questions, if that's okay with the board members.
        Any objection?
            You know what, this is not right, there
        is a finding. I'm sorry, there is a finding. Just
        state your names and be sworn in, please.
        MR. JOE OURTH: Joe Ourth, O-U-R-T-H.
        MR. JEFF SO: Jeff So, S-O.

MS. WENDY MULVIHILL: Wendy Mulvihill, \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}\).
(All were sworn.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam Chair. The applicants -- the State board approved Project -- Permit Number 11-019 to construct a nine-story ambulatory care building on the campus of Advocate Christ Medical Center in Oak Lawn. The approved project cost was \(\$ 202,301,558\). The permit holders are proposing to decrease this permit amount by \(\$ 10,477,895\) from the 202 point 3 million to \(\$ 191,823,663\), which represents a decrease of 5.2 percent. These are, these are figures that are corrected from what you see on your first page. If anybody would like me to repeat these, I can repeat these figures again.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Everybody okay with the numbers?
(No response.)
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. Comments for the Board, or questions, or how would you like to.

MR. JEFF SO: We'd be happy to address any questions that you have. I -- just to save time, I know you are very business on the schedule.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions from board members?

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Thank you. What is the reason for this? It seems like you've gone through at least a couple of changes or modifications on this project in the last, what, six months to a year already. And what is the reason for that?

MR. JEFF SO: Well, the reason for that is the fact that the health care environment has been changing on us so quickly in regards to the Affordable Care Act and everything else that's happened on health care reform. We know that on the outpatient campus on the outpatient side, we're still looking at changing reimbursement, so as we're looking at those things, we're modifying to accommodate the different changes that we could document and figure out that are occurring within what we anticipate is going to be the future health care market.
```

    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: But the Affordable
    Care Act has been law since what, March or April of
2010.

```

MR. JEFF SO: Right, but we've been doing our analysis and our looking at projections
```

and trying to determine exactly what the Affordable
Care Act would mean in terms of implications to the
organization, particularly Christ Medical Center, so
we've been making adjustments to accommodate that.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Now does that,
you're talking about specifically reimbursements to
your inpatient and outpatient facilities.
MR. JEFF SO: Absolutely. And in this
case it's on the outpatient side. It's an
ambulatory pavilion an outpatient pavilion that's
pulled together to focus all of our care on the
outpatient side. And the recent changes that have
come out, they've addressed that for a
hospital-based organization, there is extra facility
fees associated with providing care. We know that
planned design with insurance companies has become
more aggressive in regards to insurance companies
are trying to move patients away from hospital-based
outpatient facilities, so we're trying to make sure
we're accommodating that, too, so we've been scaling
back our project.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Okay, thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Dr. Burden.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: I was going to make
sort of an addendum comment. You should talk to

```
Nancy Bowls and she could help you with this
problem.
    I'm joking, but I'm very sympathetic to
    what you said. As a physician, I don't understand
    how hospital administrators aren't having sleepless
    nights over what's going on?
    MR. JEFF SO: I've had plenty.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: I'm sure you have.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions from
    board members? I would entertain a motion to
    approve Project D-01 11-019, Advocate Christ Medical
    Center.
        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
        RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
        Senator Demuzio, second by Mr. Sewell.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call, please.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
    Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBODO: That's eight votes.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: That's eight votes in
the affirmative, motions passes. Thank you and good luck.

MR. JEFF SO: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Next is Project D-02

12-022, Resthaven Home in Morrison. Would the applicants come to the table, please?

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Madam Chair? This is a project that was sent to you earlier this -- or late last week that you submitted your approval letter.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I'm sorry.

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Monday morning.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, D-03 10-059,

Trinity Medical Center in Rock Island.

Please state your name for the court
    reporter?

MR. JAY WILLSHER: Jay Willsher
\(\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}\).

MS. NANCY ODACRE: Nancy Odacre,
\(O-D-A-C-R-E\).
(All were sworn.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George.

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam

Chair. On December 14th, 2010, the State Board approved Permit Number 10-059 for the modernization of the surgical suite expansion of the post anesthesia recovery care unit, modernization of the surgical support, modernization of space -- and modernization of space to establish surgical prep Stage 2 recovery, to include and including administrative functions. On May 14th -- or I'm sorry. The applicants are requesting, this is the second alteration for this project, and they're proposing to increase the original permit amount by 6.14 percent by \(70--\$ 777,954\). Board staff notes that there are no letters in opposition or support of this project. Or this alteration.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Comments for the
```

    Board?
    ```
MR. JAY WILLSHER: Yes, Madam
Chairperson, thank you. My name is Jay Willsher,
and I'm the chief operating officer, this is Nancy
Odacre from our Planning Department. We did have
several unanticipated expenses with this project.
We are nearing completion, and with this second
This project will be wrapped up in mid spring, we're
very close to the completion of that, and in
inspects to the Board's very busy schedule, we'll
entertain any questions you may have.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions
for the Board?
            (No questions.)
    Seeing no questions, may \(I\) have a motion
to approve alteration for project 10-059 Trinity
Medical Center Rock Island to increase the project
cost and decrease the project side by 6.14 percent
and 1.75 percent respectively?
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Senator Demuzio, second by Mr. Hayes.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call, please?
```

    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes. Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Justice Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBODO: Madam Chair Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Eight votes in the
    affirmative.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes,
    thank you.
MR. JAY WILLSHER: Thank you very much.
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Madam Chair, the
board staff would like to point out that the next
project, the Declaratory Ruling E-01, the
applicants, Pinckneyville Community Hospital, their

```
```

    request was based on a, on a third obligation
    extension request. This obligation extension
    request has been withdrawn because they have secured
    financing and have, and we have received a
    promissory note from the USDA that they were
    eligible for their loan, that they're approved for
    their funding.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: That's great to hear,
    thank you, George. Next we will have the following
    applicants come forward. H-01 Van Guard Health
    Systems Weiss Memorial, H-02 Van Guard West Lake,
    H-03 Van Guard West Suburban, and H-04 Van Guard
    MacNeal Hospital. If there's no objection -- if
    there's no objection from the board members we will
    take this as one project. We'll vote on each of the
    four, but we'll let them present as one project,
    because it is one transaction. Any objections?
    Okay. Would you all please state and
    spell your names for the court reporter and be sworn
    in?
    MR. JACK AXEL: Jack Axel, A-X-E-L.
    MR. KEITH PITTS: Keith pit, P-I-T-T-S.
    MR. JOHN HOLLAND: John Holland,
    H-O-L-L-A-N-D.
MS. HONEY SKINNER: Honey Skinner

```
\(S-K-I-N-N-E-R\).

DR. ANTHONY TEDESCHI: Dr. Anthony
Tedeschi, last name is \(T-E-D-E-S-C-H-I\).
    MR. WILLIAM FOLEY: William \(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Y}\).
        (All were sworn.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair. The applicants, Tenet Health Care
Corporation, and VHS, VHS, or Van Guard Health
    Systems and various subsidies of VHS Health Systems
propose to transfer ownership of four hospitals in
the Chicagoland area. One is VHS Weiss Memorial
Hospital of Chicago, the other, VHS West Lake
Hospital of Melrose Park, the third, VHS West
    Suburban Medical Center of Oak Park, and the fourth
being VHS MacNeal Hospital of Berwyn. While, while
the price -- or while the costs of the projects
range, or \(I\) should say differ, the project is being
funded as cash, with cash as part of a nationwide
transaction. Thank you, Madam Chair.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
This again is a project with no opposition and no
findings. While it's awesome that your people are
all here at our table, I would ask the Board if they
would like to go to questions and would the
```

    applicant agree to go to questions, or would you
    like to make a statement?
    DR. KEITH PI: We would agree to go to
    questions.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. Questions from
    the Board?
    PHILIP BRADLEY: I move approval.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. I have a
    motion to approve the Projects H-01, Van Guard
Health Systems Weiss Memorial Hospital Chicago,
Project H-02, Van Guard Health Systems,
Incorporated, West Lake Hospital in Melrose Park,
H-03 Van Guard Health Systems West Suburban Medical
Center in Oak Park, and H-04 Van Guard Health
Center, Incorporated, MacNeal Hospital in Berwyn.
Motion was made by Mr. Bradley, seconded by
Mr. Sewell.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
Bradley, seconded by Mr. Sewell.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: One second, Nelson.
Okay, I guess I see the project number, my
apologies, it's written right there. 13-041 is
Weiss Memorial, 13-042 is West Lake, 13-043 West
Suburban, 13-044 is MacNeal. Sorry. Roll call.

```

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Bradley. PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: I'm going to vote
    yes, even though I don't think I've ever seen such a
    rapid disbursal of \(a \$ 4.3\) billion project in such a
    short span of time, and if \(I\) was a shareholder at
    Van Guard I think I'd be absolutely extatic. I vote
yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay, thank you.
Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes. Thank you.
    Mr. Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: That's eight votes
    in the affirmative, zero in the negative.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes
congratulations.
    MR. KEITH PITTS: Thank you very much,
    appreciate it.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, the next
    project is 13-019 Terraces at Clare, Chicago.
    Applicant please come to the table.
    Welcome, thank you for coming. Could
    you state your names and spell them for the court
    reporter, please?
    MR. ED CLANCY: My name is Ed Clancy,
        \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}\).
            MS. DENISE DEFIEBRE: Denise Defiebre,
        \(D-E-F-I-E-B-R-E\).
            MS. SHELLEY SMITH: Shelley Smith,
        \(S-M-I-T-H\).
            MR. JAMES KNEEN: James Kneen,
        \(\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}\).
                            DR. LEE LINDQUIST: Dr. Lee Lindquist
        L-E-E, \(\quad \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}\).
            (All were sworn.)
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
            MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
        Chair. The applicants are Chicago Senior Care, LLC,
        and Chicago CCRC Holdings, LLC. The facility name
        is Terraces at the Clare, the project calls for the
```

expansion of an existing 32 bed long-term care
facility through the addition of 16 long-term care
beds. The total cost of the project is \$1.5
million, the Board staff notes that the facility
currently has a Medicare five star rating, the
project has received three letters of support, and
the, and the project is in excess of the state board
standard for spatial configurations by 37.5 gross
square foot per bed. Thank you, Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
Comments for the Board?
MS. DENISE DEFIEBRE: Thank you. Good
morning, Madam Chairperson and Board. Thank you for
considering our application. Under this project, we
propose to add 16 skilled nursing beds to the
existing 32-bed facility at the Terrace of the
Clare. These additional beds will help address the
growing demand for individuals seeking admission to
the facility. The project will convert existing
assisted living space to skilled nursing space. The
assisted living space already complies with skilled
nursing licensure requirements, and does not require
significant modification. The project's conversion
of existing space will entail only superficial
improvements. The total estimated project cost is

```
just shy of \(\$ 1.5\) million, but only 500,000 of that
will be spent on completing the project, the balance
of those dollars are related to the fair market
value of the asset, the existing space.
    The project will address the increase in
demand for skilled nursing care that we have
experienced at the facility. The facility currently
operates over the state standard of 90 percent
occupancy, and in the past ten months we have had to
turn away 339 residents due to a lack of available
beds. In addition, the proposed project will allow
the facility to accept higher acuity residents with
more complex medical conditions, including residency
requiring wound care and IV care. Demand for such
higher acuity care exists, and we've had to turn
away 99 potential residents in the past ten months
due to our, the complexity of those patients' needs.
    Lastly, we note that the submission of
our application, the need for skilled nursing beds
in HSA6 has increased from 74 to 102 beds. The
project will help alleviate the increased demand for
long-term care beds in this health service area in
the most cost effective manner. Earlier today
Sheila Rock represented the Clare and the Terrace's
residents in support of the project, she's a
```

    resident of the Clare, and a member of the resident
    council, so on behalf of the residents of the clare,
    we respectfully ask the Board's approval of this
    project. Thank you.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions
    from the Board?
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Oh, I have a motion
    from --
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Second.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Let me read it,
    though. I'm going to get in trouble here. May I
    have a motion to approve Project 13-019, Terraces at
    the Clare in Chicago, to add 16 long-term care beds.
    So I have that motion. And a second?
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
    Senator Demuzio, second by Mr. Bradley. Mr.
    Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: I vote yes, even
    though the project size came into question, I still
        vote yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Senator
    ```

Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes, and I appreciate your
five star rating.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Eight votes in the
affirmative, zero in the negative.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The project passes.
Thank you and good luck.
Next up, Project 13-023 Alden Estates of
Evanston. Would the applicant please come to the table?

Would you state your name and spell it for the court reporter, please?

MS. RANDI SCHULLO: Hi. Randi Schullo, \(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{I}, \quad \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{O}\).

MR. JOHN KNIERY: John Kniery,
\(\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Y}\).
    MR. BOB MOLITOR: Bob Molitor,
\(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}\).
    MR. JOE OURTH: And Joe Ourth.
        (All were sworn.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
    Chair. The applicants are proposing to add 41
    long-term care beds to an existing 58 long-term care
    facility. Total cost of the project is \$2.5
million. The project, the applicants are not
    compliant with 1110.530 and 1125.550. These are
planning area need and service demand for expansion
    of general long-term care. Board staff notes that
    there, that is the only negative findings on the
project. Thank you, Madam Chair.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Would you address the
    anticipated completion date?
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: The anticipated
    project completion date that we have set that was
    initially reported was September 30th, 2013, but the
    applicants have since approached the state agency
    and sought to request a later project completion
    date. Correct?
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Correct.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Do you have that
```

date?

```
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: We were asking for an
    adjusted 12-month completion, just an additional 12
    months.
MR. FRANK URSO: So what is the date
    you're asking for?
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: September of next
    year, September of 2014. September 30th.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Do you want to
    explain why?
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: Sorry?
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Do you want to
    explain why?
    The issue was that they have to
    relicense the beds. That's why you need the
    extension.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Correct.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: And they need the
    excess, they need the extra time for the surveys to
    take place.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Right.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: And inspection, my
    apologies.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Comments for the
```

    Board?
    ```
MS. RANDI SCHULLO: Madam Chair, Members
of the Board, I'm Randi Schullo, President Alden
Realty Services, I'm pleased to have with me today
Bob Molitor, our Chief Operating Officer, John
Kniery, our Con consultant, and Joe Ourth or Con
counsel. As always, I would like to first thank Mr.
Roate and Mr. Constantino for their work on the
state agency report. Alden has come before you
previously. We are a family owned operation founded
by my father, and have been providing skilled care
in Illinois for over 40 years, as well as developing
affordable senior housing. The project before you
    today is different from the majority of our previous
Certificate of Need applications. Our Evanston home
    is a 99-bed facility, 58 skilled and 41 shelter care
beds. We are proud that is typically a four or five
star rated facility. Instead of establishing a new
facility, this project seeks to convert the
facility's existing 41 shelter care beds to skilled
nursing. There is no new construction as part of
this project, as demonstrated in our application.
All existing residents will be in need of nursing
care within the next 12 months.
    The State Agency Report makes only two
negative findings. Interestingly, if we would have
been heard last month, we would have had a
    completely positive report. We were scheduled to be
before you for the August meeting. Because of a
potentially full schedule, the Board had
understandably extended several projects from August
to September. Although projects filed after ours
were taken ahead, we were willing to accommodate
your schedule needs and did not object. The only
negative relates to the newly changed inventory
which previously showed a need of 339 beds
additional, and now shows in excess of 511 in
negative, a negative swing of 855 beds. We had
previously prepared this application to be fully
compliant with your rules an don't believe that in
deferring the project you intended to change to
outcome. The project involves sheltered care beds,
a category you rarely see before the Board.
Sheltered care is an older model of care. I'm
unaware of any new sheltered care beds built in
Illinois in the past decade. While sheltered care
is similar to assisted living in terms of acuity, it
is based on medical model rather than a residential
model. While this model works for some, it is not
what most seniors desire today. Each year a number
\[
2
\]
\[
3
\]
```

```
    1 of our sheltered care residents age out and required
```

```
```

    1 of our sheltered care residents age out and required
    ```
```

    skilled care. Although we can accommodate most
    ```
    skilled care. Although we can accommodate most
    residents on our skilled floor, skilled beds are
    residents on our skilled floor, skilled beds are
    sometimes full and our residents have no choice but
    sometimes full and our residents have no choice but
    to find another facility. Within the next }12\mathrm{ months
    to find another facility. Within the next }12\mathrm{ months
    we anticipate all of our current residents to
    we anticipate all of our current residents to
    require some form of nursing care and will benefit
    require some form of nursing care and will benefit
    from skilled care being available in this building.
    from skilled care being available in this building.
    Residents in sheltered care are ineligible for
    Residents in sheltered care are ineligible for
    Medicaid. As part of this conversion, we will seek
    Medicaid. As part of this conversion, we will seek
    Medicaid certification for all of our beds. System
    Medicaid certification for all of our beds. System
    wide, Alden has shown Medicaid residents, with 75
    wide, Alden has shown Medicaid residents, with 75
    percent of our residents being Medicaid.
    percent of our residents being Medicaid.
    Another important fact that relates to
    Another important fact that relates to
    this issue is that the project is beneficially -- is
    this issue is that the project is beneficially -- is
    beneficial regardless of the bed need calculation.
    beneficial regardless of the bed need calculation.
    The current Alden of Evanston is 58 bed nursing
    The current Alden of Evanston is 58 bed nursing
    facility and 41 sheltered care facility. In this
    facility and 41 sheltered care facility. In this
    competitive era and market it is difficult and less
    competitive era and market it is difficult and less
    cost effective to operate two smaller units,
    cost effective to operate two smaller units,
    although we could gradually convert these beds to
    although we could gradually convert these beds to
    skilled through the ten bed rule, it is more
    skilled through the ten bed rule, it is more
    efficient to do so today one time and do so now.
    efficient to do so today one time and do so now.
    In conclusion, we planned this project
    In conclusion, we planned this project
        to comply with your rules if it would have been
```

        to comply with your rules if it would have been
    ```
```

heard last month as originally scheduled. We ask
for your support, and we thank the Board for its
consideration and would be pleased to answer any
questions you may have.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions
from board members?
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Just help me out with
the math. I see on the Page 22 according to the
residents that by payment source and level of care
there are 24 sheltered care beds. Yet you're
referring to converting a sizable number, greater
number of, a different number of sheltered care beds
that are going to be converted. 40 term -- what was
it, 41 beds so called sheltered care, or am I
misreading something?
MS. RANDI SCHULLO: Yes, it's 41 beds
that are sheltered care.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Well, how come it's
not on the residents by payment source and sheltered
care list? Is it a mistake that George and his
group did, or is it something that I'm -- it says 24
on the list I have in front of me, yet you reference
to 41 is --
MR. JOHN KNIERY: Are you looking, are
you looking at Page 24?

```

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.

MR. JOHN KNIERY: Of the SAR, which is the States IDPH Annual Questionnaire Form?

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Is that planning,
health service area.

MR. JOHN KNIERY: There are 24 beds in use under the sheltered care, there are 47 beds licensed.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Well, my question remains the same, why is it referred? Is this a mistake?

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George, can you explain that?

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Well, what they have, they're licensed for, they're initially licensed for 47 beds, and what the applicants -- and the applicants currently have 24 set up and in use, correct?

MR. BOB MOLITOR: Yeah, that is correct, there's 24 resident.

MR. JOHN KNIERY: No, there's 47 set up.

MR. BOB MOLITOR: Yeah.

MR. JOHN KNIERY: But they, but we have, since this application, I'm sorry, since this report was submitted, the applicant had an increase by six
beds, they converted six beds from sheltered care to
nursing.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But I, are you
    looking at the payor source, Doctor?
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yeah. It says 24 ,
    and I still haven't got an answer to it. That this
    is, as you pointed out, it's a state agency report,
    which \(I\) submit to you they made a -- I'm just trying
    to, it's not a major issue with me, but the fact,
    the facts are there's two different numbers for the
    number of sheltered care beds you have available but
you're planning on changing to a long-term and, of
    course, this is the second application we've
    received, you explained yours, a family owned
    enterprise that has exceedingly large excess of
    long-term beds. I'm concerned about how this is
    happening. How is it that we can have such a switch
    where we've got already five hundred and some 11
    long term beds in excess in one month. Are there --
    can somebody explain that? David?
    DAVID CARVALHO: Thank you. I was
    looking forward to an opportunity to do that. I
    have no opinion on this application, so don't take
    this as a criticism of this application, but twice
    today we've now had applicants refer to this change

1 in the bed need, and I think I need to put that in perspective for you. For more than two years, IDPH has been telling you that the population numbers that were the basis of your inventory were under shot by the census; in other words, the projections that form the basis for the inventory, which were from 19 -- from 2005, projected significantly more population than the census which was done in 2010 and released in 2011 showed to be there. So for two years we've been alerting you that your inventory showed more need than was there, however, your rules don't allow you to play catch up until you go through the whole process of developing an inventory.

Secondly, for more than seven years, when the law changed they brought a ten-year, you used to have a five-year horizon and it changed to ten. Again, we've been telling you that what little sense that may have made in the area of hospitals where it takes four or five years to build and plan a hospital, made zero sense in the area of nursing homes where it might take a year. But nonetheless, that was the statute, and you were required to live with it.
```

                            What's changed now is, A, you've got the
    ```
```

new population projections in your inventory, and
second, you've got the new five-year plan arising
instead of ten. So the accurate way to describe it
is not that the current situation is wrong, the
probably more accurate way to say is for the last
several years, the inventory has been a fantasy.
Now it's a reality, it uses the actual projections,
and it's reduced to a five-year horizon. That's why
it flipped. Because at the point where you adopted
a new one, it flipped.

```
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: So if \(I\) can answer my
query then is that we should accept these as more
accurate than what we've had recently, and how do we
apply that to this application, which shows clearly
they are in excess, even though they have pointed
out had they been in the proper place for to be
selected a little ahead of the other group, they
wouldn't be in this position, that's what \(I\) heard.
If that's an adequate explanation, so be it, but I'm
having trouble, this is the first time, I think, in
my five years of being around here where I've heard
that offered as an explanation.
    DAVID CARVALHO: Well, yes, in the past
when inventories change, the change wasn't as
dramatic, because the census only occurs every ten
```

    years. The census does -- the inventory does change
    from time to time for, you know, slight mid course
    corrections, but a census occurs every ten years, so
    that's very dramatic.
    In addition you saw, because you were
    here then, you recall when the five-year time
    horizon went to ten. Your inventory exploded in
    terms of need, because if you take an upward curving
    number twice as far out as, as it had previously
    gone, that led to a big increase in inventory. What
    you're seeing now is the flip side, when you go from
    ten, which I think you can infer from my comments I
    always thought was inappropriate, down to five, you
    see a decrease in the inventory. Now it's correct
    that if you happen to have come here last meeting or
    two meetings ago or five meetings ago, I suppose you
    could say you lucked out, because you were being
    tested against an inventory that bore no relation to
    reality. Now you're being attested against real
        inventory.
            DR. JAMES BURDEN: So again, we're a
        victim of our own rules. At least they are a victim
        of our own rules, if we follow our rules, whether
        it's this kind of excess they may be penalized, am I
        right?
    ```

DAVID CARVALHO: Well, an applicant may be a victim, the planning process is the victor.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I have a couple questions just again. What is your Medicare rating.

MR. BOB MOLITOR: We are currently a four-star facility.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And is it correct that you are charity care is zero percent?

MR. BOB MOLITOR: Well, that's not 100 percent. We take care of public aid people currently today in that facility.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But charity care is zero.

MS. RANDI SCHULLO: I'd say one percent.
MR. BOB MOLITOR: Probably at least 1 percent. We don't turn away anybody.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?
PHILIP BRADLEY: Well, just to comment, this isn't exactly the first time we've heard this argument, we heard it earlier this morning.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: That's exactly right.
PHILIP BRADLEY: But, and the question is are we going to, are we going to give them something simply because the timing of when they were heard disadvantages them, or are we going to
```

    act in accordance with our rules and the materials
    that the state staff rightfully uses to evaluate
    these things? And the fact is that we have this
    overcapacity, and we acted earlier to deny a request
    because of an overcapacity. I think it would be
inconsistent to permit this when we did not permit
the earlier.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Well, that's exactly
the reason I brought it up, I appreciate you, Mr.
Bradley, for saying what you've said. As I said,
we're a victim now of our, we are the victor
essentially, and they're a victim, and I feel that
we ought to be in a discussion about the rules that
we now have are going to label every subsequent
long-term care applicant in some difficulty, which
they don't particularly, didn't particularly expect
I suppose. I don't know.
MR. JOHN KNIERY: Mr. Burden, if I may,
I'd like Joe to address just briefly.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes, briefly.
MR. JOE OURTH: Yes, in following up on
Mr. Carvalho's and Dr. Burden's question, this is,
you get a lot of projects where people argue about
whether the bed need is wrong or calculated
incorrectly. This is not what we're saying here.

```
```

What we're saying is that this project was scheduled
for last month, and because it, of your scheduling,
you had asked some projects, you had put some
projects off, including projects that had lower --
that had higher -- I'm sorry, that had higher
numbers. Alden did not object to being moved off of
the agenda to this week -- to this month, but the
concern is that if it would have been here last
month when it was scheduled when you hadn't deferred
it, it would have been a completely positive State
Agency Report. And so talking about being a victim,
it's not just the, what Mr. Carvalho was talking
about on that, it was the fact that the Board had
asked that it be moved from last month to this month
to accommodate their schedule.
The other difference we'd like to
address on that is that this is a project that is
not adding any additional beds. These beds already
exist, there's really not a capital cost that goes
along with it. The dollar amount that you have in
there is the fair market value, which is computed
under the Board's rules, but there isn't any
additional cash outlay, which is the reason in part
that there's no negative financial issues. So that
it is a project, these beds already exist, they're

```
```

    being moved from sheltered care beds, the people in
    that so that they're in skilled beds.
    MR. BOB MOLITOR: And one quick comment
    for myself, too, is that I do believe that we are
    not the same as the last project that was heard. In
    our situation right now these sheltered care beds
    have 24 residents in their, in this still, all of
    which are planning to age in place. Our problem
    today is the more care that they deliver, the more
    the chances of them being discharged out of this
    facility. On an annual basis we get a survey from
    the Department of Public Health, they come in and
    they do a survey as, from the assisted living side
    or sheltered care. For the last two years we have
    had to discharge between two and four residents off
    that floor because they deemed them too skilled or
    actually gave them a skilled category saying they're
    not appropriate for that floor. So if we can't
    accommodate up on the third floor, we're looking at
    having to displace our residents that have been with
    us anywhere between five to seven years, who all
    planned to age in place in our facility. And
    considering that the sheltered beds is not any real
    different, the whole organization of the building,
    the floors are totally the same, there's nothing
    ```
that's any different, we're just talking about a,
taking it from a sheltered care to a skilled,
letting these residents age in place where they've
been for the last five to seven years.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Question, Mr. Sewell?
    RICHARD SEWELL: I just want to be clear
with the staff. It appears that we don't have a
    category called sheltered care; that's a popular
term or something? And we don't have an inventory,
and we don't have rules that pertain to sheltered
    care. It's just long-term care beds, right? Or
    skilled beds.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: For reporting
purposes, they do report the number of sheltered
care beds, as you see in our report at the end -- or
    in our, our annual report at the end of the state
    Agency Report.
    RICHARD SEWELL: But we don't address
    them in terms of having rules for what is needed in
    the planning area.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: We don't address the
    establishment of sheltered care beds, yes, sir.
    MR. FRANK URSO: Sheltered beds are not
        in the Board's jurisdiction.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: So these beds, do they
```

    count as beds in the }511\mathrm{ excess capacity?
    MR. JOE OURTH: No. As Mr. Urso said,
    they're not under the Board's jurisdiction, so
    they're not part of that calculation.
    MR. FRANK URSO: No, but if a change is
    made and they become skilled beds, they go into the
skilled bed inventory, and they will increase
whatever the number is of the skilled beds.
MR. JOE OURTH: Yes, yes, yes.
MR. FRANK URSO: I just wanted to remind
the Board that, you know, this is a very dynamic
environment, this health care environment, and the
laws change, the inventories change, I mean we just
changed our major operational rules, 1130 rules on
June 1st. Well, on June 2nd we had to start
following the new 1130 rules. My point is that when
a board considers a project, they have to deal with
the current rules, the current inventories that are
in place at the time when the projects are
considered.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: I appreciate your
comment, Mr. Urso, but going back to Mr. Bradley's
comment, we have a statement here that says we're
5 0 0 and some odd beds long-term care in excess,
we're going to be dealing with this issue right on

```
```

    with numerous applications as I see it, and I don't
    know if every HPA is going to be like this, but
    that's significant. I mean how are we, we are faced
    with a decision that I find more complicated than it
should be.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Any further
questions? May I have a motion to approve -- may I
have a motion to approve Project 13-032 Alden
Estates of Evanston in Evanston to add 31 long term
beds.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: So moved.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Second.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Mr. Hayes, seconded by Dr. Burden. Mr. Bradley.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Because of the State
Agency Report that shows excess capacity, I vote no.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: No. Dr. Burden.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Being consistent with
our State Agency Reports, I feel inclined to also
vote no.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes, I'll be voting no
in accordance with our State Agency Report.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Justice
Greiman.

```

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Communities are
```

    all different, and very often a community, for
    ```
    example, exceeds the number of elderly people that
would be someplace else, there are different
    communities. Evanston, which is where they are, is
    a place of older people. You don't see lot of young
    folks walking around. So they're going to need more
    in the next years to cover, and that doesn't show on
    these, on these statistics that the state gets.
    They don't know there's \(X\) number of old people in
    this division, and \(X\) number in this division. \(I\)
vote yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: I'm going to vote
    yes.
            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
Penn.
    DAVID PENN: I'm voting no, excess
    capacity.
                            MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
                            RICHARD SEWELL: I vote yes, I think we
        have a special situation here with these people that
        are already there in the sheltered care beds.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay. Madam Chair
```

    Olson.
    ```
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I vote yes for the
reasons just stated by Mr. Sewell.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Four votes in
positive, four votes in negative.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion fails, you
will receive an Intent to Deny.
    MR. FRANK URSO: You'll have another
opportunity to come before the Board to submit
    additional information.
    MR. JOHN KNIERY: Thank you.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, next up St.
Joseph's Memorial Hospital in Murphysboro. Would
    the applicant please come to the table? For Board's
    information, we would note that there's no
    opposition, no findings for this project.
    Would you please state and spell your
name for the court reporter?
    MS. CATHY BLYTHE: Cathy Blythe, Cathy
    with \(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}\).
    MR. JOHN BROTHERS: John Brothers,
\(B-R-O-T-H-E-R-S\).
    (All were sworn.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
```

    Chair. The applicants are proposing to seek
    certification of their acute care beds for the
    extended care category of service, otherwise known
    as swing beds, as defined by the Centers for
    Medicare and Medicaid Services. Board staff reports
    they are in conformance with the provisions of
    Section 1110, and Section }1120\mathrm{ was not applicable to
    the project. Project completion date is April 30th,
    2014, and there's no, the Board staff received no
    letters in support or opposition to the project.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Would you like to
make a statement, or would you like to open it up to
questions.
MS. CATHY BLYTHE: We'd be happy to
entertain questions.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
Board?
RICHARD SEWELL: Move to approve.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, I have a motion
to approve Project 13-046 St. Joseph's Memorial in
Murphysboro to recertify its med/surge beds for the
extended care category of service, in other words,

```
```

swing beds. Do we have a second?
DAVID PENN: Second.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Mr. Sewell, seconded by Mr. Penn.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call please.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes. Mr. Bradley.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBODO: Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.
DAVID PENN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Madam Chair Olson.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Eight votes in the
positive, zero in negative.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes,
thank you.

```

MS. CATHY BLYTHE: Thank you very much. MR. JOHN BROTHERS: Thank you very much. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Next up, Project

13-033 Northwestern Lake Forest Hospital in Lake Forest.

Would the applicants please introduce themselves and spell their last name court reporter? MR. TOM McAFEE: Good afternoon, my name is Tom McAfee, \(M-C-A-F-E-E\).

DR. MICHAEL ANKIN: My name is

Dr. Michael Ankin, \(A-N-K-I-N\).

MS. BRIDGETT ORTH: Bridgett Orth,
\(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{H}\).

MR. ROB CHRISTIE: Rob Christie, \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{E}\).

MR. MATTHEW FLYNN: Matthew Flynn,
\(\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}\).

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
(All were sworn.)

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair. The applicants are proposing to expend funds in excess of the capital expenditure minimum for the purpose of planning a new replacement hospital, constructing medical office space, and making associated site improvements at its Lake Forest
campus. The proposed project costs are \(\$ 21.2\) million. Board staff notes that the applicants did submit their financials, and they are, they were contained in the file that you received as part of your materials. Board staff also notes that they're underneath -- that the applicants are beneath the MSA requirements for the establishment of medical surgical and gynecology beds in a metropolitan service area. Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George. Comments for the Board?

MR. TOM McAFEE: Good afternoon, my name is Tom McAfee, I'm the President of Northwestern Lake Forest and the Senior Vice-president with Northwestern Healthcare, delighted to be here, and thank you, Madam Chair, and Members of the Board for your time today.

Lake Forest Hospital has been committed to providing support for your community or over 114 years. We believe that our, our health system continues to keep pace with the powerful changes that are on the way in health care, but of course, we have to continue to evolve. Since the affiliation with Lake Forest and Northwestern more than three and a half years ago, we've invested

1 heavily in new clinical programs and services, all
aimed at improving the health and well-being of our
    residents in Lake County. We've also made
    significant investments in information technology
    and quality programs; in fact, today we have one
    electronic health record across the enterprise, and
we have continued to invest in ways to link the
    subspecialty talent at Northwestern Memorial
    downtown with our suburban campus. However, the
    limitations in our physical plan are really starting
    to interfere with our goal of improving health care
    for our community. It's simply not possible to
    deliver care at the cutting edge when the hospital
    was built before World War II. In fact, today, in
    order to get a wheelchair in and out of the
    bathroom, we have to actually move the patient out.
    There are a variety of limitations, including having
    to access extended corridors, the fact that it's
    difficult to respect a patient's privacy and comply
with privacy standards when you have semiprivate
    accommodations, and, in fact, many of the rooms
    simply lack a shower, so we have to bring patients
    down the hallway to use share showers. So needless
    to say, it's less than optimal from a patient
    experience standpoint and even safety.
```

The fact that we've reached this point, the hospital that we have today has served us well for over 75 years. The fact is that it's not really capable of supporting us in the future. In fact, many of the clinical programs and services that have evolved at the hospital, for example, cardiology and oncology, the therapies that were developed were developed after the physical plan that we have today.
We believe that our new hospital campus, we have an opportunity to do something new. Something you might find interesting. We plan to commit a, an investment to make the new Northwestern Lake Forest Hospital a designation for health and wellness. We have a unique environment, we're on 160 acres, and we feel that it's a real opportunity for us to engage our community with bike trails, walking trails, absorbing the natural environment to make our health -- our hospital campus a place to go for respite and health, not just to go when you're sick. And in fact, we think we can balance the two nicely in our community, and have got heavy support from the community with this endeavor.
As you consider our application, I think it's also worth noting a few other elements that

```
make Northwestern and Lake Forest a bit unique. As shown in the state planning report, we are the largest provider of charity care in the county. Two years ago we developed a plan in collaboration with the Lake County Health Department to help Health Reach, the only free clinic in Lake County, in fact, it was finding itself very difficult to maintain this operation, and we helped through our community partner Erie Health Family Health to support funding and create a stable environment to bring federally qualified health plan or center to our county. That will also now create a medical home for nearly 6,000 uninsured residents in the, in the county.

As part of an academic Medical Center, we're also dedicated to help train the next generation of, of providers, health care providers for our region. In fact, we've forecasted there's a shortage of primary care talent in Lake County, much like the rest of the country, and in helping, in an effort to respond to this finding, we, along with the Fineberg School of Medicine at Northwestern University have made it a priority to establish the first family practice residency program in the county to train family physicians who will continue their careers in Lake County, serving the residents
with the greatest need.
    So let me just mention three quick
points that are relevant to our campus and our goal.
First, we recognize that health care is no longer
keeping people from being sick. In today's world,
health encompasses everything we do and live to stay
healthy, prevent illness. The new Northwestern Lake
Forest Hospital will help advance this vision. What
we're proposing is not simply to replace the
hospital bed for bed, but instead commit to a vision
to establish a center for health and wellness. This
means integrating our campus resources facility in
    its support for fitness and wellness, and
    incorporating a healing in the natural environment,
and placing more emphasis on early detection, less
    invasive treatment, and heavy outpatient focus. In
other words, we're really trying to strive for a
healthy community to create a destination again for
our health and wellness for our residents.
    Secondly, in health care today
    technology has become a game changer. The
    sophistication, complexity and precision in today's
    diagnostic and treatment programs are beyond
    amazing. In fact, we've now begun to invest in
    information technology to bring subspecialty
```

resources through telemedicine to the Lake Forest
campus, which we think is highly efficient and
brings subspecialty care of Northwestern Medicine
closer to families' homes. The new Northwestern
Lake Forest Hospital will place contemporary
technologies at the forefront and make certain that
we have the ability to be differentiated in that
respect. We've been an institution that's
recognized nationally in the top ten percent of
health care technology integration and feel really
well positioned to advance that priority.
And thirdly, every aspect of health care
is undergoing dramatic change. Our board has
challenged us to make sure that this investment
would make sense, and that it's positioned to be
flexible and adaptable over the next 50 to 100
years, so our goal is to build a platform that is
enormously flexible so that if there are changes in
the demographic need in our marketplace, we have the
ability to convert the facility in a way that makes
sense, that's very efficient, and the least costly.
So with all of the notes that I've
mentioned, we would respectfully ask for your
support, and I'd like to turn the microphone over to
Dr. Michael Ankin, Our Chief Medical Officer, to
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speak a bit more.
    DR. MICHAEL ANKIN: Good afternoon.
Northwestern Lake Forest Hospital is a top ranked
hospital in Lake County, and among the top 20
hospitals in the Chicago area as ranked by the US
News and World Report. This is a considerable
achievement for a relatively small community
hospital. Our hospital has been ranked in five
    specialty areas, including gastroenterology, GI
surgery, geriatrics, gynecology, nephrology, and
orthopedics. We operate a Level 2 trauma center, we
have a certified stroke center and a certified chest
pain center, and most recently added a telescope
program to give an additional safe guard of 24 -hour
neurology consultations over telemetric methods. We
offer a community hospital with academic center
staffing. We include residents, medical students,
fellows who contribute to our medical staffs that
have a stellar reputation. We have a fully
    integrated medical records system that makes patient
    information accessible to clinicians from anywhere,
    any time, across a full variety of digital devices.
    These are all considerable strengths of our hospital
    that have provided exceptional care to patients in
    our community, and we've been doing this in a
facility that was built in 1942 .
    What makes the -- what would make our
care even more safe is a new hospital that's
designed to minimize time and distance between
patients and their care givers. The distance
between imaging equipment and needed in emergencies
and the proximity to operating rooms to trauma
    centers, the proximity to elevators to access the
units, family spaces and diagnostic infrastructure.
The closer to care, the quicker we can respond, the
more we can help save lives.
    We are planning on a uniformed room
design reducing variances in patient care. The new
design will provide better visibility of the patient
by the staff at all times. The new operating rooms
will be designed to support the latest minimal
    invasive technology. There's a need for support
    space for educational requirements of the facility
    in support of our academic and Medical Center
mission. Our new hospital will benefit from the
design of health care architects who know exactly
how many minutes it takes to walk from the patient's
room to save a life, how much space is needed to
    care for a patient in a trauma room or an operating
    room, and exactly how much we should invest to make our hospital the safest possible for patient care. I think these and many other reasons are reasons why we want to invest in a replacement hospital, and we appreciate your consideration and approval of this application, and now I'd like to turn this over to Bridgett Orth who will address the State Board negatives.

MS. BRIDGETT ORTH: As stated in the staff report, of the 13 required criteria addressed in our application, there were only two state board standards that were not fully met. The first relates to the minimum bed requirement for hospitals within a metropolitan statistical area. The requirement for medical/surgical beds is 100 beds. NLFH has never been authorized for 100 medical/surgical beds, we are currently authorized for only 84 beds. Our proposed project is a replacement project, therefore, we propose to replace 84 medical/surgical beds. This number is consistent with our historical and projected utilization. Likewise, the requirement for obstetric beds is 20 beds. While we are currently authorized for 23 obstetric beds, we are proposing 18 beds in the new facility based on our historic and projected utilization. An increase in either
category would not be consistent with the state's
calculated bed excesses for both areas. We believe
that is why the staff report concluded that the
intended scope of the project is reasonable.
    The second standard that was not met
relates to clinical service areas other than
categories of service. The State Board -- or the
state report notes that there are three
noncategories of service that do not meet the
Board's utilization standards item 27. The first
area is the Emergency Department. We currently have
17 stations. We are proposing 16 stations in the
new facility; however, based on the state standard,
we can only justify 15 stations. In order to have a
dedicated trauma room, a dedicated behavioral health
room, one isolation room as we hope to do, we need
16 stations in order to manage our ED volume and not
create fall lead times. Additionally, while we
acknowledge that the state standard for Emergency
Departments is 2000 visits per station, as an
additional benchmark, according to the Emergency
Department Benchmarking Alliance, Emergency
Departments with comparable annual volume to
operation operate at an average of 1750 visitors per
station per year. Using in benchmark, an NLFH would
need 17 ED stations.
    The second area that is slightly under
        the State's interutilization is in the surgical
        services department. The number of proposed
        operating rooms is justified based on the state
        standard, however, the number of proposed procedure
        rooms is not. NLFH has proposed two procedure rooms
        for \(G I\), which is down from five in the current
        facility, one procedure room for pain management,
        which is the same as the current facility, and one
        procedure room for minor procedures, which is down
        from two in the current facility. The staff report
        indicates that the number of rooms for pain
        management and minor procedures is justified, but
        only one room is justified for \(G I\), not two.
        However, we are proposing two rooms, because there
        are significant equipment needs for these types of
        cases, making it necessary to have a second room
        variable in case of emergencies or equipment
        malfunction. Additionally, one of the GI rooms will
        be used for specific procedures, such as ERCP's and
        EUS's, which require specialized equipment.
            The third area is nuclear medicine.
        Based on the state standard, we can justify one
        nuclear medicine room, and we are proposing two.
Having only one camera would significantly reduce
our ability to accommodate emergency exams without
    compromising another patient study. While the
average exam length is one to two hours, some exams
    last up to five hours. Additionally, equipment
        problems and even preventative maintenance would
        completely help our ability to provide these
        services. The average down time if parts are needed
        is three days.
    Lastly, patients receive injections of
radioactive materials, which must be carefully timed
with their imaging. Having to repeat a study due to
equipment failure or emergency exams would require
additional doses of radiation, which is not optimal
patient care. Our projected number of nuclear
medicine is only 79 procedures under the state
standard.
    And now we are open to any questions
that the Board may have.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Board
questions? Justice?
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Well, if I took a
map of the State of Illinois and took all the
interest of where people live, I would think that,
that Lake Forest would have the smallest amount of
charity in their local hospital, and you have the
highest in the state, as far as I -- higher than
    I've ever seen. More than 4 percent. How is that
happening? Who are the people, where are they
coming from, a mansion? Are they stepping down from
    the mansion, Kari, or what?
    MR. TOM McAFEE: I think that it's a
good question. I think that it's, it's important to
note that we have two emergency rooms, it isn't an
accident. We've been committed to providing charity
care to the county for many many years. The fact
that the hospital happens to sit in an affluent
community I think sometimes works against that
reputation. We're very proud of being number one,
and in fact, Northwestern Memorial downtown is also
very highly counted.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Are many of those
    charity assistance are from downtown?
    MR. TOM McAFEE: No, they're all from
Lake Forest, they're all from Lake Forest, and to
answer your question, our number two zip code is
Waukegan outside of Lake Forest. So we draw heavily
from Waukegan.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Okay, all right.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?
```

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: This is, you know, basically this is the cost of the planning phase of the project, is that correct.
MR. TOM McAFEE: Yes, sir.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And do you have an estimate and have you devised a cost of the replacement hospital.
MR. TOM McAFEE: Yes. Perhaps Matt Flynn, our Chief Financial Officer, can speak to that question.
MR. MATTHEW FLYNN: Yeah, from early estimates, we believe that the total project cost will be about 372 million in capital.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And how do you plan on financing that.
MR. MATTHEW FLYNN: Well, I think with Northwestern Memorial in our merger, it gives us the ability to leverage the cash in our balance sheet, and also look at cash flow from operations.
MR. TOM McAFEE: Of course, that is, that is a preliminary estimate based off of conceptual design, we still need some time, of course, over the next year to refine that.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And when would you break ground for this new hospital?
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MR. TOM McAFEE: Assuming we'd been given support by this committee and track with all the other support necessary through our board, we would expect to be in the ground sometime around this time next year, with a three-year construction period, with the building being occupiable sometime around 2017.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Just for a point of clarification, I just want to read one sentence in the State Board Staff Report: Approval by the State Board of the master design project does not obligate approval or positive findings on future constructions or modification projects and implementing the design. So you will be back before us.

MR. TOM MCAFEE: Yes, Madam Chair, we would come back later in the year after we have more refined estimates to address the question of cost. This is simply to give us authorization to proceed beyond the limit to support the design.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: But it, did I read somewhere that the design portion was to be completed by the end of June in 2015.

MR. TOM MCAFEE: That is --

MR. MATTHEW FLYNN: '14. MR. TOM McAFEE: 2014. Sorry about
```

    that.
    ```
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?
        JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Chair? Again.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes, Justice.
        JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yeah. Tell me
about the relationship between the downtown
    Northwestern and your own board. Who's in charge of
    your hospital? Who, does the downtown people, do
they say no to your board, or what? How does that
work.
    MR. TOM McAFEE: Sure. We are one, we
    are all under Northwestern Healthcare, so when Lake
    Forest came together, the boards fused, the assets
    fused, it was a full asset merger. We do have cross
    representation on boards from Northwestern Lake
    Forest and Northwestern Memorial, but Northwestern
    Lake Forest, much like Northwestern Memorial, are
    both subsidiaries under Northwestern Healthcare. So
    the approval process will go through Lake Forest and
    then up to the system board once we have better
    estimates of cost. This is a unified team, we cover
    both institutions.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Thank you.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: I was also
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    wondering is that over the years I've been around
    Lake Forest, and I have to admit, it's been a few
    years, but haven't you had modifications of your
        hospital, and significant modifications over the
        years, of new facilities and things like that.
        MR. TOM McAFEE: Yes, the answer is yes,
        we have made enhancements to the campus. It's
        been -- it's interesting, the main structure was
        from 1942, we have another structure in the 50's, we
        added a nursing home, a long-term care facility in
        the 70's, and we've added medical buildings. The
        most recent expansion was our Women's Center, which
        is on one end of the campus. The challenge that
        we've had with these improvements over time is it's
        taken on a, an 1800 farmhouse type of situation
        where it's been lateral. So it's created some of
        the challenges that we face today where to get from
        the Women's Center all the way over to our main
        imaging department is quite a haul. So the last
        improvement was a modest change with our Women's
        Center in 2004, I believe. So nothing --
            VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And when was the
        Women's Center built.
            MR. TOM McAFEE: That's when it was
    built. 2004.
    ```

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: But that is a very modern facility.

MR. TOM McAFEE: It's actually, it's one of the nicest assets that we have on our campus. In fact, we think that as we -- we did analyze, we actually had a few different studies, in fact, I think three over the last seven and a half years that I've been at the institution, to look at potential, reuse potential, could we retrofit, how could we accommodate the needs. The problem is that much of the main infrastructure is 75 years old. In fact, we've had several utility failures, including a gas line, a steam line, an electric power problem on the campus that required significant investment. So although a portion of the campus is in great shape and we plan to figure out innovative solutions through our education and our research platform to reuse that, it is no longer capable of supporting contemporary and acute care medicine.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Would the Women's Center close and be torn down?

MR. TOM McAFEE: No, I can't answer that specifically, \(I\) doubt that we would have the intent of taking it down, but that would require further understanding of the needs for that portion of the
```

campus. The way the campus is configured is the
Board had some great insight to acquire land over
the last }100\mathrm{ years to give the ability to move
north, so we have the ability to establish the new
facility, and then over the next year we'll engage,
once we get approval to proceed, of course, we would
look to potential readers for some of those assets,
because you're absolutely correct, we don't want to
waste them. Having said that, we also don't want to
the bifurcate the clinical care. Moving supplies
and food to two different destination points on the
campus with the scale that we have today is just not
efficient.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Now we've heard
earlier today that, you know, hospital reimbursement
for both inpatient and for outpatient services may
be affected by the Affordable Care Act. You're
asking us to, it's kind of hard to, you know, in a
year from now you'll come back with a project
approximately 350 to \$400 million, but you'll
already have spent 21 million of that. Are, in
addition 21 million, and it's kind of hard to --
it's a little bit interesting to reject a project
that we've already, you know, that you've already
poured in \$21 million. Have you thought through
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that?
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        MR. TOM McAFEE: Yeah; no, I think it's
    a good question. I think that if you step back and
    you look at the approach that we've had with this
    application, we've been very conservative with our
    forecast. Although Northwestern has invested in a
        variety of subspecialties, we expect the acuity of
        care to go up over time, but that overall inpatient
        demand will continue to be stable, despite the fact
        that we've seen organic growth in the county over
        the years, we've done a great job in managing length
        of stay.
        It's challenging, because you can
        imagine how the Board feels in investing this kind
        of capital at a time when reimbursement is radically
        going to change over health care reimbursement. But
        the fact remains that we also have to have a
        physical plan that allows us to support the
        diagnostic and surgical intervention in the recovery
        for patients in rooms that are just simply at the
        end of their life. The last thing we want to do is
        invest resources that are not going to be
        effectively utilized in the future. Hence, the need
        to be enormously flexible on the design. So we've
        tried to approach, in fact, that's why we're
    ```
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suggesting even taking bed, beds out of OB in our
general med/surge, keeping that constant, because
most application, as you would expect, would
anticipate growth. We have strong belief that the
investment in the outpatient platform is where the
future holds, and that's been our focus to date.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Further questions
from the Board? Okay, I would like a motion to
approve Project 13-033, Northwestern Lake Forest
Hospital to authorize a master design project.
DAVID PENN: So moved.
RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
Penn, seconded by Mr. Sewell. Mr. Bradley.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
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MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yes, in spite of the
performance requirements standard, which I think
might have had more influence on me if you were
    talking about a new facility rather than planning
    from, you know, with the constraints of the existing
    bed. So yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Yes, okay, thank
you. Madam Chairwoman Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Eight votes in
    affirmative, zero negative.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes, we'll
    look forward to seeing your design.
    MR. TOM McAFEE: Thank you.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Next I'm going to
    call up St. Elizabeth's 13-034. And at this time I
    would also like to call up 13-036, Touchette
    Regional Hospital. The reason I'm calling both
    applicants to the table is, it will become evident,
    because one project depends on the other. It will
    be two separate votes.
    Would you introduce yourselves and spell
```

    your names for the court reporter. Identify which
    project you're with, too.
    DR. RANDY JUNG: This is St. Elizabeth's
    CON presentation, I'm Dr. Randy Jung, J-U-N-G.
    MS. MARYANN REESE: Maryann Reese,
    M-A-R-Y-A-N-N, one word, R-E-E-S-E. And I'm with
St. Elizabeth's.
MS. CLAIRE RANALLI: Claire Ranalli,
R-A-N-A-L-L-I, and I'm counsel for both St.
Elizabeth's and Touchette.
MR. LARRY McCULLEY: Larry McCulley, CEO
Touchette Regional Health Center, Project 13-036.
MR. THOMAS MIKKELSON: And Thomas
Mikkelson, M-I-K-K-E-L-S-O-N, with Touchette.
(All were sworn.)
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair. The applicants are proposing to discontinue
a 35-bed acute mental illness category of service at
their hospital in Belleville. There is no cost to
this project. The Board staff wishes to note that
there was a supplemental mailing that contained
factual information where an opposition, the only
opposition letter to the project was redacted, and
the person who wrote it now supports the program.
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    Your, your project files contain financial
    information from Hospital Sisters Services,
    Incorporated, the consolidated financials.
            There was a public hearing held on
        August 29th of this year at Belleville City Council
        Chambers. There was one of the people who
        registered and spoke in support, there was one
        person who spoke in opposition, a Dr. Maria
        Scarborough. And there are, there are negative
        findings -- no, I take that back. The applicants
        are in conformance with Series 1110 criteria, and
        part }1120\mathrm{ was not applicable. Thank you, Madam
        Chair.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Comments
        for the Board?
    MS. MARYANN REESE: Good afternoon. I'm
        Maryann Reese, I serve as the President and CEO of
        St. Elizabeth's Hospital, I'm also a registered
        nurse. I have with me today Dr. Jung, who is our
        psychiatrist and also the director of our program at
        St. Elizabeth's Behavioral Health. I also have with
        me Claire Ranalli, my legal counsel, as well. I
        would like to first thank the HFSRB staff for the
        work on this project, we do appreciate it and thank
        you.
    ```
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    Today we're seeking approval for what we
    believe to be a very forward thinking regional
    behavioral health care collaboration with Touchette
    Hospital. This will create one regional high
    quality, efficient and effective program. We are
    going to decrease the number of beds in Planning
    Area 10. We also believe this will involve all
    mental health providers originally in our planning
    area if this is approved. We set out to, with
    Touchette, to create a comprehensive continuum of
    care program, and we believe that this will be
    quality care for our acute mental illness residents.
    This CON will eliminate duplication, allow Touchette
    Regional to construct a new unit, balance out the
    number of beds in Planning Area 10, and maintain
    access we believe to vital services.
    In closing, we believe this
    collaboration with Touchette will be a model for
    cost effective, efficient and quality care for the
    residents of our community for the long-term. And
    with that, I would welcome any questions on the St.
    Elizabeth's project. Or CON.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Are there questions
    from the Board on the St. Elizabeth's CON project?
    PHILIP BRADLEY: I have one question, if
    ```
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    I may. On the face of it, it looks like a very well
    thought out plan. It's, it increases efficiencies,
    and I don't see any problem with it, but there was
    an underlying current among some people in
Belleville that this represents the first step
towards closing that hospital in downtown Belleville
and moving it elsewhere. Does the -- do the
Hospital Sisters have any intention of closing that
hospital sometime in the future?
MS. MARYANN REESE: First of all, this
is about behavioral health services in our
community --
PHILIP BRADLEY: I understand that.
MS. MARYANN REESE: We continue to do
due diligence on what kinds of services we provide
and will provide in the future, so we're discerning
and doing due diligence on that.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Is that no?
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Could not answer.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Should I take from that
that the people who are concerned that hospital
remaining in downtown Belleville with the services
and the jobs have, may have some reason for concern.
MS. MARYANN REESE: This is about
providing mental health services for the region.
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PHILIP BRADLEY: No, this is about
answering a question from somebody who is
responsible for implementing overall planning for
health facilities in the state who may at some time
have to deal with the question whether to allow you
    to close the hospital. I think you'd be well
advised to not duck the question.
    MS. MARYANN REESE: And I'm sorry, sir,
    I'm not trying to duck the question, we continue to
do due diligence, and I, I don't have an answer, I
    can't say -- I don't have an answer for that right
now.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Well, that in itself is
an answer.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions from
board members on the St. Elizabeth's project?
    (No questions.)
    There being none, may \(I\) have a motion to
approve Project 13-034, St. Elizabeth's Hospital
Belleville to discontinue its 35 bed acute mental
illness category of service.
    RICHARD SEWELL: So moved.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Mr. Sewell, second by Mr. Hayes. Mr. Bradley.

PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Eight
votes in the positive, zero in negative.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes,
thank you. And then if, you were already sworn in.
George, do you want to do the --
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair. The next report to, or the next project to be considered is Docket Number H-13, Project 13-036. The applicants are Touchette Regional Hospital and Southern Illinois Healthcare Foundation and Hospital
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Sisters services. They are seeking approval to
expand their inpatient mental health unit from 12
beds to 30 beds. The anticipated project cost is
\$30.2 -- I'm sorry, \$10.1 million, I need glasses,
too, I'm sorry.
The Board staff reports there's numerous
letters of support. The applicant's board staff
would also like to point out on Page 4, or Table 4,
Page 6 of your application there's a typo in regard
to the safety net information. If you look at the
total charity care cost in dollars, what you'll see
on Table 4 is \$2,825, that actually needs to be
\$2,825,007. Board staff also wishes to note they're
in -- not in conformance with part 1110 in the sense
that there is an excess of }51\mathrm{ beds in the planning
area, and they are also not in conformance with
Section 1120, because they're over when it comes to
site survey and soil investigation costs and
architectural and engineering costs. Thank you,
Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
Comments for the Board?
MR. LARRY McCULLEY: Good afternoon. My
name is Larry McCulley, and I'm the Chief Operating
Officer of Touchette Regional Hospital and Southern
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    Illinois Healthcare Foundation. With me today is
    the Chief Operating Officer of Touchette Tom
    Mikkelson, and our counsel Claire Ranalli.
    Just to keep our points to a minimum,
    first I want to thank the Board staff for all the
    work and guidance on this project, and very pleased
    to see that we have a positive report. I also want
    to thank the Board today for getting to hear what we
    believe is an innovative project that will help the
    Southern Illinois region. This project, and with
    your approval today, really is the first step that
    we are going to take in Southern Illinois to not
    only create a greater efficiency with inpatient
    behavioral health, but more importantly, create a
    regional network of services that coordinate the
    care in line with the national health care reforms,
    the state health care reforms, and align with some
    of the reforms that HSF is putting through. This
    allows us to create not just this inpatient hub, but
    to begin working with the area EMS systems,
    emergency room systems, to create protocol and
    coordinated care so individuals don't end up in
    emergency rooms for a period of time. But also most
    importantly, to work with the ER so they understand
    the importance of dealing with the acute medical
    ```
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    issues of those patients when they arrive before
    they seek to transfer a behavioral health patient.
    We also will look at layering in intensive
outpatient services for our patients so we can
prevent admissions and readmissions. We're also
going to be working very closely with our community
mental health organizations primarily looking at the
support this morning from our }708\mathrm{ County, }708\mathrm{ Mental
Health Board, we'll be working very closely with
Southern Illinois Healthcare Foundation's FQAC that
are located in nine different counties in Southern
Illinois, and of course we're going to layer this
with the private community mental health providers,
and with the primary care providers, because more
importantly, what we're trying to get done is a care
coordination system for people with behavioral
health conditions to get them into a level of care
that seeks quality at the lowest level so we don't
have to get them into an inpatient setting if we can
prevent it.
At this point in time I'll defer the
rest of my comments to any questions you may have.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions
from the Board? Mr. Hayes?
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Thank you. Are

```
```

you -- is St. Elizabeth's Hospital and Touchette
Regional Hospital, are they owned by the same
groups?
MR. LARRY McCULLEY: No, they are not.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Are they a
religious affiliated hospitals.
MS. MARYANN REESE: St. Elizabeth's is a
Catholic institution owned and operated by Hospital
Sisters Health System out of Springfield, Illinois.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And Touchette?
MR. LARRY McCULLEY: Touchette is a
private corporate not-for-profit, is a subsidiary of
Southern Illinois Healthcare Foundation and was
created back in 1947 in Centreville, Illinois, to
make sure that individuals that are underserved and
underrepresented had a chance to receive health
care.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions? I
have just one. Is it correct that Centreville and
Belleville are about ten minutes apart?
MR. LARRY McCULLEY: Centreville
actually borders Belleville on the southwestern
corner.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?

```
(No questions.)

May I have a motion to approve Project

13-036, Touchette Regional Hospital, Centreville, to add 18 AMI beds to its 12-bed acute mental illness unit?

SENATOR DEMUZIO: So moved.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Second.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Senator Demuzio, seconded by Mr. Penn.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call?

MR. NELSON AGBADO: I'm sorry, second by
```

Justice Greiman. Mr. Bradley.

```
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Eight vote in
affirmative, zero in negative.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes. Thank you all, good luck.

Next we have 13-035, Julia Rackley Perry

Memorial Princeton. This project had no opposition and no findings.

Would you please state your name and spell it for the court reporter.

MR. REX CONGER: Rex Conger, \(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}\).

MS. TRICIA ELLISON: Tricia Ellison, \(\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}\).

MS. DENISE JACKSON: Denise Jackson, \(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}\).
(All were sworn.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George.

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam

Chair. The applicant proposes to discontinue its obstetrics program, which is located in a critical access hospital in Princeton, Illinois. There are no costs to this project, and they site the reason for the discontinuation as a steady decline in
```

    utilization. There are no letters of support or
    opposition to the project, and they are in
    conformance with Section 1110; Section 1120 is not
    applicable. Thank you, Madam Chair.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Since
    there's no opposition or negative findings, would
    you like to let us just ask questions, or do you
have a statement you'd like to read?
MR. REX CONGER: Just a brief statement.
We are proposing to discontinue our OB service,
which includes four of our 25 beds, and then to use
the four discontinued beds as part of our adjacent
18-bed medical/surgical unit. There -- I'm pleased
to answer any questions.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Questions
from board members?
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes. So is that
because that you're not making any money off those
four beds?
MR. REX CONGER: No, the number of
deliveries has been dropping over the last ten
years, we are now down below 100 births per year.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: So. That's 100
births, that's 20, 20 births a bed. Something like
that.

```

MR. REX CONGER: Well, but if you look at trying to maintain a level of competency, we are concerned that that number is -- our capability is deteriorating and we don't want -- we see no way that that number is going to change other than going down further, if you look at the average population, at the age of our population.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Well, I'm concerned, though, that you say we're not making money off of this section, so let's get rid of it, where I'd like to see other sections of the hospital support other sections in this, you know, there's going to be rich or poor. And I like to see rich sections support the poor sections so that we analyze it and we all make a living.

MR. REX CONGER: Well, we have been losing money on -- if we were going to just make a quick decision to close based on losing money we would have closed ten years ago, so we have been supporting it over that last ten years. If you look at the change in reimbursement, we are no longer able to support a service in the building that is losing a half a million dollars a year.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Okay, all right, thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yeah, there was at one time, \(I\) don't know if it's still current, maybe even Mike Jones can help me. There was an American college of obstetricians and gynecologists standard that no fewer than 500 deliveries per maternity ward. So if you're going to have one, you have to have, you know, should have 500.

DAVID CARVALHO: Yes, I was trying to
    find it online to see what their current
    recommendation is. Judge Greiman, the concern both
    of the Department of Mental Health and the
    obstetrician community is that when the number of
    births in a hospital drops below a certain number,
    the expertise and the quality of the services that
    can be delivered deteriorates, because people just
    lose their skills, and it's hard to keep it staffed
    with appropriately skilled persons. So I don't
    recall exactly what that number was, but it's
    definitely much higher than 100 per year.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Mr. Penn, did you
        have a question?
    DAVID PENN: I was going to see if he
    wants some coffee.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Oh, no, I thought you
```

    had a question. Dr. Burden.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Just a little
    anecdotal story, it's getting late, so I always
    throw one out. But I'm 80 years of age, so 70 years
    ago when I was showing a white faced cattle in
    Bureau County Fair, I had a lot of farmers in my
    family, I can remember being in the Princeton
    courthouse with my pop when he was practicing law
    looking at the combines that his relatives owned
    basically. I'm a little chagrin when I read about
    stuff like that the old place that I remember as a
    kid being so vibrant, (inaudible) has Ronald
    Reagan's replica, and all my pals don't believe me,
    but I've met him and knew him, you know, his father
    lived above the Rexall Drug Store, and he was the
    town drunk. This is off the record. I've been
    around that town and around that country when I was
    younger an awful lot. And that --
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Madam Chairwoman.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes, Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Where would the,
    where are the patients going to now? Is it to,
    could you describe where these services are
    available and the distance?
    ```

MR. REX CONGER: Yes. St. Margaret's is I believe 18 miles.

MS. DENISE JACKSON: About 16 miles away.
```

    MR. REX CONGER: }16\mathrm{ miles east of us,
    and IVCH is another three miles beyond that.
    ```
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And what towns are
    they located in.
    MR. REX CONGER: One is LaSalle Peru --
    well, one is Spring Valley, the other one is Peru.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: All right, thank
    you.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions?
        (No questions.)
    May I have a motion to approve Project
    13-035, Julia Rackley Perry Memorial Hospital in
    Princeton, to discontinue its obstetrics category of
    service?
    PHILIP BRADLEY: So moved.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
    Bradley, second by Mr. Hayes. Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.

DR. JAMES BURDEN: I was blabbing again,
what did I -- what's your vote?
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: To discontinue OB.
    DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes, of course.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: So it's yes, thank
you. Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes. Now he can
    finish telling me the story.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Judge Greiman.
    JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Eight
votes in positive, zero negative.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes.
    Thank you. Good luck.
    Would the board members like a
    ten-minute break, or do you want to keep going? No?
    No break.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: No, no break. JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Keep going. DAVID PENN: Yes, break. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Do you need a break? DAVID PENN: No, go ahead. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Next, next up is 13-039 Center for Ambulatory Surgery at Swedish Covenant Hospital in Chicago.

Welcome, would you state your name and spell it for the court reporter?

MR. MARK NEWTON: Yes, Mark Newton,
\(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}\), I'm the President and Chief Executive Officer of Swedish Covenant Hospital.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George?

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam

Chair. The applicants, Swedish Covenant Surgery Center, LLC, are proposing to transfer the entirety of its ownership interest in the Swedish Covenant Surgery Center -- or in the Center for Ambulatory Surgery at Swedish Covenant Hospital to Swedish Covenant Hospital. This, this project has no reported expenses, as Swedish Covenant Hospital states they will expense any of the cost related to the transaction. The proposed project is scheduled to be completed by December 31st, 2013. There are
```

no letters in support or opposition to the project,
and no public hearing was called. Thank you, Madam
Chair.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Can we just cut to the
chase? I move to approve it.
RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All righty then. I
have a motion to approve Project 13-039, the Center
for Ambulatory Surgery at Swedish Covenant Hospital,
to transfer ownership of the Multispecialty
Ambulatory Surgery Treatment Center to Swedish
Covenant Hospital.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: So moved.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Second.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: No, I think we
already had a -- Bradley/Sewell.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay, the motion
made by Mr. Bradley, second by Mr. Sewell.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call, please.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Bradley.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden.
DR. JAMES BURDEN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

```

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yes. Chairwoman Olson.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Eight vote in positive, zero negative.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes, thank you.

MR. MARK NEWTON: Thank you.
PHILIP BRADLEY: It was the most stirring presentation we've had all day.

MR. MARK NEWTON: Thank you. I do appreciate the Board's support, and I'm happy I got the high, so thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: We appreciate you coming.

MR. MARK NEWTON: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Project Number

13-031, DaVita Waukegan Renal Center in Waukegan.

Please state your names for the court
reporter.
    MS. PENNY DAVIS: Penny Davis,
    \(P-E-N-N-Y\), Davis, \(D-A-V-I-S\).
    MR. CHARLES SHEETS: Chuck Sheets,
    \(S-H-E-E-T-S\).
    MS. ANN COOPER: Ann Cooper.
        (All were sworn.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
    Chair. The applicants are proposing to discontinue
    a 22-station ESRD facility in Waukegan located at
    1616 North Grand Avenue in Waukegan, and reestablish
    a 22-station replacement facility at the 3400 block
    of Grand Avenue in Waukegan. The cost of the
    project is \(\$ 4\) million. Board staff notes that
    there's one left opposition to this project
    contained in the project file. There were no
    letters of support, and no public hearing. Board
    staff notes that there is -- there were negative
    findings for 1110; no negative findings for 1120.
    The negative findings for 1110 were that the current
    facility is not at the target occupancy of 80
    percent, and their most recent utilization
    percentage is 71.2 percent. Thank you, Madam Chair.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Comments for the
        Board?

MS. PENNY DAVIS: Thank you. My name is Penny Davis, and I'm the Division Vice-president for DaVita in the Chicagoland area. First of all, I'd like to congratulate Chairwoman Olson on her appointment, and thank the Board staff for the mostly positive State Agency Report.

We're seeking to relocate the existing 22-station Waukegan Renal Center approximately five minutes from its current location to a much more modern building that meets life safety code standards and Medicare conditions of participation. Importantly, this project meets all the Board's standards with the exception of one, which I will discuss. We are not requesting to add stations to Waukegan Renal Center, so the relocation will not create a maldistribution of services or increase the number of excess stations in the planning area. The relocated Waukegan Renal Center will not impact other providers in the area, as it will continue to treat its current and future patient base. We do not anticipate patients from other facilities will transfer to Waukegan Renal Center.

We acquired the center in 2011 as part of a larger transaction when we acquired the DSI
```

```
    1 \text { facilities. The facility was identified early on by}
```

```
```

    1 \text { facilities. The facility was identified early on by}
    ```
```

    DaVita as a facility that would need to be
    ```
    DaVita as a facility that would need to be
    relocated, as the size and design of the facility
    relocated, as the size and design of the facility
    create operational and logistical inefficiencies and
    create operational and logistical inefficiencies and
    do not comply with DaVita's physical plan
    do not comply with DaVita's physical plan
    requirements. The existing facility houses 22
    requirements. The existing facility houses 22
    stations in 5,725 gross square feet, or 260 gross
    stations in 5,725 gross square feet, or 260 gross
    square feet per station. This is 50 percent of the
    square feet per station. This is 50 percent of the
    allowable amount under the Board's standards. And
    allowable amount under the Board's standards. And
    what that causes is infection control issues, family
    what that causes is infection control issues, family
    visitor issues, that they can't come in because the
    visitor issues, that they can't come in because the
    stations are too close together. The existing
    stations are too close together. The existing
    facility doesn't comply with current life safety
    facility doesn't comply with current life safety
    code standards because it was built before those
    code standards because it was built before those
    standards were promulgated.
    standards were promulgated.
    Existing facility has suboptimal site
    Existing facility has suboptimal site
    lines for monitoring patients, putting patients at
    lines for monitoring patients, putting patients at
    risk, for blood loss from dislodged needles,
    risk, for blood loss from dislodged needles,
    especially while sleeping. The water treatment room
    especially while sleeping. The water treatment room
    is in the basement of the existing facility, and
    is in the basement of the existing facility, and
    uses a sewage ejector system pump to pump out water
    uses a sewage ejector system pump to pump out water
    used on the treatment floor one story above it.
    used on the treatment floor one story above it.
    This set-up does not conform in any way to DaVita's
    This set-up does not conform in any way to DaVita's
    standards of care due to the system's potential to
    standards of care due to the system's potential to
    fail and the resultant flooding should it occur.
```

    fail and the resultant flooding should it occur.
    ```

The egresses also do not meet current ADA standards. The existing facility does not have direct exits to the outside. Patients, visitors and staff must use common building exits from the first floor, which is only one ADA compliant exit. That one ADA compliant exit is on the west side of the building, far from the parking area. Parking is not immediately adjacent to the building, and there is no dedicated patient drop off. This causes an inconvenience for patients and creates additional safety hazards.

The new facility will be designed and built with operational and logistical efficiencies in mind, the current life safety code requirements and Medicare conditions of participation, and will conform to DaVita's standards of care. The new -the size of the new facility will be approximately 57 percent larger than the existing facility and will improve operational efficiency. We'll have a dedicated patient drop-off and will also have a cover, a cover over the, the drop-off area.

The new location was selected specifically with patient access in mind. In fact, when we acquired the center in October of 2011, we had an architect come in and, in March of 2012 to
```

review the facility and make the determination that
it could not be renovated. It took us this long to
find another facility that was directly on the same
road, on the same bus line for the patients.
Currently about 60 percent of the patients in that
area utilize public transportation.
We received one negative finding
about -- and that was related to the utilization.
The existing facility actually now it's close to the
Board's 80 percent utilization. At the time we
filed it was at 72 percent, it's currently operating
at 74.2. Only eight more patients will help us
reach the 80 percent utilization. The
underutilization is a direct result of the poor
physical plan conditions which will not exist at a
replacement facility. We expect all the existing
patients to transfer, and additionally,
Dr. Freeland, who is our medical director, currently
has 41 pre-ESRD patients who are expected to
initiate dialysis within the next two years. He
anticipates referring 25 of those patients to the
Waukegan Renal Center, which would increase
utilization to 93.18 percent.
I'd like to thank the Board and, for
your time and consideration, and answer any

```
questions you might have.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
```

    Board?
    ```
                                I would like to note for the record that
Dr. Burden had to leave, but we do still have a
    quorum present. Questions? No questions?
                            (No questions.)
        May I have a motion to approve Project
    13-013, DaVita Waukegan Renal Center in Waukegan, to
    discontinue its existing 22-station ESRD facility
and establish a 22-station ESRD facility.
    DAVID PENN: So moved.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Oh, I'm sorry 031, I
    said 013.
        DAVID PENN: Second.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
        Penn, seconded by Mr. Hayes. Mr. Bradley.
        PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden. Absent.
    Senator Demuzio.
        SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
        JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
        MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn. DAVID PENN: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell. RICHARD SEWELL: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBODO: Madam Chair Olson. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. MR. NELSON AGBADO: I have seven votes in positive, two absent.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes.

DAVID PENN: Thank you.

MS. PENNY DAVIS: Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. 13-051,

Comprehensive -- Center for Comprehensive Services in Palatine.

Would you state your names and spell it for the court reporter.

MS. HEATHER DEMPSEY: Heather Dempsey,

D-E-M-P-S-E-Y.

MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: Chris Williamson, W-I-L-L-I-A-M-S-O-N.

MR. STEVE MILLER: Steve Miller, \(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}\).
(All were sworn.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George.

MR. GEORGE ROATE: Board staff wishes to
point out first and foremost that the project number on your State Agency Report is incorrect, it's actually 13-051. This project -- in this project the applicants propose to establish a five-bed community-based comprehensive rehabilitation center in Palatine. The total cost of the project is \$1.1 million. This project is proposed under the Alternative Healthcare Delivery Act. This, this project has been before the Board before. In March of 2010 the applicants were approved for 100 beds under the Alternative Healthcare Delivery Act model for community-based residential rehabilitation centers in the Greater Chicago area. This application is part of that, that greater project. Other projects under this framework were an eight-bed facility in Des Plaines, Illinois, Project 09-060, and an eight-bed facility -- excuse me, in Palatine, Illinois, Project 12-033. There were no letters of opposition or support for this project, and no public hearing was held, Madam Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Can I ask a question, George? Were there findings? Or there were no findings?

MR. GEORGE ROATE: There technically --
```

    there were no negative findings on the report, but
    the criteria, itself, is, is, it is an 1100, and
    there were no negative findings basically. It's
    essentially just proving that they have been
    approved for the 100-bed model, and they're simply
    keeping within those parameters. The criteria is
    somewhat broad at this point, if I could say so.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, so there is
    essentially no opposition and number of proposed
    findings.
    MR. GEORGE ROATE: No, ma'am.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: With that said, would
    the -- would you like to make a statement or would
    you just like to answer questions.
    MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: We'll answer
    questions, thank you.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
        Board?
        JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yeah, I've got a
        question. How do you, how do you take care of five
        beds? Where do you get people, doctors who would
        come and take care of five beds every third day, or
        how do they do that? How does that work.
        MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: Our physician
        comes to our facility one time a week, he's a local
    ```
```

physician within the Des Plaines area, and then we
take him to, take our participants to their
appointments as needed. They have to be medically
stable to admit to our facility, and we have L.P.N.
and R.N. }24\mathrm{ hours a day.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: So you can get
people there at all times is what you're saying.
MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: Yes, sir.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: And you do -- and
that happens, right?
MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: Yes.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Okay, thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Other questions? I
would just like to make a comment. I actually
really like this model. I managed an outpatient
rehab center for a while, and I think that this
model is really important to integrating them back
into the community. It's a great model. Don't.
MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. May I have a
motion to approve Project 13-051, Center for
Comprehensive Services, Inc., in Palatine to
establish a five-bed community-based comprehensive
rehabilitation center?
DAVID PENN: So moved.

```

JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Second.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by Mr.
Penn, second by Justice Greiman.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Roll call.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Bradley.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden. Absent.

Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.
DAVID PENN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Chairwoman Olson.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Seven votes in the positive, two absent, no negative.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes, thank you.

MR. CHRIS WILLIAMSON: Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Next we have 13-007,
```

    Preferred Surgicenter in Orland Park. These are
    applicants subsequent to Intent to Deny.
    Would you introduce yourselves to the
    reporter and spell your names?
MR. JOSEPH HYLAK-REINHOLTZ: Joseph
Hylak-Reinholtz, H-Y-L-A-K, hyphen,
R-E-I-N-H-O-L-T-Z, of Holland and Knight, legal
counsel for the applicant.
DR. NASER RUSTOM: Naser Rustom,
N-A-S-E-R, Rustom, R-U-S-T-O-M.
MR. JEFFREY MARK: Jeffrey Mark,
M-A-R-K, with JSMA, LLC.
MS. ROBYN FINA: Robyn Fina, R-O-B-Y-N,
F-I-N-A.
(All were sworn.)
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: George.
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Thank you, Madam
Chair. The applicant is proposing to establish a
multispecialty ambulatory surgical treatment center
in Orland Park. The anticipated cost of the project
is \$3.8 million. Board staff notes the project was
given an Intent to Deny at the May 14th, 2013, board
meeting. The applicants did submit additional
information on July 12th, 2013, in response to this
Intent to Deny. The applicant is now proposing six

```
```

    surgical specialties, that being gastroenterology,
    general surgery, pain management, orthopedics,
    obstetrics and gynecology, and podiatry. The
    applicant also reduced the number of surgical rooms
    from five to four, which resulted in a reduced cost
    of the project from $5.5 to $3.8 million. This is
    an approximate 32 percent reduction from the
    original cost, and the amount of gross square feet
        has been reduced from 11,000 gross square feet to
        8,800 gross square feet, which is a 20 percent
        reduction.
    Board staff lastly notes of the items
    found, of the listed items on Page 1, that they were
not in compliance with, they're still noncompliant
with Numbers 3 and 4: Impact on other facilities,
and establishment of a new facility. Thank you,
Madam Chair.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, George.
Comments for the Board?
DR. NASER RUSTOM: Good afternoon,
Chairperson Olson and members of the state board.
My name Naser Rustom, I'm the owner of Preferred
Surgicenter. It is my pleasure to be before you
again today to discuss our proposed ambulatory
surgical treatment center. We are asking for your

```
approval to establish a multispecialty surgery
center in Orland Park. While our surgery center
will serve all person and every person of every
race, gender, religion and country of national
origin, et cetera, we also like to focus on meeting
the special needs of our Muslim Americans.
    This board first considered our proposal
at the May 14 th board meeting. At that hearing we
    attempted to explain why our surgery center is
needed. During discussion, many of you asked
thoughtful and important questions. We really
appreciate your input and the question you have
presented. The CON review process has been an
    invaluable learning experience for us. Please know
that we took your comments and questions very
seriously, and we have addressed many of, many of
your concerns. During the past few month we also
had the opportunity to meet with groups and
organization that serve our Muslim Americans. We
also spoke directly with our Muslim people who live
in our proposed geographic service area and learn
more about their specific health care need. Needs.
Like this board, the group and organization asked us
tough but important question about our project.
They also offered us an excellent advices on how to
```

    1 improve our proposal to better serve our community.
    Based on these discussions, we decided to modify our
CON permit application to address your previous
questions and to better address the concerns and the
needs of the Muslim American communities.
My legal counsel, Joe Hylak-Reinholtz,
will now briefly discuss the changes and the
decisions that we made in our CON permit
application.
MR. JOSEPH HYLAK-REINHOLTZ: Thank you,
Doctor. I am Joe Hylak-Reinholtz, Counsel for the
applicant. Before I move on to my comments, I just
would like to note in the state board staff report
there was still a project completion date of July
1st, 2014. If this board approves the application
today, we would be requesting a revised project
completion date which was in our modification of
January 1st, 2016.
As Dr. Rustom stated, we carefully
considered the questions and concerns previously
raised by this board and from several groups and
Organizations that serve Arab and Muslim Americans.
Based on this input, the applicant decided to modify
the original permit application. The modified
application is here before you today. These changes

```
```

make the application significantly more compliant

```
with your review criterion.
    For the sake of brevity, I will briefly
highlight the most significant changes. Number one,
we removed all references to Sharia Law from the
    application. Next, the applicant added three
surgical specialties to the proposed center, which
would be orthopedics, podiatry and OB/GYN services.
    The applicant's proposal has generated a lot of
    interest in the community, and there have been more
and more physicians who want to be involved with
    this project if it's approved. The most important
addition has been Dr. Rustom securing a relationship
with a female physician who's an OB/GYN specialist.
This is often stated as the number one concern of
Muslim American females of being able to obtain
health care services from a same gendered physician
in this category of service.
    The modification also reduces the number
of OR's from five down four. We are downsizing the
project for a few reasons. One, this board stated a
concern about the impact on the facilities. We
believe a smaller facility will have a lesser impact
on the community, if any. It also removes a
negative finding from the State Agency Report that
```

    there was a reliance on nonqualified referrals. All
    of our project is now entirely based on physician
    referrals that are approved by this board.
    Some of the comments also addressed the
    concerns raised by ex-officio member Dave Carvalho
    about physician referrals not achieving the numbers
    that are stated in a state board report or in a
    state board application. We actually have enough
    qualified physician referrals to request over five
    OR's, yet we are still sticking with four to
    maintain a reasonable, smaller sized facility. The
modification also reduced the project cost from 5.5
down to 3.7 million generally. The applicant also
provided a revised financial commitment letter from
his bank, which also removed negative finding.
The applicant also recertified his
commitment to providing charity care at the proposed
ambulatory surgical treatment center. Provision of
charity care is a basic tenet of the Muslim faith,
and the applicant fully intends to honor his
commitment to providing a significant amount of
charity care at the proposed center. Approximately
4 percent of the cases that we're anticipating by
year three will be charity care patients. This is
1 8 times the state average of all surgery centers,

```

1 which is just 0.22 percent of their patient mix.
```

    Moreover, the ASTC's referring physicians are also
    being asked to agree and have agreed to providing
    charity care at the center. This is in my
    understanding a very rare commitment for physicians
    to be making at a surgery center in Illinois.
    To demonstrate the offer is serious, he
    is also today willing to commit to this board on the
    record that we will come back before you and report
    on our charity care progress and the numbers we are
    making and the patients we are serving if you would
grant us a permit.
All in all, the, we heard your concerns
and we responded to those that were raised at the
May 14th meeting. We've taken away five of the
seven negative findings that were issued in the
original state board staff report.
The last two findings relate to need and
impact of existing facilities. We tried to do the
best we could to address those concerns that were
also raised by the Board at the last hearing. For
example, Member Sewell at the last meeting raised
concerns of proposed ASTC might have an adverse
impact on providers. We were never able to mention
that of the surgery centers and hospitals that are

```
```

    in our district, there are 89, only seven of those
    ```
    in our district, there are 89, only seven of those
    actually oppose this project. And our revised
    actually oppose this project. And our revised
    application, only two of those resubmitted letters
    application, only two of those resubmitted letters
    of opposition to the project. We would concur, we
    of opposition to the project. We would concur, we
    would argue that that is a very small percentage now
    would argue that that is a very small percentage now
    of the existing centers in our district that are, in
    of the existing centers in our district that are, in
    our GSA that are opposed to this project.
    our GSA that are opposed to this project.
    And then finally, there's one more
    And then finally, there's one more
    criteria that Jeff Mark, our CON consultant, will
    criteria that Jeff Mark, our CON consultant, will
    speak a little bit further about, and this is
    speak a little bit further about, and this is
    related to 1540(f). This is the center to be
    related to 1540(f). This is the center to be
approved needs to show that it will enhance access
approved needs to show that it will enhance access
    to care for patients in the district. We have 100
    to care for patients in the district. We have 100
    letters of support that have been filed with this
    letters of support that have been filed with this
    board, you've seen 100 letters of support over that
    board, you've seen 100 letters of support over that
    talk about how this project will enhance access to
    talk about how this project will enhance access to
    care. Robyn Fina will discuss the letters of
    care. Robyn Fina will discuss the letters of
    support that came in, and Jeff Mark will confer the
    support that came in, and Jeff Mark will confer the
    1540 F.
    1540 F.
    MR. JEFFREY MARK: Madam Chair, Members
    MR. JEFFREY MARK: Madam Chair, Members
    of the Board, first I want to thank you for this
    of the Board, first I want to thank you for this
    opportunity to appear before you today. I'm Jeff
    opportunity to appear before you today. I'm Jeff
    Mark, I'm a CON project consultant, and I'm speaking
    Mark, I'm a CON project consultant, and I'm speaking
        to you today regarding the staff's finding of need
        to you today regarding the staff's finding of need
    specifically addressing your Rule 1110.1540(f).
```

    specifically addressing your Rule 1110.1540(f).
    ```
```

1 That states: Quote, any applicant proposing to
That states: Quote, any applicant proposing to
establish an ambulatory surgical treatment center
will be approved only if one of the following
conditions is met.
The rule goes to say that one of these
conditions in subsection F3 is that quote: The
applicant can document that the facility is
necessary to improve access to care; and goes on to
say that the facility would quote: Will be
providing services which are not currently available
in the geographic service area.
This application addresses this
subsection or option. It has documented that, one,
Arab and Muslim Americans frequently report that
they are not able to access health care services due
to the lack of responsiveness to their cultural
values, religious beliefs and language needs. This
lack of access results in health care disparities
for these populations.
Two, the proposed facility will provide
services and practices that are competent and
responsive to the cultural values and religious
tenets of the Arab and Muslim Americans, thereby
improving access and reducing health care
disparities. By providing culturally competent

```
practitioners and practices and appropriately
sensitive to the needs of these populations,
    Preferred Surgicenter will provide services which do
not exist anywhere else in this GSA. Preferred
Surgicenter will welcome and treat persons of all
races, ethnicities and religions; however, it is
being established to address the unmet needs of the
Arab and Muslim American population.
    Dr. Burden pointed out, Dr. Burden
pointed out in your May board meeting that there are
500,000 Muslim Americans in the Greater Chicagoland
area, and this is a very fast growing minority
within the United States. Within the GSA proposed
in this application, the applicant has a very large
concentration of this population. Documented in
this application submission, the Muslim American
community is identified as a minority group
experiencing health care disparities in this
country, in this state, and in the proposed GSA.
The causes of these disparities are primarily
identified as, one, health care providers lack of
knowledge of and insensitivity to the cultural and
religious values of Arab and Muslim Americans. Two,
not having persons available who are fluent in
appropriate languages such as Arabic. Three, a
```

feeling of unwelcomeness in some facilities, which
may be caused by acts of discrimination, or staff
simply not being educated in the needs of Arab
Muslim American patients.
The bottom line is that existing
providers often lack the basic practices to be
responsive to the cultural values and religious
tenets of these populations. This contributes to
health care access problems and resulting health
care disparities. In Dr. Padela's study included in
the application, he records that Muslim American
patients often state that they are either fearful or
hesitant to seek care in the general health care
system. If they have had a health care encounter,
they often report that it was a bad experience which
results in either being hesitant to seek -- in
either being hesitant to seek follow-up care or
additional services.
On June 30th of this year, Governor
Quinn's Muslim American Advisory Council issued its
first report. In it it cited that access to
affordable health care is a problem within the
Illinois Muslim American community. It also cited
that on the issue of uninsured and underinsured is
also a significant issue within that community. The
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    third item that the report cited is the importance
    of bilingual services within the health care
    services for the community.
    I wish to note that the Preferred
    SurgiCenter application addresses these findings of
    the council's report. If -- the surgicenter will
    also follow the recommendations within the
    literature provided within the application.
    At the last meeting, Justice Greiman and
    others on the Board requested an explanation as to
        what is actually meant by culturally sensitive
        health care. And to a large extent, looking at what
        is provided, these are very basic, straight forward
        services, practices, and protocols on part of the
        facility. And among these to be provided by the
        Preferred Surgicenter are, one, education.
        Education of all staff, including physicians, in the
        cultural customs and religious values affecting the
        provision of health care for these populations.
        Two, the availability at all times of staff who are
        fluent in Arabic. Three, the option to obtain
        services from same gender physician and staff.
        Four, assuring the provision for personal modesty
        and accommodation of privacy that is understood by
        staff and is met for all patients. Five, ensuring
    ```
```

    that there is adequate space available for those who
    ```
    that there is adequate space available for those who
    wish to meet their daily prayer obligations. Six,
    wish to meet their daily prayer obligations. Six,
    providing accommodation as requested for ritual
    providing accommodation as requested for ritual
    cleansing; and finally, providing health education
    cleansing; and finally, providing health education
    and community outreach with concert -- in concert
    and community outreach with concert -- in concert
    with community service and religious organizations.
    with community service and religious organizations.
    The applicant also has stated, as Joe
    The applicant also has stated, as Joe
mentioned, a commitment to this board to establish a
mentioned, a commitment to this board to establish a
    robust charity care program that includes the
    robust charity care program that includes the
    facility and the commitment of practicing surgeons
    facility and the commitment of practicing surgeons
    to participate. The facility will also apply for
    to participate. The facility will also apply for
Medicaid certification.
Medicaid certification.
    In summary, the applicant has
    In summary, the applicant has
    demonstrated that, one, the proposed surgery center
    demonstrated that, one, the proposed surgery center
    is necessary and will improve access to care by
    is necessary and will improve access to care by
    being responsive to basic cultural religious and
    being responsive to basic cultural religious and
    access needs. Two, that by its robust charity care
    access needs. Two, that by its robust charity care
    program, including a commitment of participation by
    program, including a commitment of participation by
    surgeons, the facility provide financial access to
    surgeons, the facility provide financial access to
    care; and three, that not one of the 89 other
    care; and three, that not one of the 89 other
    identified providers, not one of the other 89 other
    identified providers, not one of the other 89 other
    providers in the GSA offers the accommodation being
    providers in the GSA offers the accommodation being
    proposed by this applicant, nor have any of these,
    proposed by this applicant, nor have any of these,
    to our knowledge, have suggested a willingness to do
    to our knowledge, have suggested a willingness to do
    so. With the Board's approval, Preferred
```

    so. With the Board's approval, Preferred
    ```
```

    1 \text { Surgicenter will establish a unique facility in}
    Illinois, and it may well serve as a prototype for
facilities elsewhere.
With that, I thank you for your time,
and I'll turn the floor over to Ms. Fina.
MS. ROBYN FINA: Good afternoon. My
name is Robyn Fina. I will be the surgery center's
manager. Thank you for allowing us to appear before
you this afternoon. It's been a long day for
everyone, so I will keep my comments brief. While
the supplemental staff report noted the number and
content of opposition letters to this application,
it did not fully cite the significant number of
written support letters that this project has
received. I would like the chairperson and members
to be aware that this board received more than 120
letters of support for this project from grass root
Arab and Muslim American organizations, community
leaders, and government officials. For example,
this board received a support letter from Soher
Omar, a co-chairperson of Governor Quinn's Muslim
American Advisory Council. In her support letter
Co-person Omar gave her full support for our
project. She also explained how our proposal is
closely aligned with one of the Council's primary

```
```

directives. Specifically, Governor Quinn's Council
has been directed to support projects that expand
access to affordable health care for Muslim
Americans.
Our proposal does exactly that. We are
proposing to establish a health care facility that
is truly unique. We will meet the cultural and
religious needs of Arab and Muslim Americans, hire
or contract with physicians and staff who are
bilingual and active participants in the Medicaid
program, develop a generous charity care program,
and have female surgeons to provide gender sensitive
services to our female patients. All of these
commitments are consistent with the Council's goal
of expanding access of affordable health care
services for Muslim Americans.
In addition to Co-chairperson Omar, two
additional members of the Governor's Council
provided written letters of support, each citing the
Council's goal of expanding access to affordable
health care to Muslim Americans. This board also
received letters of support from government
officials who represent constituents that will be
served by the proposed ASTC. For example, State
Senator Christine Radogno, the state Republican

```
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    leader, provided a support letter. Senator Radogno
    was a primary sponsor of the bill that created the
    Halel Food Act. She remains specifically involved
    with the Muslim American community in the southwest
    suburbs, and has a great understanding of the health
    care needs of Muslim Americans. State Senator Troy
    Hutchison, a long-time advocate of women's issues,
    also submitted a letter of support. The senator
    stated her concern that Muslim American women are
    not presently able to obtain health care services
    that take into account their cultural values and
    religious beliefs. State Representative Michael
    Zalewski also recognized that this ASTC will address
    specific unmet health care needs. We also have the
    support of the Illinois Department of Human
    Services. State agencies rarely support letters for
    pending CON projects, yet in our case DHS wrote a
    letter of support that recognizes how our surgery
    center will be an important resource for the
    community, because it will be providing culturally
    and linguistically competent health care to address
    the needs of Arab and Muslim Americans. We also
    received a letter of support from Dr. Aasim Padela.
    You may recall that we submitted two reports with
    our CON application. Dr. Padela was the coauthor of
    ```
```

    the report published by the Institute for Social
    Policy and Understanding. In his report Dr. Padela
    explains that failing to provide health care
    services to Muslim American patients in a manner
    that understands and respects their culture and
    beliefs often leads to increased health disparities.
    Dr. Padela, who is now the director on the
    initiative of Islam and medicine at the University
    of Chicago has given his full support for our
    project. Dr. Padela concluded, and I quote:
Proposed surgery center will enhance access to
health care services in Orland Park, Illinois, and
many of its surrounding communities. This board
also received a letter from a Syrian American
Medical Society Midwest Chapter. SAMS is an
organization that has hundreds of doctors, many of
whom are practicing in and serving patients from our
proposed GSA. Dr. Peter Sporn of Northwestern
University of School of Medicine also provided a
letter of support. Dr. Sporn has been a practicing
physician for over }17\mathrm{ years, and he concludes that
our ASTC will address critical unmet needs in the
southwest suburbs. Some other notable supporters of
the project include Arab American Action Network,
the Humanitarian Relief Foundation, the Palestenian
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American Youth League, the American Arabian League
Society, Illinois Human Relations Council, and the
Prayer Center of Orland Park. These are just a few
of the support letters. There are many others that
I cannot highlight due to time.
In total, this board received almost 130
support letters from grass roots organizations and
prominent community leaders in our GSA. I would
like to briefly mention those community members in
the crowd who drove down to Springfield today to
show their support. These letters and our
supporters here in person today show why we are here
asking for a CON permit. All of these individuals
and organizations have told us of their need to have
access to health care services that are sensitive to
the cultural values and religious beliefs held by
Arab and Muslim Americans. We believe that the
depth and breadth of these support comments provide
ample evidence of need for our proposed ASTC and the
unique resources it will offer to the communities we
will serve.
For the reasons mentioned here today, we
believe there is a fair need for our proposed
surgery center. I urge each of you to vote yes and
grant Preferred Surgery Center's CON permit.

```
```

    Please, give us the opportunity to make a difference
    ```
    Please, give us the opportunity to make a difference
    in the community we hope to serve.
    in the community we hope to serve.
    At this time we would be more than happy
    At this time we would be more than happy
    to answer any questions that you may have. Thank
    to answer any questions that you may have. Thank
    you.
    you.
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
            CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Questions from the
    Board?
    Board?
            RICHARD SEWELL: Yeah, I want to commend
            RICHARD SEWELL: Yeah, I want to commend
    the applicant for I think an extraordinary response
    the applicant for I think an extraordinary response
    to some of the comments that you heard when you were
    to some of the comments that you heard when you were
    last here. This reduction in costs and in square
    last here. This reduction in costs and in square
    footage, very impressive.
    footage, very impressive.
    I wanted to know if there had ever been
    I wanted to know if there had ever been
    a movement in the Arab and Islamic community to
    a movement in the Arab and Islamic community to
    approach some of the ambulatory surgery treatment
    approach some of the ambulatory surgery treatment
    center providers with specifics like you've
    center providers with specifics like you've
    presented to us on how they could make their
    presented to us on how they could make their
    services more sensitive to the needs of the
    services more sensitive to the needs of the
    community. I'm hearing these numbers like, you
    community. I'm hearing these numbers like, you
    know, half a million in the area, concentration of
    know, half a million in the area, concentration of
    Arab and Islamic people in the southwest suburbs,
    Arab and Islamic people in the southwest suburbs,
    and just based on old style American capitalism,
    and just based on old style American capitalism,
    seems like there would be a positive response to
    seems like there would be a positive response to
    maybe making changes in some of these existing
    maybe making changes in some of these existing
    sites. And had you ever thought about, you know,
```

    sites. And had you ever thought about, you know,
    ```
```

    the risk of sort of segregating this population
    where, you know, they're just expected to go to
    Preferred because they have all the things you need.
    Doesn't that let the others off the hook in terms of
        making changes in response to the population?
            MR. JEFFREY MARK: Well, that's a great
    question. For starters, there has not been any
    interest that we've seen yet from existing health
    care, existing hospitals or surgery centers in the
    district in the proposed geographic service area to
    do this. We really think we're going to get a
    movement started, though, in the area, and the
    reason I say that, there's been a lot of the
    interest that's been generated about this project
    since May 14th. I recently met with Access, the
    largest federally qualified health center in not
    only Illinois, but the nation. If we are approved
    we will be meeting with them to coordinate
    activities of community education and moving forth
    not just with our surgery center, but seeing what we
    can do and how we can partner with that agency going
    forward. So we're hoping we really are the pioneers
    here and the trend setters that will help spread the
    word and make a, at least starting with the
    southwest suburbs of Chicago and moving into a city
    ```
```

    that's much more responsive to the needs. I hope
    we're not the only one down the road, but at this
point we are the only one that's looking to do this.
We really hope that we can set a trend here.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I have to agree with
Mr. Sewell, I think I would encourage you to
continue on that path, because we, we do a
disservice to Muslim Arab Americans anywhere else in
the country if we don't force the existing
facilities to be culturally sensitive. And I agree
that you've done well with addressing our concerns
here, but I don't want you to ever stop pursuing it
and forcing other providers to provide the same kind
of cultural sensitivity.
MR. JEFFREY MARK: Well, like our
conversation with Access, we've had similar
conversation with all the organizations that
provided support letters, I would think we have a
really good thing going here, and I can't see us
stopping with just Access, we're really going to try
and build relationship with all the other
organizations, as well.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Are there other
questions? Senator?
SENATOR DEMUZIO: I just want to ask one
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1 quick question. Any idea what your estimated population is of your Arab and Muslim Americans in the, in your service area?

MR. JEFFREY MARK: I can give you some quick population stats. According to the US Census Bureau, the Arab American community grew 41 percent between 2000 and 2008. It's actually tripled since 1980, this is in Illinois, as well. In Illinois Muslims make up about 2.8 percent of our population. According to the 2010 census, there was \(12,830,632\) Illinois residents. Of that, 2.8 are identified as Muslim American. That equates to about 359,000, 360,000 people who identify as being Muslim. Which is not quite the same thing is being Arabic, because 256,395 identify themselves as being Arabic, which is a typically underreported category because of the number of those report as Caucasian on the census form. So we anticipate that we'll actually be a little bit higher than 2.8 percent in our district for Muslim Americans because we have concentration of the population segments within our zip codes. SENATOR DEMUZIO: That's what \(I\) was, that's what \(I\) was wondering. And I do want to thank your guests for coming down today and being a part of, of the hearing here, and we wish you well.
questions? I actually want, I have a question about
your charity care. You're anticipating 4 percent of
your total care at some point will be charity care,
which \(I\) would agree is at least 18 times the state
average, because there are no ASTC's who take
Medicaid, very few, but I'm interested in your
statement that your, the medical doctors that use
your facility are going to be asked to take charity
    care, you mean in their private practices, as well,
if they wish to use the surgery center, or is it
    just when they come to the surgery center they will
take charity?
    MR. JEFFREY MARK: Madam Chair, the
    charity care reported in our utilization report is a
    charity care facility, and what we're suggesting
here is that this facility is going to focus on
    that, go beyond that and suggest that not only will
    the facility provide the charity care, but as a
matter of policy, the facility will work with its
    surgeons to get them to commit as their practices to
provide that charity care, as well.
    MR. JOSEPH HYLAK-REINHOLTZ: And you
    heard that earlier today when Dr. Rahman, one of our
    large referral sources, was making that commitment.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Right.
MR. JEFFREY MARK: And as far as I know, that's a very very unique thing that's heard before this board, if ever. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And that charity access would be open to anybody who wishes to access it. MR. JOSEPH HYLAK-REINHOLTZ: That's correct.

DAVID CARVALHO: This is Carvalho here. Because you discussed charity care a lot, it's probably hard to keep track, I should add to what Mr. Mark said, there are no state obligations for a surgical center to provide charity care.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Right.
DAVID CARVALHO: So although we collect the data and we present it for all the different types of facilities, it usually is kind of apples to oranges to look at what surgery centers are doing versus hospitals, because hospitals do have an obligation under EMTALA to see people, and many of them turn into charity care cases. So any charity care offered by an ASTC is above and beyond any obligation they have by law, so I agree with what Mr. Mark said, that a commitment to 4 or an
```

expectation of 4 is quite unusual in this context.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you. Anybody
else? Okay, may I have a motion to approve Project
13-007, Preferred SurgiCenter Orland, to establish a
multispecialty ambulatory surgery treatment center.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Motion.
DAVID PENN: Second.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by
Senator Demuzio, second by Mr. Penn. Mr. Bradley.
PHILIP BRADLEY: I opposed this the last
time. Since then they have obviously greatly
improved their proposal, and their presentation. I
think it's a very persuasive and important project
at this point. The only major nonconformance is
with the impact on other facilities, and I think
they trumped that with their argument about access
for any population, and I'm happy to vote yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you.
Dr. Burden. Absent. Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Justice Greiman.
JUSTICE ALAN GREIMAN: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Hayes.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
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```

    Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: No. In spite of all of
    the efforts, the application still doesn't meet our
    rules, and I think there's an alternative way to
    achieve the same end using the power of the advocacy
    and the large population of Arab and Muslim
    Americans. So I vote no.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Madam
    Chair Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I vote yes, but my
    yes vote is because of the charity care that you
    will be offering and the fact that you will have
    medical doctors who will also provide that charity
care at the facility. And we will kind of want to
monitor that, because I think that's unique, and we
appreciate your attempts to do that.
MR. NELSON AGBADO: That's six votes in
the positive, one in negative, two absent.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The motion passes,
congratulations.
MR. JOSEPH HYLAK-REINHOLTZ: Members of
the Board, I want to say thank you, and in Arabic
shukran so thank you, we appreciate your support.

```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, moving along, other business, there's none. The Rules Development. Claire? MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: All right. I'll try and make this brief. I'm going to be reviewing responses, proposed responses to public comment that were received for the latest rule making for part 1130, the Board's procedural rules.

Okay, there was a public hearing that was conducted, no commentators were in attendance. We received one letter of public comment from Illinois Hospital Association. The first comment was concerning Section 1130.990, and that's the Procedure for Public Hearing. It's proposed in our amendments that a public hearing for proposed rules would be held if we received a request for a hearing within a ten-day period following the initial publication of the rules. IHA requested that the timeframe be changed from 10 days 15 days, and since this really doesn't have any negative bearing on the rule making process, it's proposed that we make that change. So that's, that's one change.

The second section I think commented on was Section 1130.1080, Disqualification of Administrative Law Judge, or ALJ. The, the revised
act mandates that the ALJ be appointed by the Board Chair, and if there were a case where an ALJ was determined to be disqualified, it would also be the Board Chair that would appoint the replacement. However, IHA feels that places too much authority in one body, they would prefer the replacement of ALJ be appointed by the IDPH director, and this, this really doesn't follow suit, the existing language has the appointment of the initial ALJ to be held by the department director and/or replace the people by the department director. So one way of looking at it is that if it is the Board Chair that appoints the ALJ to begin with, that that replacement should also be appointed by the Board Chair. And this is very similar to how this is handled in other state agencies and boards and commissions, and these are, some of these are outlined in the document that was circulated. And that's all that there was. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Claire. Do we need a recommendation or -MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: A vote would be helpful. CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, so you're asking for a vote to accept the public hearing request in 15 days, to approve that, but to not
```

    approve the other suggestion of the --
    MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: Well, it could be
    just one motion to approve the proposed responses to
    public comment.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All right, thank you.
    May I have a motion to approve the proposed
responses to the written public comment?
RICHARD SEWELL: So moved.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
PHILIP BRADLEY: What's the proposed
response to the appointment issue?
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: It's the letter
that --
MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: We don't, we don't
agree.
DAVID CARVALHO: The letter, I think IHA
must have voted with the fact that the statute was
changed, and so you're changing your rules to
conform with the change in the statute. They liked
the way the rules were, but I think they missed the
fact that the statute changes.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Does that answer your
question, Mr. Bradley?
PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So may I have a

```
```

motion?

```
    PHILIP BRADLEY: So moved.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Oh, I'm sorry, we had
    a motion.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay, motion made by
    Mr. Bradley, seconded by Mr. Sewell. Mr. Bradley.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden. Absent.
    Senator Demuzio.
    SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
    Greiman. Okay, he's absent, right. Mr. Hayes.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you. Mr.
    Penn.
    DAVID PENN: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.
    RICHARD SEWELL: Yes.
    MR. NELSON AGBADO: Madam Chair Olson.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes. The motion
    passes. Thank you, Claire. Old business we have
        none.
    DAVID PENN: Wait, I have a question.
    Claire, we, for about a year we've been asking to
```

review this rule about getting the financing first
until it cover the goal, and also if we have staff,
it's vying for the same space to have, you know,
their services offered back to the community where
we can hear both applicants before we would vote on
the final provider. Where are we with those.
MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: We're still in the
development stages.
DAVID PENN: I was actually told by
someone at the last board meeting that at one time
this board had the ability to hear both applications
prior to vote, vote of the Board. So the
development go back to review what was done
previously? I'm just trying to bring some fairness
to the applicants and --
MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: Right, right, no, I
understand. I don't have a copy of rules that go
back that far, I would have to dig a little bit.
DAVID PENN: Well, with --
MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: In the archives.
DAVID PENN: I know I'm talking prior,
but as the applicants come forward with their
investment in these applications and time and so on
and so forth, it's who gets the ball down the hill
first may not always be, I don't know, may not be

```
```

the best applicant till we get a chance to hear

```
the best applicant till we get a chance to hear
    everybody's proposals. So I'd like to, you know,
    everybody's proposals. So I'd like to, you know,
    continue to research that and find out what we can
    continue to research that and find out what we can
    do as a board.
    do as a board.
    MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: All right, yes.
    MS. CLAIRE BURMAN: All right, yes.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Mr. Penn, we have
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Mr. Penn, we have
    them looking at it, and Claire and I think Mike have
    them looking at it, and Claire and I think Mike have
    gathered a lot of research on comparative review and
    gathered a lot of research on comparative review and
    looking at how to develop rules for it. But just
    looking at how to develop rules for it. But just
    have not found something that's suitable in
    have not found something that's suitable in
    accordance with our statute yet. So we'll have to
    accordance with our statute yet. So we'll have to
    look at what other states are doing, get feedback
    look at what other states are doing, get feedback
    from probably some of the CON consultants and
    from probably some of the CON consultants and
    applicants.
    applicants.
                            DAVID PENN: Well, if there was a rule,
                            DAVID PENN: Well, if there was a rule,
a process at one time.
a process at one time.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: I'm not sure, we'll
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: I'm not sure, we'll
have to look for it.
have to look for it.
    DAVID CARVALHO: No, there was. You're
    DAVID CARVALHO: No, there was. You're
    absolutely correct, there was. Some years back.
    absolutely correct, there was. Some years back.
    DAVID PENN: Well, you don't have to
    DAVID PENN: Well, you don't have to
    recreate the rule, just go back and revisit it.
    recreate the rule, just go back and revisit it.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: I just wasn't aware
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: I just wasn't aware
        that we had it and how many years ago that was and
        that we had it and how many years ago that was and
        be able to find it, but we'll look for it.
```

        be able to find it, but we'll look for it.
    ```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay, there's no old business to come before the meeting. New business. You were just handed the financial reports. If you have any questions, you can email the staff or executive director to have your questions answered. Alexis, do you have a legislative update.

MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: On Friday we're planning to meet with the Governor's office to discuss our legislative proposals. Once we get the feedback from the Governor's office, we will let you know our plans.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So that's your last day.

MS. ALEXIS KENDRICK: That's my last day. I'm working till the last day.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. We're passing out a blank form of the 2014 meeting places, you have the dates. Okay, so we need to vote on the dates and the city that it's going to be in.

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: This is a recommendation.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I have a recommends -- help me. The recommendation is the January meeting be in Bolingbrook, the March meeting be in Bloomington, the April meeting be in
```

    Bolingbrook, the June meeting be in Springfield --
    am I going too fast?
    DAVID PENN: No.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: The July meeting be
    in Chicago, the August meeting be in Bloomington,
    the October meeting be in either Rochelle or DeKalb,
    working on that one. And the November and December
    meetings in Bolingbrook.
    Can I have some discussion or feedback,
    or if we could -- because the staff needs to start
    securing the locations.
    DAVID PENN: Are we locked into this
    facility for Springfield.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: No. We're not.
    DAVID PENN: Okay. And just for the
    record, I'm -- we're talking about Normal, Illinois.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Oh, yes, you're
    right, it is Normal.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Normal, sorry.
    DAVID PENN: Because the city counsel
    people being here.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Sorry, guys. Sorry,
    Sonja.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Bloomington/Normal.
    DAVID PENN: Sonja says it's
    ```
```

    Bloomington/Normal.
    ```
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: That's all right, and
    she's right. Other feedback.
    DAVID PENN: When you say Chicago, we
    talking about downtown Chicago.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Yeah, I know a lot
    of members have expressed that they would like to be
    in the city at some point. I will do due diligence
    to try and find a place at a reasonable cost. One
    of the issues with the hotels is that there's
    usually a banquet charge where they want at least a
    minimum of 10 to \(\$ 15,000\) in food.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Well, let's eat.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: So I will continue
    looking and try and find a suitable facility.
    RICHARD SEWELL: That's US dollars.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: US dollars. So I
    will look and try to find a suitable facility that
    does not have the food banquet cost attached to it.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: John?
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: The only thing I
    wanted to mention was the, the date here, and if you
    could address that, is that on that next December
    it's going to be between Christmas and New Year's.
    That's something different than in the past, but I
was just wondering is that, could we address that?
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: That's actually a
    good point.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: We will try to look
at it in accordance with the statute of limitations,
but we can change it.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: It has to be a
minimum of 45 days?
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: We can do early
December.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: So then you've got a
meeting --
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Like that week of
the -- couple weeks before that.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: What if you moved the
November one to later and then made it a two-day
meeting.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: That would be two
long between meetings.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: How about in
December 7th, Tuesday, couple weeks before that that
Tuesday before Christmas.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Christmas is on --
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Which is on the
    16th, December the 16th. Bonnie, does that? That's
```

a month. George? Do you think that's reasonable?
MR. GEORGE ROATE: A month.
MS. COURTNEY AVERY: That's a month.
MR. GEORGE ROATE: Be close, but we
could do it.
DAVID PENN: What are the chances of
having the meeting in December in downtown Chicago,
as well.
MS. COURTNEY AVERY: It's really really
expensive, it almost doubles around that time.
MS. BONNIE HILLS: Yes, it does.
DAVID PENN: I'd like to have at least
two meetings in Chicago, at least two in Chicago.
Four in Normal. Can we get two in Chicago? I would
like that.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Well, why don't we
let her see if she can secure a location in Chicago
that we can afford.
MS. COURTNEY AVERY: And then I can look
at the April date.
PHILIP BRADLEY: Have we ever met at the
hospitals?
MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Pardon me?
PHILIP BRADLEY: Have we ever met at
hospitals?

```
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: No, our counsel,
    we -- no.
        PHILIP BRADLEY: Is that something that
    is not recommended?
    RICHARD SEWELL: I think it's awkward.
    DAVID CARVALHO: Because we have
    jurisdiction over hospitals, sometimes it's not the
    best place to meet.
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: So December the
    16th, 2014?
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And then we made the
    April meeting Bolingbrook/Chicago, see if we can
    find -- other feedback?
    Okay, so I'm going to ask for a motion
    to approve the 2014 meetings and locations -- or
    cities.
    PHILIP BRADLEY: Could you slowly give
    us the cities again.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Sure. January is
    Bolingbrook, March is Normal, April is either
    Bolingbrook or Chicago, June is Springfield, July is
    Chicago, August is Normal, October is Rochelle or
    DeKalb if we can find a place. November is
    Bolingbrook, and December 16th is Bolingbrook. So
    I'm looking for a motion.
```

        VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: So moved.
        RICHARD SEWELL: Second.
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Do we want to voice
    on this? All those in favor signify by -- yes,
    Dave?
        DAVID CARVALHO: Are you also moving the
    meetings times to 9?
        CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes, I am requesting
    that we move, unless somebody has like some really
    horrible objection to that, but the feedback has
    been pretty positive on moving the meeting times to
    9. Okay. All those in favor?
    (All in favor voted in the affirmative.)
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Opposed? Voice.
        (None opposed.)
        The motion passes, and we have adopted
        the 2014 board meetings.
        Long-term Care Advisory Subcommittee,
        Courtney.
            MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Okay, as you may be
        aware, the subcommittee, there was a Public Act that
        was passed, Public Act 97-0145 that a long-term
        subcommittee shall evaluate and make recommendations
        to the state board regarding the buying, selling and
        exchange of beds between long-term care facilities,
    ```
which is within specified geographical or areas of
drive time, and it was effective August 21st of
    2013. The Long-Term Care Subcommittee has
    interviewed a group from UIC to respond to a, our
plea that was put out, so \(I\) wanted the permission
    from the Board to go forth with establishing that
REP.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Could you say that
    again?
    MS. COURTNEY AVERY: There was an RFP
    that was put out to help the Long-Term Care
Subcommittee with the evaluation of its bed sell and
exchange program. I can't remember which meeting I
advised you of we had sent out an RFP to all the
    state universities, and UIC was the only one that
approved it -- or I mean responded it. So I wanted
to get permission from you all not to sign a
    contract, but to start the negotiations on an RFP
going through the Department of Public Health CMS to
meet the guidelines and bring back a final one here
hopefully to the December meeting. I'll try for the
November meeting, but \(I\) don't think it will happen
for November.
    VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Now is the
Long-term Care Committee a permanent committee now.

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Yes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Okay, and it was
```

    part of the Act, is that --
    ```

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Mm-hmm. It was one of the recommendations from the task force and put into I think Senate Bill 1905.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Does any, any board members, are they members of that on a regular basis?

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Dr. Burden was the
liaison from the Board to the Long-term Care

Subcommittee, and Chairwoman Olson is probably going to reappoint that.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Okay.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I want to talk to Dr. Burden and then assess his interest in continuing in that appointment.

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Are you interested?
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: I don't know. I'm just wondering about, you know, I just was wondering where this stood, you know.

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Yeah.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: And, you know, basically who is the chairman of that right now?

MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Mr. Michael Waxman
```

from Lake County. Until recently he was the
chairman of the Lake County Health Department.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Okay.
MS. COURTNEY AVERY: And he was
appointed the chairperson by past Chairman Galassie.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Okay. And do
they, do they still have meetings, regular meetings?
MS. COURTNEY AVERY: Yeah, our next
meeting is in January.
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Oh, I see.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: I met with Michael
last week, and he did invite anybody from this board
who would like to attend their meetings, to attend,
and he did express that they would like a board
member to be actively involved, so that's what we're
looking for.
Other questions? Okay, may I have a
motion to approve the staff to move forth with the
Request For Proposal with the University of Illinois
in Chicago? Understanding that nothing will be
approved as far as a contract without coming back
before the Board. May I have a motion?
VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: So moved.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: And a second?
SENATOR DEMUZIO: Second.

```

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Motion made by

Mr. Hayes, seconded by Senator Demuzio.

DAVID PENN: Read that motion again.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: A motion to approve
the staff to move forward with the Request For

Proposal with the University of Illinois at Chicago, understanding that before a contract is signed, the contract would come back to this board for approval.

Do we need a voice vote or a roll call?

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All those in favor --

RICHARD SEWELL: Madam Chairwoman, I
just want the record to show that I'm abstaining on this, Dr. Sassel and me are faculty colleagues at UIC.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Okay. Roll call
vote, please.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Bradley.

PHILIP BRADLEY: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Dr. Burden. Absent.

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Judge Greiman.

Absent. Mr. Hayes.

VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Penn.

DAVID PENN: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Mr. Sewell.

RICHARD SEWELL: Abstain.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Abstain, okay.
```

Madam Chair Olson.

```

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Yes.

MR. NELSON AGBADO: Thank you, that's
five votes in the positive.
CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Motion passes. Okay,
next on the agenda may I have a motion to approve the 2012 facilities profiles.

DAVID PENN: So moved.

RICHARD SEWELL: Seconded.

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All those in favor say eye.
(All in favor voted in the affirmative.)

CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Opposed, like sign.
(None opposed.)

The motion passes. Okay, so our next
meeting will be November 5 th in Bolingbrook at 9
    a.m. for a start time. May \(I\) have a motion to
    adjourn. Oh, wait wait. Hold on.
    DAVID CARVALHO: One quick thing.
Because you did it so fast. I should say Nelson did
a fabulous job on the profile this year, I mean just
phenomenal, and we want to acknowledge that.
    CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: Thank you, Nelson.
    And along that same line, I would like to thank
    George for all the hard work that he's put in, and
    we do want to send well wishes to Mike Constantino,
    because, for the shoulder he's recovering, but
    again, Michael, and again to Alexis, best wishes.
    Don't be a stranger. May I have a motion to
    adjourn?
                RICHARD SEWELL: So moved.
                VICE-CHAIRMAN HAYES: Second.
                CHAIRWOMAN OLSON: All those in favor.
        (All in favor voted in the affirmative.)
            (Off the record at 4:01 p.m.) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
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\hline 56:12 61:3,13 & MO 3:2,4 & 214:3 & 166:12 172:8 & MSA 124:7 \\
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\hline mind 7:3 59:4 & 66:23 89:11,13 & 25:22 29:12 & 222:10 & 183:19 186:14 \\
\hline 170:14,23 & 89:14,15 & 31:12 32:17 & motions 36:25 & 186:23 187:8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 187:11,16,23 & names 7:18 83:23 & 59:8,9,22,23 & 193:6,14,22 & 81:1,7,16,19,24 \\
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\hline representative & requirements & respects 194:5 & 171:1 180:13 & 222:12 \\
\hline 25:24 26:12,13 & 56:1 97:22 & respite 126:20 & 182:2 208:1,13 & rid 75:4 159:10 \\
\hline 26:14,14 29:8 & 124:7 131:18 & respond 14:6 & 209:8 & right 4:9 6:17 \\
\hline 193:12 & 145:5 169:6 & 127:20 131:10 & reviewed 20:17 & 34:13 44:10 \\
\hline representatives & 170:14 & 217:4 & 37:2,4 39:3 & 51:23 60:4 61:9 \\
\hline 24:24 43:5,7 & requires 6:22 & responded & 62:9 & 64:20,23 65:11 \\
\hline represented & requiring 98:14 & 184:14 217:16 & reviewing 38:25 & 66:17 77:17 \\
\hline 98:24 & rescind 8:24 & response 37:4,6 & 204:5 & 78:3 83:21 \\
\hline represents 84:13 & research 141:17 & 39:3,4,18 72:16 & revised 181:16 & 85:24 94:23 \\
\hline 149:5 & 209:3,8 & 84:20 178:24 & 183:14 185:2 & 102:22 111:25 \\
\hline Republican & residency 98:13 & 196:9,23 197:5 & 204:25 & 112:21 115:6 \\
\hline 192:25 & 127:23 & 206:11 & revisit 209:22 & 116:11 117:25 \\
\hline reputation & resident 54:7 & responses 204:6 & Rex 157:12,12 & 136:24 150:11 \\
\hline 130:19 136:14 & 78:12 99:1,1 & 204:6 206:3,7 & 158:9,20 159:1 & 159:24 162:12 \\
\hline request 16:16 & 107:20 & responsibility & 159:16 162:1,5 & 176:10 201:1 \\
\hline 22:11 43:25 & residential & 28:12 & 162:10 & 201:15 204:4 \\
\hline 44:19 48:25 & 104:23 174:12 & responsible & Rexall 161:15 & 206:5 207:13 \\
\hline 51:15,17 53:19 & residents 17:3 & 150:3 & RFP 217:7,10,14 & 208:16,16 \\
\hline 81:11 92:1,2,3 & 23:18 24:23 & responsive & 217:18 & 209:5 211:18 \\
\hline 101:23 113:4 & 25:8,12,19 27:6 & 186:22 188:7 & rich 159:13,13 & 212:2,3 218:24 \\
\hline 183:9 204:16 & 54:2,4,6,17 & 190:16 198:1 & Richard 2:4 6:1 & rightfully 113:2 \\
\hline 205:25 219:19 & 57:5 75:6 98:10 & responsiveness & 7:15 9:1,2 & rights 6:25 \\
\hline 220:5 & 98:12,16,25 & 186:16 & 10:11 35:1 & righty 165:7 \\
\hline requested 15:20 & 99:2 103:23 & rest 55:19 127:19 & 36:20 38:17 & ripe \(33: 5\) \\
\hline 16:20 67:20 & 105:1,3,4,6,9 & 154:22 & 40:10,18 42:4 & risk 169:18 197:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ritual 190:3 & Ronald 161:12 & 206:18,20 & Sassel 220:13 & 94:21 99:10,15 \\
\hline River 26:20 & room 30:23 & 208:17 209:9 & satisfy 10:3 & 99:18 108:13 \\
\hline road 13:3 171:4 & 60:16 73:12,20 & ruling 14:3 91:24 & save 84:24 & 110:2 118:12 \\
\hline 198:2 & 131:12,23,24 & run 61:24 74:11 & 131:11,23 & 122:1,2 133:5 \\
\hline Roate 2:14 5:23 & 131:25 133:15 & 76:15,16 & savings 8:12 & 134:2,18 \\
\hline 42:19 43:18 & 133:16,16 & Runge 45:24,24 & saw 111:5 & 144:13 150:23 \\
\hline 46:4 48:16 & 134:9,11,15,18 & 46:17 & saying 13:25 & 150:25 156:7 \\
\hline 52:23 62:2,5 & 134:25 153:21 & running 61:23 & 42:12 65:5 71:8 & 156:11 162:21 \\
\hline 84:5 88:18,23 & 169:19 & Rustom 178:9,9 & 73:7 74:4 75:9 & 162:23 165:6 \\
\hline 89:9 91:22 93:7 & rooms 63:21 64:2 & 178:10 179:20 & 113:10,25 & 165:14,18 \\
\hline 96:22 101:7,19 & 64:4 68:6 72:25 & 179:22 181:19 & 114:1 115:17 & 172:15 177:1,3 \\
\hline 102:12,19,23 & 73:1,13,15 & 182:13 & 176:7 & 202:7,9 204:23 \\
\hline 103:8 107:14 & 74:18,19 & R-A-H-M-A-N & says 106:21 & 206:9 207:5 \\
\hline 116:13,21 & 125:21 131:7 & 31:13 & 108:5 117:23 & 216:2 219:24 \\
\hline 120:25 121:12 & 131:15 134:5,7 & R-A-N-A-L-L-I & 211:25 & 219:25 222:13 \\
\hline 123:20 146:17 & 134:7,13,16,20 & 146:9 & scale 58:19 & seconded 37:15 \\
\hline 151:21 157:20 & 136:9 143:20 & R-A-N-D & 142:12 & 39:13 40:21 \\
\hline 164:15 167:9 & 153:23 179:4 & 100:24 & scaling 86:20 & 41:14 79:24 \\
\hline 173:25 174:25 & root 191:17 & R-E-E-S-E 146 & Scarborough & 94:17,20 \\
\hline 175:11 178:17 & rooted 18:10 & R-E-I-N-H-O-. & 20:22 147:9 & 118:14 122:4 \\
\hline 214:2,4 & 34:8 & 178:7 & schedule 20:7 & 144:16 156:9 \\
\hline Rob 123:14,14 & roots 195:7 & R-O-B-Y & 84:25 90:11 & 172:17 207:7 \\
\hline Robert 48:8,8 & Rosenzweig & 178:13 & 104:5,9 114:15 & 220:2 221:14 \\
\hline 49:4,5 & 22:19,21,23,24 & R-O-C-K 17:3 & scheduled 104:3 & Secondly 109:15 \\
\hline Robin 26:15 & routinely \(21: 1\) & R-O-S-E-N-Z-... & 106:1 114:1,9 & 128:20 \\
\hline robust 190:9,17 & rule 57:12 60:1,3 & 22:24 & 164:24 & seconds 10:10 \\
\hline Robyn 178:13,13 & 60:11 67:16 & R-U-N-G-E & scheduling 114:2 & 11:22 13:19 \\
\hline 185:17 191:6,7 & 105:22 185:25 & 45:24 & School 127:21 & 34:5 \\
\hline Rochelle 211:6 & 186:5 204:7,21 & R-U-S-T-O-M & 194:19 & section 6:20 53:6 \\
\hline 215:22 & 208:1 209:15 & 178:10 & Schullo 100:23 & 121:7,7 152:17 \\
\hline Rock 15:4 17:1,3 & 209:22 & R.N 79:3 176:5 & 100:23 103:2,3 & 158:3,3 159:10 \\
\hline 17:14 88:25 & rules 2:22 6:24 & S & 106:16 112:14 & 204:13,23,24 \\
\hline 90:18 98:24 & 8:13 12:17 14:7 & S & scope 133:4 & sections 159:11 \\
\hline Roger 45:25,25 & 60:18,18,20 & S & scratch 9:9 & 159:12,14,14 \\
\hline role 23:23 27:14 & 65:3 67:4 68:20 & safe 15:23 82:15 & screenings 27:17 & secure \(214: 17\) \\
\hline 28:16 & 70:4,21 71:11 & 130:14 131:3 & second 35:1,3,4 & secured 92:3 \\
\hline roll 4:24 35:25 & 72:18 80:10 & safest 132: & 35:24 36:1,3 & securing 16:6 \\
\hline 36:4 37:16 & 104:15 105:25 & safety 9:23 25:18 & 37:13 39:10,11 & 55:5 182:13 \\
\hline 39:14 44:25 & 109:11 111:22 & 125:25 152:10 & 40:19 41:6,12 & 211:11 \\
\hline 47:6 50:21 & 111:23,23 & 168:11 169:13 & 42:10,11,23 & see 22:11 \(28: 21\) \\
\hline 79:25 87:17 & 113:1,13 & 170:11,14 & 44:22,24 46:12 & 55:12 68:19 \\
\hline 90:25 94:25 & 114:22 116:10 & sake 182:3 & 47:3,5 50:18,20 & 72:6 74:19 \\
\hline 122:5 144:14 & 116:19 117:14 & SAMS 194:15 & 52:3 58:17 63:9 & 83:10 84:15 \\
\hline 156:10 165:19 & 117:14,16,18 & Sandoval 26:11 & 79:21,22 87:14 & 94:22 104:18 \\
\hline 177:4 220:9,15 & 203:6 204:2,8 & SAR 107:2 & 87:16 89:20 & 106:8 111:14 \\
\hline Ron 26:18 & 204:15,18 & Sara 26:12 42:24 & 90:7,22,24 94:8 & 116:15 118:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 119:6 149:3 & 87:23,24 90:21 & series 34:12 & 186:15,21 & 116:18 119:21 \\
\hline 152:11 153:7 & 90:24 91:5,6 & 147:11 & 187:3 188:18 & 119:22 120:3 \\
\hline 159:4,11,13 & 95:11,12 99:7 & serious 184:7 & 189:2,3,14,22 & 121:21 122:4 \\
\hline 160:10,23 & 99:18,25 100:2 & seriously 180:16 & 192:13,16 & 122:18,19 \\
\hline 198:19 201:21 & 118:21,22 & Serpico 26:19 & 193:10,16 & 144:13,16 \\
\hline 214:17 215:12 & 122:10,11 & serve 23:14 27:23 & 194:4,12 & 145:3,4 150:22 \\
\hline 219:10 & 144:20,21 & 28:3 147:17 & 195:15 196:18 & 150:25 151:12 \\
\hline seeing 20:8 44:18 & 151:4,5 156:6,9 & 180:3,19 181:1 & 208:4 & 151:13 156:24 \\
\hline 46:25 50:14 & 156:16,17 & 181:22 191:2 & serving 25:1 & 156:25 160:1,2 \\
\hline 90:16 111:11 & 163:6,7 164:1 & 195:21 196:2 & 127:25 184:11 & 163:15,16 \\
\hline 145:16 197:20 & 165:24,25 & served 78:12 & 194:17 & 165:6,18 166:7 \\
\hline seek 22:6 105:10 & 172:20,21 & 126:2 192:24 & session 1:12 & 166:8 173:3,4 \\
\hline 121:1 154:2 & 177:8,9 192:25 & serves 82:11 & 34:19,21,24 & 177:16,17 \\
\hline 188:13,16,17 & 193:1,6,8 & service 6:13 & 35:8,9,11,14,17 & 184:22 196:8 \\
\hline seeking 97:18 & 198:24,25 & 21:10 27:14 & set 8:13 76:1 & 198:6 203:3,4 \\
\hline 148:1 152:1 & 199:22 202:6,9 & 29:17 75:20 & 101:20 107:17 & 206:8 207:5,7 \\
\hline 168:8 & 202:19,20 & 98:22 101:13 & 107:21 148:9 & 207:18,19 \\
\hline seeks 18:16 & 207:10,11 & 107:5 121:3,25 & 198:4 & 212:16 215:5 \\
\hline 103:19 154:18 & 219:25 220:2 & 124:9 133:6,7,9 & sets 71:16 & 216:2 220:11 \\
\hline seen 15:25 20:13 & 220:21,22 & 146:19 150:21 & setters 197:23 & 221:3,4,14 \\
\hline 28:14,17 61:18 & send 222:7 & 158:10 159:22 & setting 154:19 & 222:12 \\
\hline 95:5 136:3 & senior 96:23 & 162:19 180:21 & set-up 76:18 & shape 141:16 \\
\hline 143:10 185:15 & 103:13 124:14 & 182:18 186:11 & 169:23 & share 30:17 \\
\hline 197:8 & seniors 104:25 & 190:6 197:10 & seven 61:5,6 & 125:23 \\
\hline segments 199:21 & sense 68:3 80:9 & 199:3 & 109:15 115:21 & shareholder 95:7 \\
\hline segregating & 109:19,21 & services 1:1,13 & 116:4 141:7 & Sharia 182:5 \\
\hline 197:1 & 129:15,21 & 3:3 8:11 9:23 & 173:7 177:20 & sharing 29:20 \\
\hline selected 110:17 & 152:14 & 11:14 21:9,17 & 184:16 185:1 & sheet 137:18 \\
\hline 170:22 & sensible 81:12 & 22:6 23:17,22 & sewage 169:21 & Sheets 43:11,11 \\
\hline sell 217:12 & sensitive 30:16 & 23:25 24:3,5 & Sewell 2:4 5:25 & 44:4,5 167:4,4 \\
\hline selling 216:24 & 187:2 189:11 & 25:9,13 27:6 & 6:1 35:1 36:19 & Sheila 15:4 17:1 \\
\hline semiprivate & 192:12 195:15 & 28:22 29:4 & 36:20 38:16,17 & 17:2 98:24 \\
\hline 125:20 & 196:18 198:10 & 32:10 41:8 & 40:9,10,18,21 & Shelley 96:14,14 \\
\hline Senate 26:10 & sensitivity & 54:21 74:16 & 42:3,4 44:21,24 & shelter 103:16,20 \\
\hline 218:6 & 198:14 & 75:21 103:4 & 45:14,15 47:20 & sheltered 104:17 \\
\hline senator 5:16,17 & sent 12:14 16:17 & 121:5 125:1 & 47:21 50:18,20 & 104:19,20,21 \\
\hline 26:10,11,11,12 & 82:15 88:19 & 126:5 134:4 & 51:9,10 58:5,6 & 105:1,9,18 \\
\hline 34:25 35:23 & 217:14 & 135:8 142:16 & 58:23 64:12,15 & 106:10,12,14 \\
\hline 36:3,10,11 & sentence 138:9 & 147:2 148:16 & 71:4,13 72:5,14 & 106:17,19 \\
\hline 37:25 38:2 & separate 145:24 & 149:11,15,22 & 72:19 77:9 & 107:7 108:1,11 \\
\hline 39:23,25 41:11 & September 1:10 & 149:25 152:1 & 81:25 82:1 & 115:1,6,14,23 \\
\hline 41:14,20,21 & 1:14 16:2 23:10 & 153:15 154:4 & 87:14,16 88:6,7 & 116:2,8,10,14 \\
\hline 42:9 45:6,7 & 42:22 43:18 & 160:15 161:24 & 91:13,14 94:8 & 116:22,23 \\
\hline 47:12,13 51:2,3 & 46:12,13 56:9 & 168:17 173:13 & 94:18,20 95:19 & 119:24 \\
\hline 52:2 77:22,23 & 101:21 102:8,9 & 176:22 182:8 & 95:20 100:10 & Shepherd 11:18 \\
\hline 81:1,3 87:13,16 & 102:9 104:7 & 182:17 186:10 & 100:11 116:5,6 & 12:11 15:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Shepley 15:4,11 & 182:4 183:21 & sizable 106:11 & 211:25 & 70:25 130:1 \\
\hline 15:12 16:25 & 188:25 191:13 & size 11:11 99:23 & Sonya 7:16 10:18 & 137:9 185:10 \\
\hline Sherman 12:10 & significantly \(11: 8\) & 169:3 170:17 & soon 20:9 & speakers 15:4 \\
\hline Shield 6:12 & 109:7 135:1 & sized 183:11 & sophistication & 19:21 22:19 \\
\hline Shiloh 20:2,6 & 182:1 & skeptical 26:25 & 128:22 & 27:20 29:9 \\
\hline 21:18 & signify 42:12 & skilled 17:5 53:2 & sorry 48:1,15 & speaking 15:8,16 \\
\hline short 34:21 73:25 & 216:4 & 76:25 97:15,20 & 70:13 83:22 & 17:23 31:11 \\
\hline 95:7 & Silberman 17:21 & 97:21 98:6,19 & 88:22 89:18 & 185:23 \\
\hline shortage 127:18 & 32:17 34:6,18 & 103:11,16,20 & 94:25 102:12 & special 31:24 \\
\hline shortcomings & Silverman 15:5 & 105:2,3,3,8,22 & 107:24 114:5 & 32:10 51:17 \\
\hline 62:10 & 17:22 29:11 & 115:2,16,17 & 139:1 150:8 & 119:23 180:6 \\
\hline short-term 54:16 & 32:19 & 116:2,12 117:6 & 152:4,5 156:11 & specialist 182:14 \\
\hline shot 62:24 109:5 & similar 11:24 & 117:7,8 160:18 & 172:13 207:3 & specialized \\
\hline shoulder 222:8 & 104:22 198:16 & skills 160:17 & 211:19,22,22 & 134:22 \\
\hline show 9:13 12:24 & 205:15 & Skinner 48:11,11 & sort 86:25 197:1 & specialties 179:1 \\
\hline 24:7 55:12 & simple 63:16 & 49:8 92:25,25 & sought 101:23 & 182:7 \\
\hline 119:8 185:12 & simply 16:18 & slash 46:9 & source 54:8,22 & specialty 130:9 \\
\hline 195:11,12 & 112:24 125:12 & sleeping 169:19 & 106:9,19 108:4 & specific 7:7 \\
\hline 220:12 & 125:22 128:9 & sleepless 87:5 & sources 54:2 & 134:21 180:22 \\
\hline showed 104:11 & 138:19 143:20 & slight 111:2 & 200:25 & 193:14 \\
\hline 109:9,11 & 175:5 188:3 & slightly 134:2 & sourcing 54:10 & specifically \(14: 18\) \\
\hline shower 125:22 & simultaneous & slowly 215:17 & south 32:1 & 32:4,14 86:6 \\
\hline showers 125:23 & 61:23 & small 130:7 & Southern 151:25 & 141:23 170:23 \\
\hline showing 161:5 & sincere 9:7 & 185:5 & 152:25 153:10 & 185:25 192:1 \\
\hline shown 80:14 & Sincerely 25:20 & smaller 105:20 & 153:12 154:10 & 193:3 \\
\hline 105:12 127:2 & single 38:7 & 182:23 183:11 & 154:11 155:13 & specifics 41:5 \\
\hline shows 11:3 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i r }}\) 116:22 137:4 & smallest 135:25 & southwest 193:4 & 196:16 \\
\hline 104:12 110:14 & 150:8 176:8 & Smith 96:14,14 & 194:23 196:21 & specified 217:1 \\
\hline 118:16 & Sisters 147:2 & snapshot 78:8 & 197:25 & spell 15:6 43:9 \\
\hline shukran 203:25 & 149:8 152:1 & Social 194:1 & southwestern & 45:22 52:12 \\
\hline shut 31:21 & 155:9 & Society 194:15 & 155:23 & 92:19 96:8 \\
\hline shy 98:1 & sit 136:12 & 195:2 & space 49:18 & 100:21 120:17 \\
\hline sick 126:21 128:5 & site 20:7,9 21:18 & soft 78:12 & 55:19 60:7 & 123:7 145:25 \\
\hline side 20:4 69:11 & 123:25 152:18 & Soher 191:20 & 68:20 89:14,15 & 157:11 164:10 \\
\hline 85:14 86:9,12 & 157:24 169:16 & soil 152:18 & 97:20,20,21,24 & 173:15 178:4 \\
\hline 90:19 111:11 & sites 196:25 & sole 14:21 & 98:4 123:24 & spelled \(22: 25\) \\
\hline 115:13 170:6 & situation 8:7,25 & solutions 141:16 & 131:18,23 & spend 5:8,13 \\
\hline Sidley 49:9 & 14:5 74:21 & somebody 71:2 & 190:1 208:3 & 78:17 \\
\hline sign 31:1 40:25 & 75:15 110:4 & 108:20 150:2 & spaces 131:9 & spending 76:13 \\
\hline 42:14 52:8 & 115:6 119:23 & 216:9 & span 95:7 & spent 5:20 55:18 \\
\hline 217:17 221:18 & 140:15 & someplace 119:4 & Spanish 27:20 & 68:21 74:25 \\
\hline signed 220:7 & six 44:8,10 57:4 & somewhat 33:4 & spatial 97:8 & 98:2 142:21 \\
\hline significant 9:25 & 85:6 107:25 & 59:16 81:9 & speak 7:11 9:4 & spite 145:4 203:4 \\
\hline 97:23 118:3 & 108:1 178:25 & 175:7 & 15:14 19:21 & spoke 147:7,8 \\
\hline 125:4 134:17 & 190:2 203:19 & Sonja 10:17 & 22:2 24:14 & 180:20 \\
\hline 140:4 141:14 & six-county 11:9 & 11:23 211:23 & 66:15 69:8 & sponsor 193:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sporn 194:18,20 & 152:6,7,13 & 217:18 221:23 & 132:8 181:19 & Steve 26:12 \\
\hline spread 31:17 & 153:5 167:15 & started 197:12 & 182:15,21 & 53:15 173:21 \\
\hline 197:23 & 167:19 168:6 & starters 197:7 & 183:7 190:7 & 173:21 \\
\hline spring 90:9 & 170:4 173:25 & starting 4:18,19 & 193:9 & sticking 183:10 \\
\hline 162:11 & 178:21 179:12 & 125:10 197:24 & statement 94:2 & stirring 166:16 \\
\hline Springfield 1:2 & 181:13 184:17 & state 1:12,14 4:7 & 117:23 121:15 & stood 218:21 \\
\hline 1:16 4:6 5:6 & 188:2 189:17 & 9:610:14 20:18 & 158:8,9 175:13 & stop 198:12 \\
\hline 26:6 35:20 & 189:20,22,25 & 23:4 24:7 25:24 & 200:8 & stopping 198:20 \\
\hline 155:9 195:10 & 191:11 192:9 & 25:25 26:8 43:8 & statements 71:9 & Store 161:15 \\
\hline 211:1,13 & 208:2 210:4 & 43:19 46:7 48:5 & states 107:3 & stories 78:10 \\
\hline 215:21 & 211:10 219:18 & 48:24 52:11 & 164:23 186:1 & story 78:9 161:3 \\
\hline square 97:9 & 220:5 & 53:17 54:25 & 187:13 209:12 & 163:8 169:22 \\
\hline 169:7,8 179:8,9 & staffed 160:17 & 56:22,22 57:11 & state's 55:4 133:1 & straight 189:13 \\
\hline 179:10 196:11 & staffing 79:2,10 & 71:11,13,15,20 & 134:3 & stranger 222:10 \\
\hline St 3:4 19:21 20:5 & 81:5 130:17 & 72:174:12 & stating 55:24 & Street 1:1,15 3:4 \\
\hline 20:11,14,24 & staffs 130:18 & 83:17,23 84:6 & station 133:20,25 & strength 28:18 \\
\hline 21:11,13,15,20 & staff's 185:24 & 89:1,10 92:18 & 169:8 & strengthen 29:4 \\
\hline 22:2,13 23:1,20 & stage 12:13 89:16 & 96:8 97:7 98:8 & stations 133:12 & strengths 130:23 \\
\hline 24:10,15,17,18 & stages 208:8 & 100:21 101:22 & 133:12,14,17 & strive 128:17 \\
\hline 24:20,24,25 & stand 6:6 30:13 & 103:9,25 108:7 & 134:1 168:15 & stroke 130:12 \\
\hline 25:14 120:12 & standard 97:8 & 113:2 114:10 & 168:18 169:7 & strong 27:2 144:4 \\
\hline 121:23 145:19 & 98:8 133:5,13 & 116:16 118:15 & 169:12 & strongly 26:7 \\
\hline 146:3,7,9 & 133:19 134:6 & 118:19,23 & statistical 132:13 & structure 140:8,9 \\
\hline 147:18,21 & 134:24 135:17 & 119:9 120:17 & statistics 81:14 & struggled 14:1 \\
\hline 148:21,24 & 145:5 160:5 & 127:2 132:7,10 & 119:9 & students 130:17 \\
\hline 150:16,19 & standards 55:10 & 133:7,8,13,19 & stats 199:5 & studies 141:6 \\
\hline 155:1,7 162:1 & 55:13 125:20 & 134:5,24 & status 15:16 & study 135:3,12 \\
\hline stabilization & 132:11 133:10 & 135:16,23 & statute 23:4 61:2 & 188:10 \\
\hline 54:14 & 168:12,14 & 136:2 138:10 & 61:14 109:23 & stuff 161:11 \\
\hline stabilized 68:10 & 169:9,14,15,24 & 138:10 150:4 & 206:17,19,21 & style 196:22 \\
\hline stable 127:10 & 170:2,16 & 153:17 157:10 & 209:11 213:5 & subcommittee \\
\hline 143:9 176:4 & standpoint 70:1 & 164:9 166:25 & stay 28:19 60:20 & 216:18,21,23 \\
\hline staff 2:10,13,19 & 125:25 & 168:7 173:15 & 128:6 143:12 & 217:3,12 \\
\hline 8:17 18:5,14 & stands 22:13 & 174:2 179:21 & stayed 61:3 & 218:12 \\
\hline 20:18 30:15 & 67:17 77:21 & 181:13 182:25 & steady 157:25 & subject 38:8 \\
\hline 33:24 43:21,23 & star 53:7 58:1 & 183:7,8,25 & steam 141:13 & 70:11,17 \\
\hline 46:5 50:7,7 & 63:3 78:2,5 & 184:17 187:19 & steel 20:9 & submission 98:18 \\
\hline 53:4,17 56:22 & 79:12 80:21 & 188:12 192:24 & stellar 130:19 & 187:16 \\
\hline 60:13 89:22 & 97:5 100:9 & 192:25 193:6 & step 21:20 75:4 & submit 82:21 \\
\hline 91:23 97:4 & 103:18 & 193:12,16 & 143:3 149:5 & 108:8 120:9 \\
\hline 101:14 113:2 & stark 11:1 & 200:5 201:13 & 153:11 & 124:3 178:23 \\
\hline 116:7 121:5,9 & stars 78:20 & 205:15 216:24 & Stephen 52:19,20 & submitted 18:7 \\
\hline 124:2,5 131:15 & start 4:3,18,20 & 217:15 223:4 & stepping 136:5 & 88:20 107:25 \\
\hline 132:9 133:3 & 9:8 29:19 44:13 & 223:23 & steps 19:7,11 & 193:8,24 \\
\hline 134:12 138:10 & 74:23 77:20 & stated 14:18 & Sterling 22:20 & suboptimal \\
\hline 146:21 147:23 & 117:15 211:10 & 38:20 120:3 & 24:12,13 & 169:16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline subsection 186:6 & 27:2 29:1,18 & 192:12 200:21 & 48:13 52:21 & 152:8,12 \\
\hline 186:13 & 32:12,23 48:23 & surgery 31:19 & 83:18,23 84:3 & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t a g }} \mathbf{6 3 : 1 7}\) \\
\hline subsequent 33:3 & 57:13 89:14,23 & 72:9 130:10 & 89:7 92:19 93:5 & take 4:13 13:11 \\
\hline 113:14 178:2 & 97:6 98:25 & 164:7,16,19,20 & 96:20 101:5 & 19:11,16 31:18 \\
\hline subsidiaries & 106:2 121:10 & 165:9,11 179:2 & 120:23 123:19 & 31:18 32:5 34:3 \\
\hline 139:19 & 124:19 126:22 & 180:1,2,9 & 146:15 151:19 & 55:25 64:25 \\
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\hline Waxman 75:11 & 94:13,14,24,24 & 62:22 68:1 & 32:5,13 193:9 & 62:19 80:7,8 \\
\hline 218:25 & 170:6 & 69:11 75:6 80:4 & women's 32:6 & 110:4 113:24 \\
\hline way 14:648:1 & we'll 4:13,14,19 & 80:24 85:24 & 140:12,18,20 & wrote 146:25 \\
\hline 56:5 67:10 & 7:15 37:5 63:20 & 86:4,20 98:15 & 140:23 141:20 & 193:17 \\
\hline 70:23 81:12 & 63:21 90:11 & 108:13,18,25 & 193:7 & W-E-I-S-S 52:14 \\
\hline 110:3,5 124:22 & 92:15,16 142:5 & 109:10,18 & wonderful 32:13 & 52:16 \\
\hline 129:20 140:18 & 145:15 154:9 & 110:13 112:19 & wondering 63:5 & W-I-L-L-I-A-.. \\
\hline 142:1 159:4 & 170:19 175:15 & 124:25 125:3 & 140:1 199:23 & 173:20 \\
\hline 169:23 203:6 & 199:18 209:11 & 126:1 127:17 & 213:1 218:20 & W-I-L-L-S-H-... \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 89:4 & 209:20,24 & \$25.3 43:23 & 10-067 42:21 & 199:10 \\
\hline & Year's 212:24 & \$3.8 178:21 & 10-090 9:6 37:3 & 12-bed 156:4 \\
\hline X & Yep 48:10,10 & 179:6 & 10:00 4:3,4,19 & 12-month 42:22 \\
\hline X 119:10,11 & 49:7 & \$30.2 152:4 & 10:44 35:11 & 46:11 102:4 \\
\hline Y & young 119:6 & \$4 167:15 & 100 77:15 112:9 & 12-022 88:16 \\
\hline Y & younger 161:18 & \$4.3 95:6 & 129:16 132:14 & 12-033 174:18 \\
\hline \[
\text { yea } 62: 20
\] & Youth 195:1 & \$400 142:20 & 132:15 142:3 & 12:22 82:24 83:3 \\
\hline Yeah 64:7 70:16 & Y-E-P 48:10 & \$420,000 50:3 & 158:22,23 & 12:30 4:11 \\
\hline 77:13 107:19 & Y-E-P 48.10 & \$5.5 179:6 & 160:20 174:10 & \(120191: 16\) \\
\hline 107:22 108:5 & Z & \$50,000 78:17 & 185:13,15 & 1212 35:21 \\
\hline 137:11 139:6 & Zalewski 26:14 & \$756:11 & 100-bed 175:5 & 13 55:1 132:9 \\
\hline 143:2 160:2 & 193:13 & \$7.1 68:21 & 1000 9:3 & 13-007 31:11 \\
\hline 175:19 196:8 & zero 95:24 & \$777,954 89:22 & 101 1:15 57:6 & 177:25 202:4 \\
\hline 212:6 218:22 & 100:15 109:21 & \$8.2 50:1 & 102 98:20 & 13-01 17:16 \\
\hline \(219: 8\)
year 57.468 .13 & 112:8,13 & \$9.756:9 & 107 54:12 & 13-013 172:9 \\
\hline year 57:4 68:13 & 122:23 145:14 & & 11 108:18 & 13-019 96:5 \\
\hline 69:15 85:7 & 151:17 157:4 & 0 & 11th 3:4 & 99:13 \\
\hline 102:9 104:25 & 163:20 166:11 & 0.22 184:1 & 11,000 179:9 & 13-023 100:18 \\
\hline 109:22 133:25 & zip 136:21 & 007-138 46:8 & 11-019 83:12 & 13-031 17:19 \\
\hline 137:23 138:5 & 199:21 & 013 172:14 & 84:7 87:11 & 166:24 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 138: 17 \quad 142: 5 \\
& 142: 19 \quad 147: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \$ & 019-13 48:3 & 11:30 35:14 & 13-032 79:18 \\
\hline 158:22 159:23 & \$1.1174:6 & 031 172:13 & 1100 175:2 & 118:8 \\
\hline 160:20 183:24 & \$1.5 97:3 98:1 & 07-058 41:8 & 1110 121:7 & 13-033 123:4 \\
\hline 188:19 207:25 & \$10,477,895 & 07-138 45:19 & 147:11 152:14 & 144:10 \\
\hline 222:2 & 84:12 & 08-086 35:21 & 158:3 167:20 & 13-034 19:21 \\
\hline years 5:21 10:13 & \$10.1 152:4 & 74:1 & 167:21 & 24:17 145:19 \\
\hline 10:22 11:7 & \$14.3 49:25 & 09-076 42:23 & 185:25 & 150:19 \\
\hline 12:11 18:10 & \$15,000 212:12 & & 1110.530 101:12 & \[
162: 17
\] \\
\hline 22:8 25:13 & \$17 61:2 63:23 & 1 & \[
112 \text { 54:14 }
\] & 13-036 24:17 \\
\hline 27:11 28:15,23 & 76:13 & 1 48:18 83:1 & \(1120121: 7\) & 145:20 146:12 \\
\hline 31:7 59:21 65:4 & \$17.5 53:4 & 112:15 179:13 & 147:12 152:17 & 151:23 156:3 \\
\hline 103:12 109:2 & \$191,823,663 & 1st 43:18 117:15 & 158:3 167:20 & 13-039 164:7 \\
\hline 109:10,15,20 & 84:13 & 181:15,18 & 1125 53:6 & 165:8 \\
\hline 110:6,21 111:1 & \$2,825 152:12 & 1,081 59:16 & \(1125.550101: 12\) & 13-041 26:2 \\
\hline 111:3 115:14 & \$2,825,007 & 1.75 90:20 & 1130 67:4 117:14 & 94:23 \\
\hline 115:21 116:4 & 152:13 & 1:04 83:4 & 117:16 204:8 & 13-042 26:2 \\
\hline 119:8 124:20 & \$2.5 101:10 & \(1016: 22\) 57:11 & 1130.1080 204:24 & 94:24 \\
\hline 124:25 126:3 & \$2.756:10 & 59:7 60:1,2,10 & 1130.990 204:13 & 13-043 26:3 \\
\hline 127:4 129:17 & \$202,301,558 & 148:7,15 & 114 124:19 & 94:24 \\
\hline 136:11 140:1,3 & 84:10 & 204:19 212:12 & \[
12 \text { 16:23 31:16 }
\] & 13-044 26:3 \\
\hline 140:5 141:7,11 & \$21 142:25 & 10th 16:2 & 45:20 102:4 & 13-04:25 \\
\hline 142:3 143:11 & \$21.2 124:1 & 10-031 32:24 & 103:24 105:5 & 13-046 121:23 \\
\hline 158:22 159:19 & \$22 50:2 & 39:2,6 & \[
152: 2
\] & 13-051 173:12 \\
\hline 159:20 161:4,4 & \$22.9 48:22 & 10-059 88:24 & 12th 178:24 & 174:3 176:21 \\
\hline 171:20 194:21 & 49:24 50:5 & 89:11 90:17 & 12,830,632 & 13/14 42:8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 130 195:6 & \(2.8199: 9,11,19\) & 64:24 66:20,24 & 33 76:20 & 51 152:15 \\
\hline 139 22:8 & 20 11:4 49:18,19 & 67:18 75:1 & 339 98:10 104:11 & 511 104:12 117:1 \\
\hline 145:21 138:25 & 55:11 59:7 60:3 & 77:19 142:21 & 3400 167:13 & \(5251: 1\) \\
\hline 14th 89:10,17 & 60:20 61:2,8,12 & 142:22 & 342 56:25 & 57 170:18 \\
\hline 178:22 180:8 & 61:14 63:20 & 21st 217:2 & 35 150:20 & 58 101:9 103:16 \\
\hline 184:15 197:15 & 68:21 70:20 & 217-782-3516 1:2 & 35-bed 146:19 & 105:17 \\
\hline 15 133:14 204:19 & 75:1 130:4 & 22 106:8 169:6 & 350 142:20 & \\
\hline 205:25 & 132:22 158:24 & 22-station 167:11 & 359,000 199:12 & 6 \\
\hline 150-bed 43:20 & 158:24 179:10 & 167:13 168:9 & \(3657: 5\) & \(6152: 9\) \\
\hline 1540 185:19 & 2000 133:20 & 172:10,11 & 360,000 199:13 & 6,000 127:12 \\
\hline 1540(f) 185:11 & 199:7 & 224 53:2 76:24 & 37.5 97:8 & 6.14 89:22 90:19 \\
\hline 16 17:5 54:25 & 2004 49:12 & 23 132:23 & 372 137:13 & \(60171: 5\) \\
\hline 97:2,15 99:14 & 140:21,25 & 24 1:10,14 & 377,000 11:3 & 62761 1:2 \\
\hline 133:12,17 & \(2005109: 7\) & 106:10,21,25 & & 63 52:25 66:19 \\
\hline 162:3,5 & 2006 49:13 & 107:6,17,20 & 4 & 66:23 76:11 \\
\hline 16th 213:25,25 & 2008 46:7 199:7 & 108:5 115:7 & \(4136: 3152: 8,8\) & 631013 :4 \\
\hline 215:10,24 & 2009 43:19 & 176:5 & 152:12 179:15 & 7 \\
\hline 160 126:16 & 2010 9:12 59:20 & 24th 15:22 & 183:23 200:3 & 7 \\
\hline 1616 167:12 & 68:9 79:6 85:23 & 24-hour 130:14 & 201:25 202:1 & 7th 25:25 213:21 \\
\hline 17 42:25 61:13 & 89:10 109:8 & 25 158:11 171:21 & 4:01 222:16 & 70 89:22 161: \\
\hline 133:12 134:1 & 174:10 199:10 & 256,395 199:15 & 40 9:21 62:23 & 70's 140:11 \\
\hline 194:21 & 2011 20:7 109:9 & 26 46:7 & 103:12 106:13 & \(708154: 8,8\) \\
\hline 17-month 42:25 & 168:24 170:24 & 260 169:7 & 400,000 90:8 & 71.2 167:24 \\
\hline 17476:1 & 2012 9:12 15:22 & 27 133:10 & 41 101:8 103:16 & \(7113: 4\) \\
\hline 1750 133:24 & 16:2 56:9 & 27,000 31:22 & 103:20 105:18 & \(72171: 11\) \\
\hline 18 132:24 156:4 & 170:25 221:12 & 28th 42:25 & 106:14,16,23 & 74 98:20 \\
\hline 162:2 183:25 & 2013 1:10,14 & 29th 147:5 & 171:19 199:6 & 74.2 171:12 \\
\hline 200:5 & 44:1 46:13 57:4 & & 45 4:13 34:22 & 75 105:12 126:3 \\
\hline 18-bed 158:13 & 101:21 164:25 & 3 & 213:8 & 141:11 \\
\hline 18-month 43:4 & 178:22,24 & 3 61:4 84:12 & 45-minute 83:7 & 79 135:16 \\
\hline 43:25 & 217:3 & 179:15 & 451 57:5 & 8 \\
\hline 1800 140:15 & 2014 42:23 46:13 & 3's 64:4 & 46,000 11:10 & \\
\hline 19 109:7 & 46:21 102:9 & 3.5227 61:4 & 47 107:7,16,21 & \[
80 \text { 49:21 161:4 }
\] \\
\hline 19th 23:10 & 121:9 139:1 & 3.7 183:13 & 5 & 167:22 171:10 \\
\hline 1905 218:6 & 181:15 210:17 & \(3076: 3,18152: 3\) & & 171:13 \\
\hline 192 59:9 & 215:10,15 & 30th 42:22 46:12 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { 5th } 221: 22 \\
\mathbf{5 , 7 2 5} 169: 7
\end{array}
\] & \\
\hline 1942 131:1 140:9 & 216:17 & \(46: 13\) 101:21
\(102.9121: 8\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{5 , 7 2 5} 169: 7 \\
& \mathbf{5 . 2} 84: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
855 \text { 104:13 }
\] \\
\hline 1947 155:14 & 2015 43:1 44:1 & \(102: 9121: 8\)
\(188 \cdot 19\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{5 . 2} 84: 13 \\
& \mathbf{5 . 5} 183: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & 8746 \\
\hline 1980 199:8 & 59:21 138:23 & \(188: 19\)
\(\mathbf{3 0 9 , 0 0 0} 11: 4\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{5 . 5} 183: 12 \\
& \mathbf{5 0} 62: 23129: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & 889 59:15 80:12 \\
\hline 2 & 2016 181:18 & 309,000 11:4
\(\mathbf{3 1} 118: 9\) & \[
169: 8
\] & 89 185:1 190:20 \\
\hline 29:13 89:16 & 2017 138:7 & 31st 44:1,1 & 50's 140:9 & 190:21 \\
\hline 130:11 & 202 84:12 & 164:25 & 500 32:9 117:24 & 890 62:17 \\
\hline 2C11 35:2 & 203 53:1 76:1 & 314-644-2191 3:5 & 160:6,8 & 9 \\
\hline 2nd 1:1 117:15 & 21 52:25 58:8,15 & 32 97:1 179:7 & 500,000 98:1 & \[
94: 18,19,21
\] \\
\hline 2's 64:4 & 60:3,5,7 63:13 & 32-bed 97:16 & 187:11 & \[
216: 7,12
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

