



STATE OF ILLINOIS HEALTH FACILITIES AND SERVICES REVIEW BOARD

525 WEST JEFFERSON ST, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62761 • (217) 782-3516 FAX: (217) 785-4111

DOCKET NO: I-03	BOARD MEETING: August 8, 2024	PROJECT NO: 23-042	PROJECT COST: \$3,490,000
FACILITY NAME: Well Care Home, NFP, INC.		CITY: Highland	
TYPE OF PROJECT: Substantive			HSA: XI

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Applicant (Well Care Home, NFP, INC.) proposes to establish a 74-bed long-term care facility at 100 Faith Drive, Highland, Illinois at a cost of \$3,490,000. The expected completion date is September 30, 2024.

This project was deferred from the March 12, 2024, State Board Meeting and was given an Intent to Deny at the **May 9, 2024**, State Board Meeting.

On July 9, 2024, the State Board received additional information regarding this project in response to State Board Member's questions and concerns expressed at the May 2024 State Board Meeting.

Information regarding this application can be found at this link:

<https://hfsrb.illinois.gov/projects/project.23-042-well-care-home-nfp.html>

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

- The Applicant (Well Care Home, NFP, INC.) proposes to establish a 74-bed long-term care facility at 100 Faith Drive, Highland, Illinois in approximately 74,000 GSF of space at a cost of \$3,490,000. The expected completion date is September 30, 2024. Well Care Home, NFP, INC. purchased the facility at a cost of \$2,350,000.
- In September of 1999 the State Board approved a 76-bed long term care facility known as Faith Countryside Home (Permit #99-069) at a cost of \$12.5 million. As part of this project there were 36 assisted living apartments. The State Board has no jurisdiction of assisted living apartments.
- In June of 2021 Faith Countryside Home closed. The closure was the result of decreased hospital discharges and falling revenues as expenses increased for staffing and supplies as a result of the public health emergency. Faith Countryside Homes filed for bankruptcy protection in East St. Louis June 15, 2021, listing assets of \$1 million to \$10 million and liabilities of \$10 million to \$50 million.

WHY THE PROJECT IS BEFORE THE STATE BOARD:

- This project is before the State Board because the project proposes the establishment of a long-term facility.

PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT:

- The Applicant states the purpose of the project is to reopen a long-term care facility in Highland, Illinois.

PUBLIC HEARING/COMMENT:

- No public hearing was requested, and letters of support were received by the State Board. No opposition letters have been received by the State Board.

SUMMARY:

- The Applicant has purchased the closed facility at a cost of \$2,350,000. The Applicant addressed a total of 18 criteria and have not met the following:

State Board Standards Not Met	
Criteria	Reasons for Non-Compliance
1125.530 - Planning Area Need	The proposed facility will be located in the Madison County Long Term Care Planning Area and Health Service Area XI. There is currently a calculated excess of 365 LTC beds in the Madison County Planning Area.
1125.570 - Service Accessibility	The long-term care service currently exists in this planning area; therefore, the Applicant cannot meet condition number one. No evidence has been provided that the existing facilities have access limitations due to payor status; therefore, condition number two cannot be met. No evidence of restrictive admission policies at other LTC facilities in the Area has been provided; therefore, condition number three cannot be met. No evidence of medical care problems has been identified by the Applicant, therefore condition four cannot be met. The two-year average utilization of the 6 LTC facilities within the 17-mile GSA is 61% therefore, condition number five cannot be met. (See page 8 of this report)

State Board Standards Not Met	
Criteria	Reasons for Non-Compliance
1125.580 - Unnecessary Duplication of Service	There are six facilities within the 17-mile GSA operating at 61% occupancy. Based upon that occupancy there are 216 LTC beds available for use in this 17-mile GSA. See pages 8-9 of this report)



STATE OF ILLINOIS HEALTH FACILITIES AND SERVICES REVIEW BOARD

525 WEST JEFFERSON ST, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62761 • (217) 782-3516 FAX: (217) 785-4111

Well Care Home NFP, INC. STATE BOARD STAFF REPORT Project #23-042

APPLICATION SUMMARY	
Applicant	Well Care Home NFP, INC.
Facility Name	Well Care Home NFP, INC
Location	100 Faith Drive, Highland, Illinois
Permit Holder	Well Care Home NFP, INC
Operating Entity	Well Care Home NFP, INC
Owner of Site	Well Care Home NFP, INC
Application Received	September 29, 2023
Application Deemed Complete	October 6, 2023
Project Completion Date	September 30, 2025
Review Period Extended by the State Board Staff?	No
Can the Applicant request a deferral?	Yes
Expedited Review?	Yes

I. Project Description

The Applicant (Well Care Home NFP, INC.) proposes to establish a 74-bed long-term care facility at 100 Faith Drive, Highland, Illinois in approximately 74,000 GSF of space at a cost of \$3,490,000. The expected completion date is September 30, 2025. Well Care Home, INC. purchased the facility at a cost of \$2,350,000.

II. Summary of Findings

- A. State Board Staff finds the proposed project to **not** be in conformance with the provisions of 77 ILAC 1110 (Part 1110).
- B. State Board Staff finds the proposed project is in conformance with the provisions of 77 ILAC 1120 (Part 1120).

III. General Information

The Applicant (Well Care Home NFP, INC.) proposes to establish a 74-bed long-term care facility at 100 Faith Drive, Highland, Illinois in approximately 74,000 GSF of space at a cost of \$3,490,000. Well Care Home NFP, Inc is an Illinois not-for-profit corporation incorporated June 14, 2023. The corporation is owned by Dr. Ahsan Usman and Rabia and Ahad Usman. Dr. Usman is the CEO. Well Care Home NFP, Inc does not own any other health care facilities. The building housing the proposed long term care facility was purchased on June 14, 2023, by Well Care Home NFP, Inc. The **Geographical Service Area** for a project in Madison County is 17-miles. This is a substantive project which is subject to both a Part 1110 and a Part 1120 review.

IV. Dialysis Services¹

The Applicant stated dialysis services will be provided by Home Dialysis Therapies, Inc. which will be located at Well Care Home, NFP. There will be six stations located at the proposed nursing home. Home Dialysis Therapies, Inc is wholly owned by Dr. Usman. Home Dialysis Therapies, Inc is incurring the expense of the dialysis equipment. The Applicant states the intent is that in the future Home Dialysis Therapies, Inc may also provide services to patients desiring to conduct treatment in their homes as well as to residents of Well Care Home, NFP. At the conclusion of this report is a list of nursing care facilities that have dialysis care stations in nursing homes in the State of Illinois.

V. Project Sources and Uses of Funds

The Applicant proposes to fund the project with cash in the amount of \$3,490,000.

**TABLE ONE
PROJECT COST AND SOURCES OF FUNDS**

<u>Proposed Use of Funds</u>		<u>Amount</u>
Modernization	\$	1,140,000
Acquisition of Property	\$	<u>2,350,000</u>
Total	\$	<u>3,490,000</u>
Cash	\$	3,490,000
Total	\$	<u>3,490,000</u>

VI. Background of the Applicant, Purpose of the Project, Alternatives to The Proposed Project

A) 1125.520 - Background of the Applicant

All applicants shall comply with the requirements of this Section, as follows:

a) An applicant must demonstrate that it is fit, willing and able, and has the qualifications, background, and character, to adequately provide a proper standard of LTC service for the community. [20 ILCS 3960/6] In evaluating the qualifications, background, and character of the applicant, HFSRB shall consider whether adverse actions have been taken against the applicant, or against any LTC facility owned or operated by the applicant, directly or indirectly, within three years preceding the filing of the application. An LTC facility is considered "owned or operated" by every person or entity that owns, directly or indirectly, an ownership interest. If any person or entity owns any option to acquire stock, the stock shall be considered to be owned by that person or entity. (See Section 1125.140 for the definition of "adverse action".)

Well Care Home NFP, Inc is an Illinois not-for-profit corporation incorporated June 14, 2023. The corporation is owned by Dr. Ahsan Usman and Rabia and Ahad Usman. Dr. Usman is the CEO. Well Care Home NFP, Inc does not own any other health care facilities. The building housing the proposed long term care facility was purchased on June 14, 2023, by Well Care Home NFP, Inc.

¹ The State Board does not have jurisdiction of dialysis services in a nursing home. 20 ILCS 3960/3 (5) (B) – “This Act does not apply to a dialysis unit located in a licensed nursing home that offers or provides dialysis-related services to residents with end stage renal disease who have elected to receive home dialysis within the nursing home.”

Dr. Ahsan Usman has been in a medical practice as a physician since 2003. Dr. Usman has been caring for patients for the last twenty years and has been medical director for nursing homes. Based upon the information provided the Applicant appears to be fit, willing and able, and has the qualifications, background, and character, to adequately provide a proper standard of LTC service for the community.

B) Section 1125.320 - Purpose of the Project

The purpose of the project is to reestablish a long-term care facility in Highland, Illinois.

C) Section 1125.330 – Alternatives to the Project

The Applicant did not consider any other alternatives to the proposed project but provided a narrative in which the Applicant stated the proposed reopening of the long-term care facility as possible long-term options will provide dialysis treatments, an onsite infusion center, and respiratory and ventilator management services at the proposed facility.

VII. General Long-Term Care

A) Section 1125.530 - Planning Area Need

The applicant shall document that the number of beds to be established or added is necessary to serve the planning area's population, based on the following:

a) Bed Need Determination

- 1) *The number of beds to be established for general LTC is in conformance with the projected bed need specified and reflected in the latest updates to the HFSRB Inventory.*
- 2) *The number of beds proposed shall meet or exceed the occupancy standard specified in Section 1125.210(c).*

The proposed facility will be located in the Madison County Long Term Care Planning Area and Health Service Area XI. There is currently a calculated excess of 365 LTC beds in this planning area.

b) Service to Planning Area Residents

- 1) Applicants proposing to establish or add beds shall document that the primary purpose of the project will be to provide necessary LTC to the residents of the area in which the proposed project will be physically located (i.e., the planning or geographical service area, as applicable), for each category of service included in the project.
- 2) Applicants proposing to add beds to an existing general LTC service shall provide resident/patient origin information for all admissions for the last 12-month period, verifying that at least 50% of admissions were residents of the area. For all other projects, applicants shall document that at least 50% of the projected resident volume will be from residents of the area.
- 3) Applicants proposing to expand an existing general LTC service shall submit resident/patient origin information by zip code, based upon the resident's/patient's legal residence (other than an LTC facility).

The Applicant has stated that the proposed nursing home will be in the former 76-bed “**Faith Countryside Home**” and will serve the residents of Madison County. According to the Applicant the nursing home will provide care to the people of Highland where no one has to leave Highland and surrounding cities to receive long term care and rehabilitation. Based on the referral letters and waiting list that has been provided it appears that 50% of the projected admissions will come from the 17-mile geographical service area.

B) Section 1125.540 - Service Demand – Establishment of General Long-Term Care

a) The number of beds proposed to establish a new general long-term care service is necessary to accommodate the service demand experienced annually by the existing applicant facility over the latest two-year period, as evidenced by historical and projected referrals, or if the applicant proposes to establish a new LTC facility, the applicant shall submit projected referrals. The applicant shall document subsection (c) and subsection (d) or (e).

b) If the applicant is not an existing facility and proposes to establish a new general LTC facility, the applicant shall submit the **number of annual projected referrals, as required in subsection (d) or (e).**

c) Historical Referrals

If the applicant is an existing facility and is proposing to establish this category of service, the applicant shall document the number of referrals to other facilities, for each proposed category of service, for each of the latest two years. Documentation of the referrals shall include resident/patient origin by zip code; name and specialty of referring physician or identification of another referral source; and name and location of the recipient LTC facility.

d) Projected Referrals

An applicant proposing to establish a category of service or establish a new LTC facility shall submit the following:

- 1) Letters from referral sources (hospitals, physicians, social services, and others) that attest to total number of prospective residents (by zip code of residence) who have received care at existing LTC facilities located in the area during the 12-month period prior to submission of the application. Referral sources shall verify their projections and the methodology used.
- 2) An estimated number of prospective residents whom the referral sources will refer annually to the applicant's facility within a 24-month period after project completion. The anticipated number of referrals cannot exceed the referral sources documented historical LTC caseload. The percentage of project referrals used to justify the proposed expansion cannot exceed the historical percentage of applicant market share, within a 24-month period after project completion.
- 3) Each referral letter shall contain the referral source's Chief Executive Officer's notarized signature, the typed or printed name of the referral source, and the referral source's address; and
- 4) Verification by the referral sources that the prospective resident referrals have not been used to support another pending or approved Certificate of Need (CON) application for the subject services.

The Applicant provided 15 referral letters documenting 1,926 residents to be referred annually to the proposed facility. The Applicant stated the facility would need 131 referrals annually to reach the 90% target occupancy assuming a 186-day average length of stay. Additionally, the Applicant provided a waiting list with 38 individuals currently on the waiting list. Based upon these referrals and waiting list there appears to be sufficient demand for the proposed services.

C) Section 1125.570 - Service Accessibility

The number of beds being established or added for each category of service is necessary to improve access for planning area residents.

a) Service Restrictions

The applicant shall document that **at least one** of the following factors exists in the planning area, as applicable:

- 1) The absence of the proposed service within the **planning area.**
- 2) Access limitations due to payor status of patients/residents, including, but not limited to, individuals with LTC coverage through Medicare, Medicaid, managed care, or charity care.
- 3) Restrictive admission policies of existing providers.
- 4) The area population and existing care system exhibit indicators of medical care problems, such as an average family income level below the State average poverty level, or designation by the Secretary of Health and Human Services as a Health Professional Shortage Area, a Medically Underserved Area, or a Medically Underserved Population.
- 5) For purposes of this Section 1125.570 only, all services within the established radii outlined in 77 Ill. Adm. Code 1100.510(d) meet or exceed the occupancy standard specified in Section 1125.210(c).

There are six facilities with 556 beds within the 17-mile GSA. Two-year average utilization of these 6 LTC facilities is 61%. Average Medicaid occupancy in these 6 LTC facilities is approximately 62%.

TABLE TWO
Facilities within the 17-mile GSA

FACNAME	Mile	CITY	Gen Beds	Star Rating (¹)	2-Year Average 2021 and 2022 (²)			
					Medicaid Days	Total Days	Medicaid Days % of Total Days	Bed Occupancy
Highland Health Care Center	<1 mile	Highland	128	1	15,858	27,369	57.94%	58.58%
Aviston Countryside Manor	10.6	Trenton	97	1	10,089	21,023	47.99%	59.38%
Alhambra Rehab & Healthcare	15	Alhambra	84	4	4,053	13,895	29.17%	45.32%
Hitz Memorial Home	15.2	Alhambra	67	2	3,733	14,825	25.18%	60.62%
Clinton Manor	15.7	New Baden	90	5	24,672	27,749	88.91%	84.47%
Lebanon Care Center	16.6	Lebanon	90	1	16,518	17,931	92.12%	54.58%
Total			556		74,923	122,792	61.37%	60.49%

1. Star Rating from Medicare Compare Website
2. Medicaid Days and Total Days taken from Healthcare and Family Services Website for 2021 and 2022 and averaged

The service currently exists in this planning area; therefore, the Applicant cannot meet condition number one. No evidence has been provided that the existing facilities have access limitations due to payor status; therefore, condition number two cannot be met. No evidence of restrictive admission policies has been provided; therefore, condition number three cannot be met. No evidence of medical care problems has been identified by the Applicant, therefore condition four cannot be met. Two-year average utilization of these 6 LTC facilities is 61% therefore, condition number five cannot be met. As shown in the table above none of the facilities are at the target occupancy of 90%.

D) Section 1125.580 - Unnecessary Duplication/Maldistribution

- a) The applicant shall document that the project will not result in an unnecessary duplication. The applicant shall provide the following information:
 - 1) A list of all zip code areas that are located, in total or in part, within the established radii outlined in 77 Ill. Adm. Code 1100.510(d) of the project's site.
 - 2) The total population of the identified zip code areas (based upon the most recent population numbers available for the State of Illinois); and
 - 3) The names and locations of all existing or approved LTC facilities located within the established radii outlined in 77 Ill. Adm. Code 1100.510(d) of the project site that provide the categories of bed service that are proposed by the project.
- b) The applicant shall document that the project will not result in maldistribution of services. Maldistribution exists when the identified area (within the planning area) has an excess supply of facilities, beds and services characterized by such factors as, but not limited to:
 - 1) A ratio of beds to population that exceeds one and one-half times the State average.

- 2) Historical utilization (for the latest 12-month period prior to submission of the application) for existing facilities and services that is below the occupancy standard established pursuant to Section 1125.210(c); or
 - 3) Insufficient population to provide the volume or caseload necessary to utilize the services proposed by the project at or above occupancy standards.
- c) The applicant shall document that, within 24 months after project completion, the proposed project:
- 1) Will not lower the utilization of other area providers below the occupancy standards specified in Section 1125.210(c); and
 - 2) Will not lower, to a further extent, the utilization of other area facilities that are currently (during the latest 12-month period) operating below the occupancy standards.

1. There are six facilities within the 17-mile GSA operating at 61% occupancy. Based upon that occupancy there are 216 LTC beds available for use in this 17-mile GSA.
2. There are 38 zip codes with approximately 241,450 residents residing in the 17-mile GSA. There are 556 LTC Beds within this 17-mile GSA or 1 LTC Bed for every 435 residents in this GSA. There are approximately 88,532 nursing care beds in the State of Illinois. There are approximately 12.62 million people in the State of Illinois or 1 LTC bed for every 143 residents. The ratio of beds to population does not exceed one and half times the State Average.

TABLE THREE			
LTC Beds to Population			
	Population	Beds	Ratio
State of Illinois	12,620,000	88,532	0.00704
17 Mile GSA	241,451	556	0.00231

3. The Applicant believes the proposed facility will not lower the utilization of other area facilities because no other facility in the 17-mile GSA offers in home dialysis service.

E) Section 1125.590 - Staffing Availability

The applicant shall document that relevant clinical and professional staffing needs for the proposed project were considered and that staffing requirements of licensure, certification and applicable accrediting agencies can be met. In addition, the applicant shall document that necessary staffing is available by providing letters of interest from prospective staff members, completed applications for employment, or a narrative explanation of how the proposed staffing will be achieved.

The Applicant provided a narrative as required and stated the proposed facility will create 50-60 jobs in the Highland Park community and according to the Applicant the supply of health care workers will not be an issue as the number of health care workers are more than the number of nursing LTC facilities in the area. The medical director will be Dr. Ahsan Usman. According to the Applicant staff will be hired once state licensure has been approved. The Applicant has met the requirements of this criterion.

F) Section 1125.600 - Bed Capacity

The maximum bed capacity of a general LTC facility is 250 beds, unless the applicant documents that a larger facility would provide personalization of patient/resident care and documents provision of quality care based on the experience of the applicant and compliance with IDPH's licensure standards (77 Ill. Adm. Code: Chapter I, Subchapter c (Long-Term Care Facilities)) over a two-year period.

The proposed facility will have a total 74 long term care beds, 14-beds dedicated to memory care, 50 skilled care beds and 10 intermediate care beds. The Applicant has met the requirements of this criterion.

G) 1125.610 - Community Related Functions

The applicant shall document cooperation with and the receipt of the endorsement of community groups in the town or municipality where the facility is or is proposed to be located, such as, but not limited to, social, economic, or governmental organizations or other concerned parties or groups. Documentation shall consist of copies of all letters of support from those organizations.

The Applicant provided community support letters from the Mayor of the City of Highland, the Highland Chamber of Commerce, City of Highland City Manager, City of Highland Economic Development Coordinator, Property Owner Gayle A. Fry, Tisha Flowers, Marketing Director Well Care Home NFP Inc., Susan Hulvey, Chief Operating Officer Well Care Home NFP Inc. The Applicant has successfully addressed this criterion.

H) Section 1125.620 - Project Size – Review Criterion

The applicant shall document that the amount of physical space proposed for the project is necessary and not excessive. The proposed gross square footage (GSF) cannot exceed the GSF standards of Appendix A, unless the additional GSF can be justified by documenting one of the following:

- a) Additional space is needed due to the scope of services provided, justified by clinical or operational needs, as supported by published data or studies.
- b) The existing facility's physical configuration has constraints or impediments and requires an architectural design that results in a size exceeding the standards of Appendix A.
- c) The project involves the conversion of existing bed space that results in excess square footage.

The Applicant is proposing 74 LTC beds in 39,867 GSF of reviewable space or 539 DGSF per bed. The State Board Standard for LTC beds is 350-570 DGSF per Bed. The Applicant has met the requirement of this criterion.

I) Section 1125.630 - Zoning

The applicant shall document one of the following:

- a) The property to be utilized has been zoned for the type of facility to be developed.
- b) Zoning approval has been received; or
- c) A variance in zoning for the project is to be sought.

The Applicant provided documentation from the City of Highland which states that the site of the proposed project is properly zoned for the project. Application for Permit page 94.

J) Section 1125.640 - Assurances

- a) The applicant representative who signs the CON application shall submit a signed and dated statement attesting to the applicant's understanding that, by the second year of operation after the project completion, the applicant will achieve and maintain the occupancy standards specified in Section 1125.210(c) for each category of service involved in the proposal.
- b) For beds that have been approved based upon representations for continuum of care (Section 1125.560(a)) or defined population (Section 1125.560(b)), the facility shall provide assurance that it will maintain admissions limitations as specified in those Sections for the life of the facility. To eliminate or modify the admissions limitations, prior approval of HFSRB will be required.

The Applicant has provided the necessary assurance that the proposed 74-bed facility will be at target occupancy of 90% within two years after project completion. The Applicant has met this requirement.

VIII. 77 IAC 1125.800 - Financial Viability and Economic Feasibility

A) Availability of Funds

B) Financial Viability

The Applicant is financing this project with cash of \$3,490,000. The Applicant provided Deposit Account Summary from Chase Bank for Well Care Home NFP, INC. indicating that \$600,000 was available to provide operating capital. Additionally, a personal financial statement was also provided for Dr. Usman. Based upon the information provided funds are available and the Applicant is financial viability. (See pages 96-111 of the Application for Permit)

TABLE FOUR
Amount Spent to Date

Land + Building	\$2,350,000
Carpet replaced with vinyl floor	\$200,000
Sprinkler Repair	\$40,000
Fire alarm System Repair	\$20,000
Fire Extinguishers Tagged	\$5,000
Elevators Repairs	\$10,000
Back-up Generator	\$15,000
Plumbing repairs	\$30,000
Rooms repairs	\$89,000
Kitchen updates	\$40,000
Exterior building repairs	\$29,000
Dialysis Center Plumbing	\$28,000
Electricity Bill Paid	\$34,000
Total	\$2,890,000

C) Reasonableness of Debt Financing

D) Terms of Debt Financing

There is no debt associated with this project.

E) Reasonableness of Project Costs

Modernization costs are \$1,140,000 or \$28.58 per GSF. The State Board Standard is \$201.61 per GSF. This cost appears reasonable when compared to the State Board Standard.

Acquisition of Building and Property is \$2,350,000. The State Board does not have a standard for this cost.

TABLE FIVE					
Projected Operating Profit and Loss					
Year	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028
Revenue	\$4,560,150	\$5,236,884	\$5,636,887	\$5,913,900	\$6,199,738
Cost of Goods	\$2,828,000	\$3,184,000	\$3,360,000	\$3,456,000	\$3,552,000
Gross Profit	\$1,732,150	\$2,052,884	\$2,276,887	\$2,457,900	\$2,647,738
Salaries	\$1,023,528	\$1,083,650	\$1,107,838	\$1,132,586	\$1,157,906
Marketing	\$91,203	\$104,738	\$112,738	\$118,278	\$123,995
Admin	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000
Operations	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
Other	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000	\$24,000
Housekeeping	\$91,203	\$104,738	\$112,738	\$118,278	\$123,995
Total Operating Expenses	\$1,589,934	\$1,677,126	\$1,717,314	\$1,753,142	\$1,789,896
Depreciation	\$68,750	\$68,750	\$68,750	\$68,750	\$68,750
Interest Expense	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating Profit	\$73,466	\$307,008	\$490,823	\$636,008	\$789,093

1 And thank you for your oversight.

2 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

3 Ms. Legrand?

4 MS. LEGRAND: I also vote yes based on the
5 testimony.

6 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

7 Dr. Tanksley?

8 DR. TANKSLEY: Yes, based on the testimony.

9 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

10 Chairwoman Savage?

11 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Yes, based on the
12 testimony today and the state board staff report.

13 And thank you for getting that under
14 control.

15 MR. ROATE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

16 That's seven votes in the affirmative and
17 one vote for recusal.

18 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: That is approved. Thank
19 you.

20 Now we shall move on to applications
21 subsequent to initial review.

22 So we will start with H-01, Well Care
23 Home NFP in Highland, Illinois.

24 May I have a motion to approve

1 Item 23-042 for the establishment of long-term
2 care home.

3 MEMBER LEGRAND: So moved.

4 MEMBER BUDDER: Second.

5 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Thank you.

6 Spell your name for the court reporter
7 and we will get started.

8 DR. USMAN: Ahsan Usman, A-H-S-A-N U-S-M-A-N.

9 MS. ROMMERSKIRCHEN: Tanya Rommerskirchen,
10 T-A-N-Y-A R-O-M-M-E-R-S-K-I-R-C-H-E-N.

11 MS. FORCE: Candace Force, C-A-N-D-A-C-E
12 F-O-R-C-E.

13 (Witnesses duly sworn.)

14 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Thank you.

15 Mike, if you could give our state board
16 staff report.

17 MR. CONSTANTINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.

18 The applicant proposed the 74-bed
19 long-term care facility in Highland, Illinois at a
20 cost of approximately \$3.5 million. The expected
21 completion date is September 30, 2024.

22 This project was deferred from the
23 March 12, 2024, state board meeting. Additional
24 information has been provided and excluded at the

1 end of this report, along with the transcript of
2 the March state board meeting. State board staff
3 finds it remain unchanged from the original state
4 board staff report.

5 Thank you, Madam Chair.

6 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Thank you, Mike.

7 If you would like to proceed.

8 DR. USMAN: My name is Ahsan Usman. Good
9 morning, Madam Chair, and all the board members,
10 thank you for allowing us to come in front of the
11 board.

12 We were here in March 12th, and I would
13 like to apologize, I was not able to add
14 additional information. During the process of
15 application and I have submitted it, but I was not
16 fair to do so. So I apologize for that
17 inconvenience to the board. But we have added and
18 submitted additional information.

19 I will just go over the project that we
20 are here to invest in front of the board, and then
21 I will mention what is our goal and what we want
22 to achieve with this request.

23 Back in June '23 I purchased this
24 shut-down, abandoned, vacant nursing home

1 building. It was built in 2004 and it had 74
2 state beds. And also, it has assisted living
3 facility under the same roof at the same address.
4 That assisted living facility has 36 apartment,
5 and is up and running. We have license from the
6 IDPH and we are operating assisted living in this
7 area. So half of the building is assisted living
8 and half is the nursing facility.

9 The building is already up to the codes
10 and everything is fully furnished and ready to
11 operate as a skilled nursing facility.

12 In approximately April, May 2021, this
13 nursing home building got shut down due to their
14 COVID situation and other stuff. I am not sure
15 exactly what happened, but it was a sad day for
16 the community when it was shut down.

17 Then I decide to purchase this one and
18 reopen this facility and bring those 74 beds back
19 to the community that they were there before, so I
20 can continue to serve the community as the
21 facility in that area and in Madison County. This
22 building has like 60 state beds and 14 regular
23 beds, and that is what we have applied for.

24 I myself, I am a nephrologist and I --

1 like, I do dialysis and medical record for a
2 couple of dialysis centers in the area, and I have
3 this goal in mind that the huge need for the
4 skilled patients who have dialysis in this region.
5 So my reason is to provide dialysis service on
6 site and there are -- in miles and miles of
7 radius, there is not even a single nursing home
8 facility that offers dialysis on site. This will
9 be the first one dialysis facility that will offer
10 that, and I have submitted that in my application,
11 that my plan is to serve that and provide that
12 service to all the patients in the community.

13 This Madison County actually is attached
14 close to like three counties and we will be able
15 to serve, like, three adjoining counties.

16 Mr. Konig (phonetic), he is the manager,
17 he was here back on March 12 when we came, and he
18 came and spoke.

19 And everyone is in full support to open
20 this facility. The main reason that I basically
21 bought that building because they are the ones who
22 mentioned the need for the community and they want
23 absolutely this facility to come back to the
24 community.

1 So precisely what we are trying to
2 achieve here, we want to bring those 74 skilled
3 beds back to the community, and we are not asking
4 to build brand new facility, it's already built,
5 established, operational building, and it was a
6 nursing home before, and we are just using the
7 same building, we are not building a new building.

8 So that is our goal, to serve the
9 community for the need and dialysis on site.

10 MS. ROMMERSKIRCHEN: Thank you, everyone, for
11 listening to us today. I just wanted to address.
12 My name is Tanya Rommerskirchen, I am the CEO of
13 Well Care.

14 The community has just opened their arms
15 to us. We were expecting an open house of about
16 200 people, and we had approximately 500 people.
17 And they are willing to just share that grandma
18 and grandpa was here, mom and dad was here. They
19 were excited to see this building come back to
20 life. They felt like it was taken from them in
21 the community and they are just welcoming it back.

22 I am a registered nurse and a long-term
23 care administrator, and for many, many, many years
24 I have watched the dialysis patients have to go

1 out in subzero temperatures and be gone five or
2 six hours from their home.

3 This is their home and this opportunity
4 just let's them stay in their own environment, the
5 people that take care of them will be so much
6 improved for them.

7 So I am very excited about this project
8 and bringing this back to life. Thank you for
9 your time.

10 MS. FORCE: I am Candace Force, director of
11 business development.

12 So my thought is to go out to the
13 hospitals and find out the needs for these
14 patients, and we do have a waiting list.
15 Currently, like he said, there's 14 memory care
16 beds, so far we have nine on the waiting list for
17 that. There is 60 skilled and long-term care
18 beds, and so far we have 19 on the waiting list
19 for that, and it is growing every day.

20 Last week alone we admitted five patients
21 to Bria Nursing Home that actually live in
22 Highland, they did not have a choice to stay in
23 their hometown. So this is something that's very
24 much needed in our community.

1 I appreciate you guys. Thank you.

2 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Thank you.

3 Do we have any questions from our board?

4 MEMBER BUDDE: Yes, a couple of questions.

5 What percentage of the patients do you
6 anticipate will require the dialysis treatment?

7 DR. USMAN: I do believe that at least minimum
8 like 15 to 20 patients, although 74 beds will be
9 close to about 30, 35 percent need based on
10 the area.

11 I live in the area, I live in the
12 community, and I see that -- I am a practicing
13 nephrologist also in Sangamon, the next county,
14 and I see patients being discharged from the
15 hospital all the time and they go --
16 unfortunately, they go to the nursing home, they
17 don't provide dialysis, and then they have
18 hardship for them to go to dialysis every day and
19 in all the weather conditions.

20 I practice like two nephrologists and
21 nurse practitioner, and in our practice alone we
22 have 300 dialysis patients who are only seeing
23 nephrologist. This is growing every day. The
24 need for dialysis is growing every day.

1 So that was the idea, that why not we can
2 serve the community better and bring this option
3 to the table and serve them, and that's huge.

4 MEMBER BUDDE: Will you let other folks in the
5 community that aren't residents get their dialysis
6 service there? I don't know if that's legal.

7 DR. USMAN: No, we cannot because that's
8 separate CON process for the dialysis, we cannot.
9 We will be exclusively for the residents in the
10 building.

11 MEMBER BUDDE: Okay. And my other question is
12 just in general thoughts, the previous place
13 couldn't make it, what is going to be different?
14 Why is this going to be successful and sustainable
15 financially?

16 DR. USMAN: If I tell you the truth, previous
17 owner, they have \$12 million loan, and I think
18 they had some like -- because of the unexpected
19 debt, and they were a not-for-profit and they did
20 not get any support. I think that was the reason
21 they went under.

22 In my situation, I have purchased the
23 building and I have zero debt.

24 MEMBER BUDDE: Thank you.

1 MS. HENDRICKSON: You mentioned that part of
2 it is that the dialysis will be offered to the
3 residents only. Based on the application and the
4 radius that you will be within, there's over 35, I
5 think, long-term care facilities.

6 Can you explain to me why they have
7 not -- you said none of them have dialysis, why
8 they would not have already done this if the need
9 was so great?

10 DR. USMAN: Basically, dialysis is a very
11 sophisticated, very complicated-like service.
12 Like, I understand, because I am a nephrologist,
13 and it is very expensive, also.

14 So to build out the dialysis center in
15 the building, it has a cost of over 12 hundred
16 thousand dollars, and then my insurance and hiring
17 staff to do dialysis, that is another 3, \$400,000.
18 Each machine is about 60 to \$70,000.

19 So I think the lack of cost, which I
20 believe is like a proof for the other people who
21 are not familiar with dialysis, they don't
22 understand all the mechanism of that. And then
23 dialysis operators, other big companies, they are
24 not interested in the nursing home setup, so they

1 do their standard on dialysis facility.

2 So I think there is a cost -- if I have
3 to guess, is a cost to prevent people from
4 bringing that service because it's very expensive
5 to have it.

6 MEMBER HENDRICKSON: Just for clarification,
7 on your project description that cost does not
8 include the cost for the \$3.49 million.

9 DR. USMAN: No, it does not, but like -- but
10 we will have dialysis come there, like spend that
11 money.

12 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Other questions by board
13 members?

14 MEMBER KATZ: So what percentage, roughly, of
15 the 74 beds do you expect to be
16 commercially-insured patients?

17 MS. FORCE: We would like a percentage of
18 about 50 percent of that, but we are going to try
19 to get our beds -- we are going to try to get all
20 of our beds certified from Medicare, Medicaid, and
21 also insurance plans.

22 MEMBER KATZ: The reason I am asking is, I am
23 trying to understand -- I completely understand
24 the need for skilled patients and dialysis. I

1 also understand the economics of dialysis centers.
2 My understanding is that most of the money is made
3 on maybe all -- more than all of the money is made
4 on commercial patients. And if you don't have a
5 lot of -- I am struggling to see -- do you have
6 enough commercial patients? And if you don't,
7 it's great that you are doing this because the
8 community needs it. But how are you going to pay
9 for it?

10 DR. USMAN: Basically, the patients who are on
11 dialysis, they need -- these are life-saving
12 therapy, they need dialysis. So if they go to
13 outpatient facility, Medicare, Medicaid, they pay
14 for treatment, they pay about 900, \$1,000 of
15 treatment to the standalone alone dialysis
16 treatment. But for the home dialysis therapy when
17 you are doing on site at home, the Medicare and
18 Medicaid, they pay around 250 treatment.

19 So every patient does not have to be
20 commercial, they will be Medicare, Medicaid, and
21 they will have treatment. And that's a huge push
22 from the home therapy, because home therapy are
23 far less expensive, like \$250 versus outpatient.

24 MEMBER KATZ: So this is home hemo?

1 DR. USMAN: Yes, home hemo.

2 MEMBER KATZ: And a home hemo annual
3 reimbursement --

4 DR. USMAN: Yes, they will separate.

5 MEMBER KATZ: -- is about what?

6 DR. USMAN: 250 per treatment. So if the
7 patient is getting treatment at home on dialysis
8 on site, the payments will be paying for that
9 treatment.

10 MEMBER KATZ: I understand that, but my
11 understanding is that the clinic hemo is about
12 300, plus or minus, about 42,000 a year, plus or
13 minus.

14 So my question is if -- and the problem
15 is that clinics can't make money if they did a
16 hundred percent patients at \$42,000 a year,
17 they've got to throw in X percentage at three to
18 four times that commercial payers are paying. If
19 you don't have commercially-covered residents, how
20 is this going to work financially?

21 DR. USMAN: That's a very good question and
22 thank you for bringing that, because I understand,
23 like, when we do dialysis in a home setting, like,
24 the home setting and the outpatient setting, in

1 the outpatient setting, they can bill dialysis,
2 they have to have big dialysis rooms, treatment
3 areas, they have to have like -- like therapy,
4 they have to have a lot of bio med, they have to
5 have a lot of overhead of the facility, of the
6 dialysis unit, like the rent.

7 I used to be joint partner with Avita
8 (phonetic) and I was looking at all their
9 expenses, so I know all the expenses.

10 When we do the onsite in the nursing
11 home, we have a dedicated -- one small room that
12 will just -- like, I have room, like we have six
13 chairs. Already having done this, everything
14 done, so my cost of that one room is not, because
15 that is in the building already there and we are
16 covered with all the communities, everything. So
17 we have no extra expense to have that room.

18 And then on top of that, the machines --
19 home dialysis machine are totally different than
20 the machines in the outpatient setting. So the
21 machines that we have, we don't need any private
22 room, we don't need any big, like, bio med people
23 there. So those machines are self-sustained in
24 the machine. So like making those machines is

1 very cost effective.

2 And then when we do dialysis in the room
3 with staff, staff is a big expense. So one nurse
4 and one technician in one room, they can watch
5 like six people running on the machines, where in
6 the dialysis units they have to have a lot of
7 staff and technicians because they have to be like
8 providing two, three people because they are
9 spread out in the unit in one room. Staff is much
10 less expensive.

11 MR. KATZ: So that makes sense. So if you
12 have a hundred percent Medicare but if you had no
13 commercial patients, you are saying you could make
14 money, 250, for Medicare?

15 DR. USMAN: Hundred percent. My cost -- our
16 treatment in the nursing home is \$150 for
17 treatment and we make \$50 for treatment, \$100.

18 MEMBER KATZ: One more question, just trying
19 to understand. So when a patient needs dialysis
20 and Medicare covers this, and then if you are not
21 a Medicare-eligible person, Medicare covers
22 everything, right, not just dialysis, everything?

23 DR. USMAN: That's right.

24 MEMBER KATZ: So is this kind of the back

1 doorway to flip your payer mix at the skilled
2 nursing facility from Medicaid to Medicare? Is
3 that kind of what's going on here?

4 DR. USMAN: No, no. You know, like we are --
5 basically the patients that are in the facility,
6 they need dialysis, they are on dialysis. So that
7 has not changed any.

8 MEMBER KATZ: Yes and no. Sorry to interrupt.
9 Yes if the patient basis were static but no if you
10 are in a skilled facility in the area that offers
11 dialysis, people who just crash in the hospital
12 and say I want to go to this facility because I
13 can do it at home?

14 DR. USMAN: That is correct. Yes, that is
15 correct.

16 MEMBER KATZ: So you will see a secondary
17 tertiary boost in your Medicare mix?

18 DR. USMAN: Yes.

19 MEMBER KATZ: In the long run, that's the
20 math.

21 DR. USMAN: You are absolutely right.

22 MEMBER KATZ: That's clever. Clever legal,
23 but just clever. That's clever.

24 DR. USMAN: But we are providing --

1 MEMBER KATZ: I got it. I got it.

2 DR. USMAN: You are absolutely right.

3 MEMBER KATZ: Okay.

4 MS. HENDRICKSON: I have a follow-up question.

5 First of all, thank you for your
6 explanation. I think this is more directed to
7 staff. Just for my clarity and understanding what
8 I have in front of me today on the docket is
9 related just to 74 beds. The dialysis
10 information, while fascinating and very
11 interesting, is not included in this conversation,
12 correct?

13 DR. USMAN: That is correct.

14 MEMBER HENDRICKSON: I think that's where I am
15 having the struggle. The uniqueness of your
16 program, the need for it, is that next step, and I
17 haven't had enough data to vet that impact of your
18 next step to be attached to this in your market,
19 right. So I think that's where my challenge is as
20 an awareness. I feel like I am missing
21 complimentary data on long term plus dialysis
22 needs in that population. I am only looking at
23 right now what you submitted from
24 nursing-home-to-nursing-home.

1 I have nothing to comment on. I just
2 want to make sure I understand that clearly.

3 MEMBER KATZ: So are we being asked to approve
4 not the dialysis base, just the long-term care?

5 MR. CONSTANTINO: Just long-term care.

6 MEMBER KATZ: Do they need approval?

7 MR. CONSTANTINO: Yes. It's home care, it's
8 considered home care. It's another section of
9 IDPH.

10 MEMBER KATZ: So they have to go back to
11 someone else to get that. Interesting.

12 MR. KNIERY: If they open it up to the public.

13 MEMBER KATZ: I understand.

14 I have to share the same concern. I am
15 compelled by the -- but that's not really what we
16 are voting on. I don't know how I feel about
17 that.

18 DR. USMAN: Basically, we are, as
19 Ms. Hendrickson mentioned, we are coming to the
20 request to bring these 74-skilled beds back to
21 where they were before two years ago. So they
22 were on the inventory with the IDPH system, but
23 those 74 beds fell off and we need those back up
24 where they were before. That is

1 one point.

2 And the other point for like the
3 dialysis, when I was asked by the board to submit
4 the additional information on March 12th, I added
5 in my addendum that my intention of rights of
6 service, and that service does not require any CON
7 approval, but that definitely requires IDPH survey
8 to be done. That survey actually has been done on
9 April 22nd. The IDPH team, because the dialysis
10 is all done, it's up and like operational, but we
11 cannot do anything until we get approval by the
12 board today.

13 MS. HENDRICKSON: I just want to add I
14 understand that. I think my struggle is the
15 setting a precedence, right. I am approving based
16 on not the dialysis, necessarily, and while you
17 are demonstrating in your letter that there is an
18 intent, you would also potentially, correct me if
19 I am wrong, if we approve it, which is not to do
20 it later on, correct, and that's my challenge
21 right now.

22 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: And then the question is,
23 so you are saying that there is a need for these
24 74 beds, but when we look at the 17-mile GSA, most

1 of the nursing homes are at 50 percent, at best.

2 So how -- I mean, I know with dialysis
3 it's probably more patients, residents, the
4 previous nursing home as well, and then all these
5 nursing homes for the most part are in the air, if
6 need be. So how do you intend to get those 74
7 beds filled? Is it just going to be dialysis
8 patients?

9 MS. FORCE: No. So scratch all the dialysis.

10 On the nursing home standpoint and
11 long-term care, as I explained, we already have a
12 waiting list and it's growing. One of our
13 competitors, a nursing facility that is right next
14 to us, we've already gotten five family members
15 wanting to move their family members over for
16 quality of care, as I explained earlier.

17 I also do (inaudible) which is 18 minutes
18 from Highland. Last month -- or last week alone I
19 admitted five patients that live in Highland that
20 went to Bria. So there is definitely a need in
21 this area.

22 We partner with all the hospitals, memory
23 care. All the memory care around us is full. We
24 have nine people on our waiting list with 14 beds,

1 and that's not counting the calls we get daily.

2 So there is definitely a need for the
3 long-term Medicare and Medicaid.

4 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Are your assistant beds
5 filled?

6 MS. FORCE: We already have eight, and we just
7 did our grand opening, as she stated last month.

8 DR. USMAN: We just opened two weeks ago and
9 we already have eight.

10 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: We also have the issue
11 with not having physician referrals to this. So
12 how are you getting your referrals? Is it just
13 friends and family themselves that are coming?

14 MS. FORCE: No. We use all types of
15 platforms. So we use Care, which is a referral
16 source. Plus, i partner with all the hospitals,
17 so they send us referrals through Metro. We
18 partner with at least 11 hospitals in our
19 surrounding area that I go to weekly.

20 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Mike, did we get that data
21 from the referrals?

22 MR. CONSTANTINO: No, we didn't get any
23 referral. No, we did not.

24 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: It wasn't required for

1 your CON.

2 MR. BEEDLE: My name is Dennis Beedle, I am a
3 psychiatrist. Earlier in my career I worked at
4 Michael Reese and they have a big dialysis program
5 there. And it was interesting because being a
6 dialysis patient is very difficult. I mean, it is
7 not to be underestimated in terms of the
8 challenges. Oftentimes, people do have
9 comorbidities, there's a reason why they need
10 dialysis. Most people will want to stay at home,
11 and it is very clear I think that home-based --
12 what makes me very enthusiastic about this project
13 in terms of looking at it from a patient
14 perspective is that they will be receiving
15 home-type dialysis which is, from a patient
16 perspective, vastly preferred. Pump times are
17 longer, generally you will have longer pump times
18 than the commercial. Correct?

19 DR. USMAN: Yes. Yes.

20 MR. BEEDLE: The other thing for the board's
21 consideration is people, after they've received
22 outpatient dialysis in a traditional setting,
23 oftentimes feel very sick, there's been massive
24 fluid switches, and it is so much preferred to

1 have the home dialysis, not only is it cheaper, it
2 is actually, I think, from at least a patient
3 perspective, much preferred, people feel better
4 afterwards.

5 So although there may not be -- you know,
6 you kind of have to look at it as are you
7 improving a better type of service delivery, not
8 just, you know, the bed capacity, but are you
9 actually enabling something that would really
10 improve the quality of people's lives.

11 I am just seeing people feel much better
12 after they've switched to the home dialysis. If I
13 needed dialysis, I would much rather personally
14 have it. And, yes, sending somebody out who's not
15 feeling very well to a commercial center, you
16 know, where there's outpatient centers, that's
17 really hard. And if they feel sick afterwards,
18 they have to hop back in the transport, go back to
19 the nursing care facility.

20 So I was kind of excited about this
21 model? I do think it's better. It's also similar
22 to, like, the problems of finding a long-term care
23 facility that will do TPN, you know, where there's
24 definitely an increased number of people that need

1 that.

2 So I do think that should be considered
3 that this is an innovative model. And I think in
4 terms of the finances, if the applicant can pay
5 cash for it --

6 MEMBER KATZ: I have a question. When you are
7 talking about home, are you talking about home
8 hemo?

9 MR. BEEDLE: Home hemo. I guess if I needed
10 it, though, I would do home.

11 Back where I grew up, there's a neighbor
12 who supported my mom, and he was having outpatient
13 dialysis and then he got the home hemo. He said,
14 I would have done this a really long time ago if I
15 would have known how much better I would have
16 been.

17 So I mean, I don't know if that's the
18 general experience, but just on the basis of what
19 I'm seeing consulting with people that have been
20 on dialysis and also from experience, you know,
21 people that struggle with these needs, you know,
22 the home hemo most of it, as I understand it,
23 although correct me if I am wrong, when you are
24 doing a commercial outpatient dialysis, there is

1 time pressure, Medicare actually at some point
2 reduced the pump time, so you have these
3 massive --

4 MEMBER KATZ: You are jamming fluid in, it's
5 three times a week, that's dialysis medicine.

6 MR. BEEDLE: Right.

7 MS. FORCE: So when they are going to these
8 out clinics, not only do they have to wait for
9 transportation to pick them up, it could be an
10 hour, they could be late, they could not pick them
11 up. They do go, they don't get fed while they're
12 there, they don't get shaved while they're there,
13 half the time they get infections.

14 So not only is this going to help the
15 quality of life, this is going to help the
16 rehospitalization rate tremendously.

17 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Other questions by board
18 members? Dr. Tanksley?

19 MEMBER FOX: I have a question.

20 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Oh, okay. Go ahead.

21 MEMBER FOX: I am concerned, as noted in the
22 application, for the lack of referral -- projected
23 referrals, but what I am also hearing from the
24 applicant is the unique feature to be able to take

1 care of dialysis patients.

2 Can the applicant tell us how many
3 dialysis patients are anticipated in the 74-bed
4 facility?

5 DR. USMAN: I anticipate about like 15 to 20
6 dialysis patients will be coming to the facility.
7 And we have capacity to hold 24 dialysis patients
8 as we speak right now.

9 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Dr. Tanksley?

10 DR. TANKSLEY: This has been a really good
11 discussion about dialysis patients. I want to
12 make sure, as one of my colleagues mentioned
13 earlier and so that I am clear, the dialysis is
14 not necessarily part of our decision, correct,
15 that's another IDPH department. So I guess a
16 question I have for the -- for the staff is, is
17 that process of approval through IDPH, is that
18 something that -- I guess I am getting confused.

19 Let me say I'm getting confused because
20 it sounds like it's not, in that the applicant
21 wants to move forward with a nursing home facility
22 regardless if that is approved or not. I guess I
23 am kind of confused, is that approved already for
24 the dialysis or not?

1 MR. KNIERY: Dr. Tanksley, if I may, John
2 Kniery, administrator for the board, and then I
3 will ask Mike or George to chime in if I missed
4 something.

5 As I understand this, you have the
6 purview to add this to your consideration. I
7 think the issue is all the numbers -- there hasn't
8 been a market study, unfortunately. My
9 background is long-term care.

10 I feel what you are doing, I understand
11 what you are doing, but there's also on the board
12 side, there's no need. So the documentation of
13 that need is what we are missing and that's what I
14 think we are hearing from the board members. I am
15 not putting down your project at all, please don't
16 take it that way, we are just looking for
17 documentation of need, especially in light of
18 Member Hendrickson said that facility went under,
19 so why will you not?

20 One way is to do the market study,
21 physician referrals, there are several things
22 within our rules in that section, you know that.

23 I do commend you for providing your wait
24 list, I think that's helpful. I think that's the

1 concern that I am hearing.

2 So to directly answer your question,
3 Dr. Tanksley, yes, dialysis is something to
4 consider, but you also have your criteria that
5 precedes that.

6 MEMBER KATZ: Question for John. The data
7 suggests there's not a need, they have a long
8 waiting list. So which is it? Is it just a
9 temporary waiting list? Is the data wrong? Or
10 are they just providing better product? I don't
11 see another option.

12 If the answer is the data is wrong, okay,
13 if the answer is in the next six months they are
14 not going to have a waiting list. If the answer
15 is they are providing a better product, people
16 have a choice wanting to go there, why wouldn't we
17 approve that?

18 MR. KNIERY: I would say if you are getting
19 referrals, bring in your referrals if you're
20 getting referrals.

21 MS. FORCE: I did not know that was something
22 I needed to provide to you guys, but they are not
23 really technically sending us referrals until they
24 are open, they are not going to. Why would they

1 send us a referral for us to say we are not open?
2 Yes, they want to come to our area, but we are not
3 open.

4 So last week alone five people from
5 Highland went to Bria Nursing Home, so they are
6 there for a short-term rehab and want to go back
7 to Highland. I have a waiting list of seven
8 people that are in Bria Nursing Home right now
9 that want to move to Highland because that's where
10 they are from. That's in the 19 that are on our
11 waiting list. So we are going to get referrals,
12 they're there, it's just why would they send us
13 something when we are not open.

14 MR. KNIERY: I mean, this is something, you
15 know, we always got referral letters before a
16 project was open. You guys are ahead of the
17 schedule that you have a facility. It's not a
18 stretch to ask a provider and hospital.

19 MS. FORCE: I can get that, that's not a
20 problem. We can definitely get that.

21 DR. USMAN: And if I may add, they definitely
22 do have a need every day. But if we look at the
23 project that we have the building itself, I don't
24 know if someone has pictures of the application,

1 the building is so beautiful, it's a gorgeous
2 brand new building. It has -- the normal regular
3 nursing homes, they have like four residents
4 sharing one small bathroom, and in this facility
5 it's every room is either private or semi-private,
6 and only maximum two people will share one
7 handicap bathroom. So it is so neat, clean, brand
8 new facility and it's kept so beautiful.

9 Like, the quality of care is so amazing
10 that none of the nursing homes in the whole
11 State of Illinois can compete with this facility.
12 I am not talking about medical, I am talking about
13 the whole State of Illinois, not such a beautiful
14 a building anywhere that you can find in this
15 facility anywhere.

16 So the quality of the building itself is
17 so beautiful and it is not only acceptable in the
18 building, they will be even very high-tech, in my
19 opinion, where they can, like, have back quality
20 of life.

21 MEMBER KATZ: So you just answered the
22 question. Let me ask you a question from the
23 staff. When we talk about market capacity and
24 market demand, do we control for better? Is it

1 our job to say when a better project comes on, it
2 should not force a lesser project off the market?

3 MR. KNIERY: I don't want to comment on that.
4 I am aware of what it is in that area.

5 MEMBER KATZ: Okay, fair enough.

6 MS. DOMINGUEZ: I don't see your question
7 about this data being wrong or anything. It's not
8 that the staff was incorrect, it's that there
9 wasn't information to feed into that criteria.
10 The applicant has indicated they have produced a
11 referral, it was in the application since March,
12 and the findings in the staff reports since March
13 that that information was missing, they didn't
14 introduce it.

15 MEMBER KATZ: The referral letters are not the
16 numbers of beds.

17 MS. DOMINGUEZ: No. No.

18 MEMBER KATZ: My sense is referral letters are
19 meant to say when a new facility comes on line,
20 will there be a demand. If you believe their wait
21 list numbers, I am not sure why we need referral
22 letters. If this is just a check-the-box
23 exercise, we can do that. We have all we need.

24 When I talk about the data, I am talking

1 about the number of beds in the serving area, I'm
2 probably using my terms wrong, but you are missing
3 this.

4 MR. KNIERY: The wait list is 19, right?

5 MS. FORCE: Nineteen for the long term and
6 nine for the memory care. So that's nine out of
7 14 and 19 out of 60. I mean, if we wanted to just
8 make up numbers, we can throw those numbers way
9 high and the numbers that I am getting calls per
10 day.

11 MEMBER KATZ: And the referral letters that we
12 would want is doctors, hospitals, district
13 planners in the area. If they were open, we could
14 accept them, and we are going to make a decision
15 based on those that are valuable. That's what the
16 rules call for, is it valuable.

17 MR. KNIERY: The rules are there for a couple
18 of reasons. They are there -- we've seen so many
19 like Highland close. There's been so many that
20 have closed. I think as in the market study, if
21 they are done honestly, they are done right,
22 because we are having these applicants spend
23 money, typically they haven't already bought the
24 facility or built the facility, so it's a little

1 bit unique, which is fine, but we are asking -- we
2 are trying to give them the best footing, this
3 isn't a certificate of want, it's a certificate of
4 need, we have to show a document.

5 MEMBER KATZ: Right, but it's not a full
6 government -- it's the intersection of public
7 (inaudible) and for-profit enterprise.

8 MR. KNIERY: Right. Right.

9 MEMBER KATZ: It's interesting.

10 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Anyone have any other
11 questions?

12 MR. BEEDLE: At this point if the applicant
13 wanted -- this is kind of a procedural question.
14 If the applicant -- before the vote, the applicant
15 decided that they wanted to have an extension to
16 get letters from referral sources and then come
17 back with that initial information, would that be
18 something they could request?

19 MR. KNIERY: That is something they could
20 request. This is their first initial
21 consideration, if they should for some reason
22 pursue the intent to deny, which is just that,
23 intent to deny this is not a final deny, this is
24 the first consideration for this applicant.

1 MR. BEEDLE: Okay.

2 MS. DOMINGUEZ: They would then be able to
3 present this data once again and then have you do
4 another vote.

5 MR. BEEDLE: I am not a voting member.

6 MS. DOMINGUEZ: I understand, but just to
7 advocate everyone else, it's not completely turned
8 down, it's just another opportunity. They have
9 options, one of which would be to provide this
10 additional data for a reconsideration by the board
11 and then there would be another vote on it.

12 MEMBER HENDRICKSON: Just follow up, if there
13 was an intent to deny, how soon could they bring
14 back or be reconsidered?

15 MS. DOMINGUEZ: It would depend on the
16 submission of the data. Typically, it would be in
17 the next meeting. However, if there is data that
18 staff would need to review again, there is, by the
19 statutes and the rules, there is a set 60-day
20 period for the staff to review it, so that would
21 be taken into consideration.

22 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: That would depend on how
23 soon they got the information in order to review
24 it, depending on whether the case is on for

1 June 25th is the next meeting.

2 Other questions?

3 MEMBER KAATZ: Maybe its a more of a comment.

4 As far as the long-term care -- let me back up.

5 I think I understand what you are
6 attempting to do clinically, and I applaud you for
7 your innovation, okay, but from a numbers
8 standpoint, I'm totally confused about estimating
9 market demand for your long-term care beds.

10 Could you think about getting together
11 with the staff? I believe that's allowable,
12 right.

13 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Yes.

14 MEMBER KAATZ: And if you could come back
15 somehow with one consensus well-thought out
16 expression market demand, that would really help
17 me, because I am comfortable with everything else
18 but I am uncomfortable, looking at the staff
19 report really pronounced excess duplication and
20 utilization, and if you can come back and
21 straighten that out with one common denominator,
22 that would help me a lot. Thank you.

23 MS. FORCE: Okay.

24 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Are there questions?

1 Go ahead.

2 DR. USMAN: Am I allowed to ask question or
3 no?

4 MS. DOMINGUEZ: It depends. A question of the
5 board?

6 DR. USMAN: Yes.

7 MS. DOMINGUEZ: As far as the procedure goes?

8 DR. USMAN: Not the procedure.

9 MS. DOMINGUEZ: I think if it's an explanation
10 of the topic here, I think that would be
11 appropriate, but I think if it's a question that
12 relates to other requests that you may provide new
13 information on, I think it might be better to do
14 that on the side after you ask for a referral or
15 there's a vote and you receive whatever decision.
16 So I will leave it up to your criteria. If it's
17 something related to the data, if there is a
18 question like that, but if you have just a bigger
19 general procedural question, I think it would be
20 more appropriate to do that offline.

21 DR. USMAN: Related to data.

22 MS. DOMINGUEZ: But data that you've already
23 submitted?

24 DR. USMAN: Yes, submitted and the request to

1 be broader.

2 MS. DOMINGUEZ: I am not sure what kind of
3 question.

4 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Is this the March 12th
5 data you put in your letter?

6 DR. USMAN: The whole application. That is
7 not a problem.

8 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Go ahead.

9 DR. USMAN: Basically, the way I think and
10 believe, I will definitely do whatever the board
11 asks us to do, there's no question about that.
12 The question is, we are requesting those 74 beds
13 to bring back to the community, which were there,
14 and allowing this building at that location for
15 the same purpose, why cannot be reinstated as it
16 was two years ago? That's my question. Why do we
17 need to create new data, new referral, new
18 everything, when this is existing established
19 nursing home and performed for 20 years before
20 they closed? Now three years later we are asking
21 why do we have to go over all again for the same
22 building, the same thing when we are not
23 requesting to bring in new beds?

24 MR. KNIERY: That license was relinquished,

1 okay, so the need is totally different. Your need
2 is your need, it's not their need.

3 And on top of that, they may have
4 addressed the need that was needed at the time,
5 the demographics have changed. That's the whole
6 point for the new criteria, what are the
7 demographics today.

8 Again, I think market study provide that
9 you have the long term elderly population in the
10 area, you know, by age. I mean, there's so many
11 different factors. That's why there is a
12 different criteria there.

13 MS. DOMINGUEZ: It's not technically a
14 reinstatement. As John indicated, you are a new
15 owner, for lack of a better word, provider, new
16 company that is going to be providing. So maybe
17 that would technically be a reinstatement, but you
18 are not.

19 So a new license with that application
20 comes consideration of all the factors that are in
21 the rules, in the statutes that are required to be
22 part of the consideration.

23 There is no -- you know, each member
24 decides how they weigh the factors, how they

1 consider what data they would believe is relevant
2 or not when they make their decision, right, but
3 it's your job to present this board with a new
4 application.

5 We understand that the beds were there
6 before, but you are a new applicant and that's why
7 you are being requested to present this
8 information for your facility, not the previous
9 one, and I don't think you would want to.

10 MR. BEEDLE: If I understand, I am new to the
11 board, but my understanding is there's also a
12 response, there is a surplus capacity of long-term
13 care license beds and it is felt that that
14 excessive capacity can drive up cost.

15 So one of the responsibilities of the
16 board, as I understand it, is to make sure that
17 there's not too many of anything, you know, that
18 would essentially drive up costs.

19 So even if it's a superior product or
20 approach, you know, there is this counter
21 balancing, you know, capacity. So that's my
22 understanding.

23 So if someone poses that it's not
24 automatic that we should not approve, you know, or

1 the board should approve, it doesn't mean it was
2 really the thing with that particular nursing home
3 closed, right.

4 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: At that time that's when
5 we re-evaluated the criteria of those areas, when
6 one comes in and one closes down. What's going on
7 in that area at that time. What is the need.
8 Because there's so many excess beds at the
9 facility, whether we talk about quality, of course
10 quality is important for healthcare people, but in
11 all these other places that have closed down, you
12 know, that might not be good, it might not be
13 enough nursing home beds in that area, and then
14 the cost may be driven up in a facility, something
15 of that nature. So that's why we look at that
16 time.

17 Like we said, if you continue and bought
18 it before they sold or went under then, then that
19 would be different, but if they are new, then you
20 have to start over.

21 MR. BEEDLE: Does that answer your question?

22 DR. USMAN: Yes.

23 MR. BEEDLE: It's kind of a funny thing, you
24 know, there's a regulatory frame that is supposed

1 to help make sure that there's not excessive
2 capacity.

3 Like new services fit into that, I am
4 very excited about the idea that people won't have
5 to be, you know, transported to an outpatient
6 dialysis center where they are going to get a
7 quick, you know, dialysis run, feel sick and go
8 back. I think it's a very innovative model.

9 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Other questions or
10 comments?

11 MEMBER LEGRAND: So you did say you were going
12 to the area hospitals to speak to them?

13 MS. FORCE: Yes, correct, I spoke with all the
14 presidents of the hospitals, I work with all the
15 social workers at every hospital every day, and I
16 guess that we do get referrals daily, but we are
17 placing them in Bria because we are not open in
18 Highland.

19 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: Other questions? Okay.

20 George, if you could call the roll.

21 MR. ROATE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

22 Motion made by Ms. Legrand, seconded by
23 Mr. Budde.

24 Mr. Budde?

1 MEMBER BUDDE: I think you've got an
2 interesting idea and obviously, Doctor, you can
3 make A \$2.3 million bet on this, but I am
4 concerned about you need to tighten up the
5 application a little bit for this data, and I am
6 sure the letters feel like a formality, but I have
7 to respect the staff's work and strongly
8 suggest -- I am going to vote no with the hope
9 that you would work with the staff and tighten up
10 the data and formalize the conversations, you
11 know, with the hospital CEOs and what have you in
12 the region.

13 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

14 Mr. Fox?

15 MEMBER FOX: I will vote no because of the
16 excess bed inventory. Although the applicant has
17 provided some interesting and potentially counter
18 reasons for why the board should approve this
19 project, I don't feel comfortable yet with the
20 referrals that have been outlined.

21 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

22 Ms. Hendrickson?

23 MEMBER HENDRICKSON: I also vote no similar to
24 Mr. Fox, it's a very compelling need, but based on

1 what I have available to validate against, it's
2 missing some information, so I am voting no to
3 that.

4 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

5 David Katz?

6 MEMBER KATZ: I am going to go off the board
7 here and vote yes, actually enthusiastically, and
8 I will offer my thoughts. One is, it does sound
9 like the rules would say that we vote no because
10 there's not enough demonstrated in the math,
11 there's not enough referral letters. And if we
12 were just up here doing what -- exactly what is
13 supposed to happen, I'm not sure we would be up
14 here, we'd be doing this by computer.

15 So in the spirit of not being an
16 automaton and also being respectful of staff's
17 work, I am going to try to use some judgment here,
18 and my judgment, right or wrong, is that this is
19 better medicine, and it may only be for 20
20 dialysis patients or 15 dialysis patients at a
21 time, but it's a better -- home hemo is better
22 care.

23 And, you know, I think what's going on
24 here, put aside the capacity supply and demand,

1 it's just a better product and I think what the
2 doctor has outlined there is a creative model that
3 you've come up with, which I think is going to --
4 you know, some facilities have struggled to be
5 profitable, and there's a lot of reasons for it,
6 and this is an approach that actually flips a
7 bunch of Medicaid patients to Medicare, which
8 directly impact the P&L of the facility and will
9 also save the state money and it will probably
10 save the federal government money, because a home
11 hemo patient is less than a clinic hemo patient.

12 And so, I am going to vote to approve it.
13 I know I am going to be outvoted here, but I am
14 going to vote to approve it.

15 And I guess I would ask the staff, you
16 are not -- I mean, the onus is going to be put on
17 the applicant more than staff. I would also ask
18 the staff to work with the applicant to figure out
19 the supply and demand need or not need, because I
20 would say that if we look back, because I
21 anticipate what will happen, the applicant will
22 come back and, unfortunately, come back and get
23 referral letters, and we will demonstrate the
24 need, per the rules, and we will vote yes and we

1 won't really know anything more about it.

2 The only for sure is that this group of
3 12 people will only spend another half hour, an
4 hour, so that will be another 12 hours of time
5 spent, that we already said in March.

6 In hindsight, we are going to look back
7 and we are going to say there was demand or there
8 wasn't demand, and it would be really nice to have
9 a view on that today because if the answer is our
10 math is wrong, there are different issues, whether
11 they submitted the referral letters is not the
12 same as to whether the bed count is the same.

13 If we can say now that's -- that the way
14 we are doing it doesn't reflect the market, then
15 let's change it, and if we say no, our analysis is
16 right, then why are we even debating this now?
17 Because to me, if they didn't meet the rules, then
18 why does it come up for a vote?

19 Sorry about the editorial, but I am
20 enthusiastic in my support of what you are doing.
21 It sounds like you are up against the wall today,
22 but I am supportive.

23 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

24 Gary Kaatz?

1 MEMBER KAATZ: I admire your creativity in
2 medicine, I think you've got a great idea. I am
3 going to vote no because you need to tighten up
4 the demand for me. I am really concerned, and the
5 board's -- one of the board's primary missions is
6 to really monitor costs, bring in capital costs,
7 and I think in that vein I really need to see more
8 evidence about what the market demand is like, but
9 I do applaud you for your creativity.

10 DR. USMAN: Thank you.

11 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

12 Ms. Legrand?

13 MEMBER LEGRAND: After listening to Mr. Katz,
14 I really like everything, I know where Highland is
15 and I know the area well, I understand the need
16 desperately. I also know that I am here to say
17 what our staff has done in COVID centers is unique
18 for beds and that stuff, but I am conflicted which
19 way to vote.

20 I really want to vote yes, but since I am
21 here to say what you actually brought before us,
22 you didn't bring us any letters or anything like
23 that, I am going to have to say no along with the
24 rest of the board, but I do want you to come back

1 with those letters, work with the staff, get us
2 all that information, because the people need it.
3 There is a lot of -- where I live, the town I live
4 in, which is not too far from Highland, there are
5 a lot of dialysis centers there, it is needed.
6 Please come back to us so we can all say yes.

7 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

8 Dr. Tanksley?

9 DR. TANKSLEY: I am going to vote no based on
10 the explanation that I was given regarding our
11 jurisdiction as a board, it sounds like, and by
12 the state staff report, that there's 365 excess
13 beds in this area. If I am understanding
14 correctly, that I am tasked with -- this
15 particular board is tasked with voting on the
16 long-term care and yet there seems to be a need
17 for that, I am voting no.

18 I agree with one of the members on the
19 board that talked to the necessity and the
20 innovation of this model as a hemodialysis, you
21 know, as a dialysis within a long-term care, I
22 think that's exceptional and there is most likely
23 a need for that, but from what I can understand,
24 that is not our jurisdiction to vote on that

1 dialysis component.

2 So I would say it might be beneficial to
3 work with the state board more on which approval
4 to converse, to have to do with coming with the --
5 you know, the dialysis approval already that may
6 say, well, of course, you should put it inside a
7 long-term care facility.

8 I don't know how that works, I am going
9 to be honest, but I will say based on what we are
10 able to vote on today, that I am going to vote no,
11 but it's a reluctant no, I think it's a very
12 creative idea and it could be beneficial and
13 there's probably a need, but the I would have to
14 say you guys need to show that need.

15 So thank you.

16 MR. ROATE: Thank you.

17 Chairwoman Savage?

18 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: So in-home dialysis, I
19 believe that sounds like it's much needed in that
20 area, and this a very innovative model, so I
21 applaud you on that, bringing that into long-term
22 care, but I vote no based on insufficiency in
23 meeting our board rules that we have to hold all
24 applicants to.

1 I would like to see in future vote
2 sufficient referral based on our board rules and
3 strongly suggest working with our staff and see a
4 consultant to tighten the application and to
5 address the areas that have not been met when you
6 return.

7 MR. ROATE: Thank you, Madam Chair.

8 That's one vote in the affirmative, seven
9 votes in the negative.

10 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: So that motion of intent
11 is denied. The staff will be in touch with you
12 and we hope that you will be in touch with them.

13 Take care.

14 DR. USMAN: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Next up is going to be H-02 --
16 does anyone need a break?

17 (Break.)

18 CHAIRWOMAN SAVAGE: We are going to get back
19 in business.

20 So now we are, let's see, as I said, H-02
21 Advocate Outpatient Center, Westmont, Illinois.

22 May I have a motion to approve by the
23 board 24-001 for the establishment of a medical
24 office building.

23-042 Well Care Home NFP - Highland

